Supplement C Outcometabeller vid hypertonisjukdomar under graviditet

- 1) Blodtrycksmätare
- 2) Protein/kreatinin
- 3) Riskfaktorer
- 4) ASA-profylax
- 5) Övrig profylax
- 6) Antihypertensiv maternell effekt
- 7) Antihypertensiv, neonatal effekt
- 8) Magnesiumsulfat regimer
- 9) Magnesiumsulfat neonatal effekt
- 10) PRES
- 11) HELLP
- 12) PPCM
- 13) Blodanalyser
- 14) Prediktion andra trimestern
- 15) Prediktion tredje trimestern
- 16) Prediktion komplikationer

1. Blodtrycksmätare

Treatment of BP device Outcome vari	Freatment of preeclampsia/eclampsia. Literature search 160225 and 180130 BP device Dutcome variable: Automatic vs auscultatory								
Author Year Country	Study design	Number of studies/ patients	Withdrawals Dropouts	Results	Comments	Problems Quali		Quality	
Bello et al 2018 USA	SR	41 >200 pregnant women	na	I=Ambulatory Home measurement C=Clinic measurement p=ns if validated devices	28 devices PRISMA 2.758 studies initially Devices should be tested before clinical use/Sphygmanometer	+	+	+	High
Davis et al 2015 Australia	Observa tional	340 I=170 C=170	na	I1= 85 HT Ascultatory hybrid sphygmanometry I2= 85 HT Automated oscillometric device C1= 85 normotensive Auscultatory sphygmanometry C2= 85 normotensive Automated oscillometric device p=0.001	Autom hybrid blood-pressure measurement (UM 101, A&D CompanyLTD) more accurate than automated oscillometric device (Omron HEM-907) BHS protocol	+	+	-	Moderate
Lan et al 2014 Australia	Observa tional	89	na	I= Mercury sphygmanometry C=Automatic device outpatient p=ns	Automated blood-pressure measurement = sphygmanometry Patients their own control Omron HEM-2700 Ante- or postpartum, risk-patients, PE Difference no clin significance	+	?	+	Low

I=Intervention, C=control, HT=hypertensive, PE=preeklampsia, na=not applicable

2. Protein/kreatinin

Treatment of pr	eeclampsia/ecla	mpsia. Literature s	search 160225	and 180130					
Protein/creatini	ne								
Outcome variab	le: Spot/24h								
Author,	Study	Number of	With-	Results	Comments	Pr	obler	ns	Quality
Year,	design	studies/	drawals						
Country		patients	Dropouts						
Stout et al	SR	7/87 studies		I=12h urine sample	12h = 24h proteinuria	+	+	+	High
2015		n=410		C=24h urine sample					
USA				p=ns					
Cade et al	Prospect	181	None	I=spot ACR	Patients are their own control.	?	-	?	Low
2015	ive			C=spot PCR	ACR 13.4mg/mmol correspond PCR 30 mmol				
Australia	cohort			p= ns					
Correa et al	Prospect	338	35	I = Multistix Visual method	Automated more sensitive than visual reading	+	+	+	High
2017	ive			C = Multistix 10SG/Clinitec 50 Automated					
Canada/UK	cohort			method					
				C = Chemistrip Automated method					
				p<0.001					
Haghigi et al	Prospect	120		I=4 h PCR	4h sample adequate compared to 24 h	?	-	?	Low
2016	ive			I=8 h	sample.				
Iran	cohort			I= 12 h day	Spot PCR sufficient				
				I= 12 h night					
				C=24 h					
				p<0.001					
Valdes et al	Prospect	72	Not stated	I=24 h urine sample prot	42 PE women	+	?	+	Moderate
2016	ive			C=Spot PCR					
Chile	cohort			p=ns					
Verdonk et al	Prospect	112	7	I=24 h prot	Spot=24h Prot/crea samples tested 08.00,	+	+	?	Moderate
2014	ive			C=Spot PCR	12.00 and 17.00				
Netherlands	cohort			p=ns					
Waugh J et al	HTA	959		I=Spot PCR	417 PE	+	+	+	High
2017	Prospect			I2= Spot ACR	ACR more sensitive than PCR				
UK	ive			C=24 h urine prot ratio					
	cohort			p=ns spot vs 24h					

Wilkinson et al	Prospect	89 (132	7	I1=PCR	Cut-off point significant proteinuria	+	?	+	Moderate
2013	ive	samples)		I2=ACR	PCR <20mg/mmol				
Ireland	cohort			C=24h collection	ACR <2.5 mmol				
				p = ns					
Lee AM et al	Retrospe	238	88	I= protcinconentration	Comparison of methods	+	+	+	High
2014	ctivecoh			C= Total U/prot level	Prot concentration 0.1mg/mL Equivalent to				
USA	ort			p=ns	300 mg total protein/24 h Urine sample				
Stout et al	Retrospe	369 Suspected	13	I= 24 h urine sample protein	HDP > 20gw. Significant proteinuria	+	+	+	High
2013	ctive	PE		C=Spot PCR	144/356 PE were diagnosed earlier with a				
USA	cohort			P???	spot sample				
Amin et al	Case	102	NA	I= 24 h urine sample	HDP after 20 gw. Patients are their own	?	-	?	Low
2014	control			C= spot PCR	control.				
India				ns (prediction of significant proteinuria)					
Demirci et al	Prospect	264	?	I=PE (211)	Spot PCR = 24 h sample	-	-	-	Low
2015	ive Case			C=nonPE (53)					
Turkey	control			Spot PCR=24h prot/crea					
				Positive correlation r= 0.758					

HTA=Health Technology Assesment, alb=albumin, crea=creatinine, HDP=hypertensive disease of pregnancy, U=urine, PCR=protein creatinine ratio, ACR=albumin creatinine ratio

3. Outcome Riskfaktorer

Treatment of pree	clampsia/eclam	psia Literature se	arch 160225	and 180130					
Outcome variable	ampsia or pree : Preeclampsia	ciampsia							
Author, Year, Country	Study design	Number of studies/ patients	With- drawala – dropouts	Results	Comments	P	robl	ems	Quality
				Riskfactors					
Bartsch et al 2016 Canada	SR	92 25356688 high risk pregnancies		I=PE (one or more riskfactors) C=nonPE	Riskfactors = APS, history of PE, chron HT, GDM, BMI >30, IVF	+	+	+	High
Jaskolka D et al 2017 Canada	SR Meta-analysis	22 studies 3.163.735		I=Fetal sex male C= Fetal sex fem p=ns	22 studies included 1950 - 2015 non-Asian population p0.33 Low quality studies	+	?	-	Moderate
Sibai et al 1998 USA	RCT	763 pregnancies		I=Proteinuria at baseline 81 C= Non proteinuria 682 p= ns	Secondary analysis of RCT Aspirin vs placebo. Risk for PE if proteinuria or not in early pregnancy	?	-	-	Low
Block-Abraham et al 2014 USA	Prospec tive Nested Cohort	614 Riskpopulation		I=PE 59 (9.6%) C=nonPE 555 (90.4%) p NA	More serious riskfactors (APS, history of PE, chron HT, GDM, BMI >30, IVF) in the group developing PE.	+	?	-	Moderate Low
Egeland G M et al 2016 Norway	Prospec tive cohort	13.217		I1=PE I2=GH C=non hypertension	Family history cardiovascular riskfactors increases risk PE Physical activity less risk preterm PE in nulliparous	?	?	?	Moderate
Grobman WA et al 2018 USA	Prospective cohort	10.038	568	I1=Race I2=Psychosocial stress O=PE/nonPE 1. p<0.05	Non-hispanic black women higher risk	-	+	?	Moderate
Adane A et al 2017 Australia	Retrosp ective cohort	14.247 Complete 2.914	11.333	I=HDP I2=nonHDP	Changes in BMI related to GH				Low

Ankumah et al	Retrosp	776 mild chron	17	I= (>140/90 mmHg at enrollment) PE 66/221	Primary composite (severe PE, perinatal	+	?	?	Moderate
2014	ective	НТ		(29.9%)	death, Abruption, <35 gw) Mild HT i.e. well				Low
USA	cohort			C=(<140/90 mmHg at enrollment) 101/478	treated at beginning of pregnancy had a				
				(21.1%)	lower risk of PE. 49 resp 52% in both groups				
				p <.001	received aspirin				
Boyd HA et al	Retrospective	48.128		I1=early onset PE < gw 34	Family history PE	?	-	-	Low
2013	cohort			I2=intermediate onset PE at gw 34- 36	Maternal family factors related to early				
Denmark				I3=Late onset PE gw>36	onset PE. Late onset related to				
					environmental factors				
					25.2 times recurrent PE risk if early onset,				
					1.3 times risk if late onset				
Ekiz et al	Retrospective	157		I= 53 PE	PE in 1/3 of women with ≥1+ proteinuria >	+	?	-	Moderate
2015	Observational	≥1+ proteinuria		C=104 nonPE	gw20				/Low
Turkey		> gw20		p=0.001					
Esakoff et al	Retrosp	n=143093 PE		I= 1719 eclampsia	Prediction for eclampsia: Race, nulliparity,	+	?	-	Moderate
2016	ective	and GH		C=non eclampsia	<20 yrs, preterm				/
USA	cohort				Chron HT less risk eclampsia				Low
Haslinger C et al	Retrospective	36.328 cohort		I=>45 years	2066 vs 127	-	-	-	Low
2016	cohort	2.193 eligible		C=30 years					
Switzerland				O=PE					
				OR 5.4 95% 3.1-9.5					
Kuper SG et al	Retrospective	755	16	I=creatinine level < 1.2 mg/dL (739)	PE < gw34	+	+	-	Moderat
2016	cohort			C=NA					e
USA									
Lisonkova et al	Retrosp	456.668	27.443 5.7%	I=singletons PE	Different riskfactors for early- and late-onset	+	?	?	Low
2013	ective			C=non PE	PE affecting care				
USA	Register				Age, race, chron HT, diabetes				
Pettit F et al	Retrospective	4.463	3.767	I=Early onset PE <34 GW	Maternal and neonatal morbidity	+	?	-	Moderate
2015	cohort			I2=Preterm PE 34-37 gw					/ Low
Australia				I3= Term PE >37 gw					
Rasmussen S et al	Retrosp	1967 – 2012		I=Premature birth history without PE	Preterm PE	+	?	?	Low
2017	ective	742.980		C=Term pregnancy					
Norway	cohort			OR 3.5 (95% CI 3.0-4.0)					

Rodriguez-Lopez	Retrosp	2001-2010	92.461	I=PE 24.023	No Riskfactors alone for PE	Τ			Low
M et al 2017	ective	719.061		C=Non PE/Eclampsia	Combination of riskfactors better				
Sweden	cohort				discrimination accuracy eg obesity, multiple				
					pregn especially with chron HT				
Fox et al	Case control	532 twin-	10 Chr HT	I= with PE	Riskfactors PE in twin pregnancy	+	?	-	Low
2014		pregnancies	9	C= without PE	=eggdonation, prepregnancy obesity				
USA			monoamnio						
			n						
				Vitamin D/Calcium					
He et al	Meta-analysis	Until 15 January		l=Ca	Low Ca Zn Mg higher risk PF	2	?	+	Moderate
2016		2015		12 7n	China India Korea Turkey		•		/low
China		15 studies		13=Mø					, 2011
				p <0.005					
Gernand et al	RCT	839 women	17	I= 25(OH)Vit D < 25 nmol/L	Vit D Status and risk for PE and preterm birth	+	?	-	Moderate
2017				12= 25(OH)Vit D < 30 nmol/L	in high risk women				/Low
USA				I3= 25(OH)Vit D < 50 nmol/L					
				I4= 25(OH)Vit D ≥75 nmol/L					
				C=Placebo					
				aRR 2.40 95%Cl 1.04-5.56 if <30 nmol/L					
Kiely ME et al	Prospective	1768		I= <30 nmol/L Vitamin D level	PE SGA	-	?	-	Low
2016	cohort			12=<50 nmol/L	Protective association if > 75 nmol/L				
Irland				13=>75 nmol/L	regarding composite outcome PE SGA				
				3. OR 0.64 95% CI 0.43-0.96					
Boyle VT et al	Prospective	2.065	355	I=<75 nmol/L Vitamin D	1.710	+	-	+	Moderate
2016	cohort			C>75 nmol/L	No difference regarding PE,				
New Zealand				p=ns					
Burris et al	Prospec	2128	537	I=PE	Vit D level <10 gw	+	?	+	Moderate
2014	tive			C=GH					
USA	cohort			C2=non HT					
				ns					
Flood-Nichols et al	Retrosp	2014235	75	I=vit D<30 ng/mL	PE	+	+	+	Moderate
2015	ective	nullipara		C=Vit D>30ng/mL					
USA	cohort	310		ns					

Achkar et al	Case	PE 169	7076	I=Vit D 47.2+-17.7	VitD deficiency riskfactor for PE	+	?	?	Moderate
2015	control	C 1975		C=Vit D 52.3 +-17.2					
Canada				P=.0002					
Baca KM et al	Case-cohort	2.327 random		I1= <25nmol/L	PE 650	+	?	-	Low
2016	study	sample of		I2= 25-49,9 nmol/L	Secondary analysis				
USA, Canada		12.861 eligible		I3=50-74,9 nmol/L					
				I4=>75 nmol/L					
				For value <25nmol/L RR 2.4 95%Cl 1.2-4.8 PE					
				RR 3.2 95%CI 1.3-7.9 Severe PE					
				RR 2.4 95%CI 1.1-5-1 Mild PE					
				Folic acid					
Wen et al	Prospec	7.669		I= Folic acid ≥1.0mg(7.265)	lab analysis n=902	+	-	?	Low
2016	tive	2006-2008.		C=no folic acid (404)	,				
Canada	cohort			OR 0.17 95%CI 0.03-0.95 in high risk women					
				Pregnancy interval		-			
Cormick G et al	SR	4		I1=< 2yrs interval	Meta analysis of 2 studies	+	+	+	High
2016	Meta-			12=2-4 yrs interval pregnancy	PE. PRISMA				U
Argentina	analysis			13=>4 yrs	All retrospective cohorts, high income				
				aOR 1.10 95%Cl 1.02-1.19	countries. Low power.				
Hanley GE et al	Retrosp	38.178		I=0-5	Inter Pregnancy interval in relation to PE	?	?	?	Low
2017	ective			I=6-11	Long intervals increased risk				
Canada	cohort			I=12-17					
				I=18-23(ref					
				I=24-59					
				I=>60 mo					
				OR 1.31 95% CI 1.09-1.58 if >60 mo					
Howe L et al	Retrospective	171		11= <24 months interpregnancy interval (40)	Bloodpressure in subsequent pregnancy	?	-	-	Low
2017	case-series			I2=24-48 months (105)	after PE				
USA				13>48 months (26)					
				p = ns				1	
Klemetti R et al	Retrospective	228348		I1=PE	228348 First-time mothers	+	+	+	High
2016	cohort			I2=GH	Threshold age according to significant OR			1	
Finland				C1= nonPE				1	

				C2=nonGH 1 .>38 yrs OR 1.48 95% 1.12-1.96 2. >33 yrs OR 1.14 95% Cl 1.03-1.27					
Lean SC et al 2017 UK	SR Meta-analysis	74		I=≥35 yrs C = <35 yrs OR 1.99 CI 95% 1.65-2.36	Primary outcome was stillbirth. PE included among other adverse outcomes	+	+	+	High
				CVB/ART					
Basaran A et al 2016 USA	SR Meta- analysis	9		I=CVB C1=AC+non invasive C2=Non invasive procedure p=ns	Pooled values Limited power due to heterogenecity	+	+	+	High
Blazquez A et al 2016 Spain	SR Meta- analysis	11		I= Egg donation C=IVF OR 3.12	PE. Cohort studies only	+	+	+	High
Letur H et al 2016 France	Prospecive cohort	580	127	I=Egg donation (169) C=ART (284 p=0.001		+	+	?	Moderate
Savasi VM et al 2016 Italy	SR	2010 – Nov 2015 6		I=Egg donation C=IVF aOR 4.0 (95% CI 1.5-11.9)	Egg Donation and PE	?	-	+	Low
Schwarze et al 2017 Chile	SR Meta- analysis	6 cohort studies		I=Egg donation C=IVF RR 2.62 (95%CI 2.13-3.21)	Egg Donation and PE Singleton pregnancies	+	+	+	High
Thomopoulos et al 2017 Greece	SR Meta- analysis	66 7.038.029 203.375 ART		I=ART C=Spontan pregnancy P=0.005	Risk PE and ART	+	+	+	High
				Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA)					
O'Brien LM et al 2014 USA	Prospec tive cohort	181 hypertensive pregnant women 70 healthy controls	2+2	I=21/51 hypertensive pregnancies C=3/16 Non hypertensive O=OSA p=0.05	181 eligible Small study	+	?	?	Moderate

Bin Y S et al 2016	Retrosp ective	636.277 pregnancies	I=pregnancies and sleep apnea 519 C= non sleep apnea 635.708	Risk gestational hypertension	+	-	+	Moderate
Bourjeily G et al 2017 USA	Retrosp ective cohort	1963 vs1.577.632	I=OSA C=Non OSA OR 2.22 95% CI 1.94-2.54	PE				Low
Louis J et al 2014 USA	Retrosp ective cross- section al	55.781.965 pregnant hospital discharges	I=OSA C=Non OSA PE OR2.5 95%CI 2.2-2.9	Severe maternal-Infant morbidity-Mortality				Low
			BMI/Weight-gain					
Hannaford K E et al 2017 LISA	Prospective cohort	Decenber 2008 – April 2012 1200	I Adequate weight gain I= Inadequate I= Excessive n=ns	Secondary analysis Riskfactor PE	+	?	?	Moderat e
Durst et al 20165 USA	Retrosp ective cohort	10196 Singleton live birth	I1=overweight I2=obese I3=morbidly obese C=normal weight 1. OR 1.4 95% CI 1.0-2.1 2. OR 2.0 95% CI 1.4-2.8 3. OR 2.0 95% CI 1.3-2.9	Increased risk for late onset PE	-	?	+	Low
Knight-Agarwal CR et al 2016 Australia	Retrospective cohort	14857	I1= 1-<3 BMI units I2= >3 BMI units C= No BMI change 1.OR 3.696 95% 0.54-72.696 2.9.642 95% 1.517-186.621	14857 Interpregnancy BMI change				Low
Lucovnik et al 2014 Slovenia	Retrosp ective cohort	2046 twins 6138 singleton	I=BMI C1=BMI change C2=Singleton C3=Twin	PE and Gestational diabetes related to high BMI Prepregnancy high BMI is a stronger riskfactor for PE than weight gain during pregnancy Unclear data, interpretation difficult	?	-	-	Low

Chandrasekaran et al 2015	Case control	695 ≥30 BMI before 18 gw	l=Excessive weight gain C1=normal weight gain C2=under weight gain	GHT Obese with excessive weigh gain increased risk	+	?	?	Low
USA			p <0.001	I= 2x ökad GHT jmf C1				
			DIVERSE					
Fazzi C et al	SR	26	I=sedentary behaviour	PE Mixed population man woman program	+	+	+	High
			n= ns	Mostly self report of behavior Only one RCT				
037			611 – A	PRISMA				
Easter et al	Prospec	2607	I=Urinary tract infection	PE	+	?	?	Low
2016	tive		C=no UTI					
USA	cohort		p<0.001					

4. ASA-profylax

Treatment of preec ASA prophylaxis Outcome variable:	lampsia/eclampsia Preeclampsia	a Literature se	arch 1602	25 and 180130					
Author, Year, Country	Study design	Number of studies/ patients	With- drawals - dropout s	Results	Comments	Pro	oble	ms	Quality
				SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS and META-ANALYSIS					
Askie LM et al 2007 Australia	Meta analysis	31 RCT/ 32217		<pre>I1= ≤ 75 mg ASA/dipyridamole and/or heparin, ozagrel I2= > 75 mg ASA C1= placebo C2= none 10% reduction PE p=.004 and 10% preterm birth < gw 34 p=.011</pre>	PARIS study 31 RCT (115 from start (Perinatal Antiplatelet Review of International Studies)	+	+	+	⊦ High
Bujold E et al 2009 Canada	SR Meta-analysis	1317		I1= ≤ 16 gw 0.5mg/kg/d, or 75 mg ASA (n=129) I2= 17-19 gw 100 mg ASA (n=104) I3= ≥ 20 gw 50, 60, 75, 100 mg (n=464), 150 mg (n= 560) C= no or placebo ≤ 16 gw RR 0.48;95%Cl 0.33-0.68 17-19 gw RR 0.55;95%Cl 0.17-1.76 ≥ 20 gw RR 0.82;95% Cl 0.62-1.09	9 trials Outcome PE. Significant reduction	+	+	+	⊦ High
Coomarasamy A et al 2001 UK	Meta-analysis	332 5 finally		I= ASA 60-100mg/d C=Placebo or none O=PE OR 0.55 95%CI 0.32-0.95	Abnormal a.uterine Doppler Late inclusion gw 17-24	+	+		? Moderat /High

Gan J et al	SR	2097	$I = \le 100 \text{ mg ASA}$	PRISMA method	+	+	+	Moderate
2016	Meta-analysis	21 finally	C=Placebo or no treatment 22	21 studies included after 1990				
China			O= PE East-Asian vs PE Non East-Asian 10	100 mg= 4061				
			p=ns <	<100mg 14.179				
			O= IUGR East-Asian vs PE Non East-Asian	ncluded gw 12-43 (Wide range)				
			OR 0.36 ;Cl 0.20-0.67 vs OR 0.85;Cl 0.41-1.77					
Henderson et al	SR	27	NA I=50-150mg ASA 54	544 studies initially, 75 of these	+	+	+	High
2014	Evidence		C=Placebo or no treatment	eviewed fulltext				ska vara
USA	Synth 112,		13 studies risk for PE RR 0.76;Cl 0.62-0.95 n=12.184 1.	L.reduced maternal adverse				+++
	USPSTF		21 studies studied harm maternal neonatal	outcome?				
			ns, starting before or after w 16 (9 vs 4 studies) 2.	2.Prevention PE?				
			High risk pregnancy advantage to use Aspirin 3.	3.Matenal or fetal harm?				
			6	studies 60mg, 9 studies 100mg				
			1	L study 150mg (see Yu n=276)				
Lefevre ML	SR		St	Summering av Henderson				
2014	USPSTF			C				
USA	Recommenda							
	tion/Guideline							
Meher et al	SR	31	I= <16gw Low dose ASA N	No difference in outcome	+	+	+	High
2017		32.217	C=≥16gw Low dose ASA Fo	For doses See PARIS-study				
UK		patients	p=ns					
Roberge S et al	SR	42 studies	I1=≤150mg ASA ≤v16 with/without dipyridamole 300mg	Early and late start of low dose	+	+	+	High
2013	Meta-analysis		I2=≤150mg ASA >gw 16 with/without dipyridamole 300mg	ASA on risk for perinatal death				
UK Canada			C=Placebo or no treatment	and adverse perinatal outcome				
			O=PE p<.01	PRISMA				
			O= severe PE p<.01	27.222.				
				Mix of doses. 9 studies ≥100 mg				
				ASA				
Roberge S et al	SR	45 studies	$ 1=\leq 100 \text{ mg ASA} \leq 16 \text{ gw}$	PE, Severe PE, IUGR	+	+	+	High
2017	Meta-analysis	20.909	I2=≤100 mg ASA > 16 gw D	Dose-respons effect 50-100mg				
UK Canada			C= Placebo/no treatment N	No effect after 16 gw, just one				
			P<.001 PE st	tudy evaluates 150mg (Yu 554				
			P<.009 severe PE pa	patients)				
			P<.001 IUGR Se	Secondary analysis 100 mg vs 60				
			m	ng				
Roberge S et al	Meta-analysis	3 studies	I= 60mg ASA< 17 gw	PE and SGA	+	?	+	Moderate

2016				C= no treatment	≥350 participants in each study				
UK, USA, Canada				p=ns RR 0.93;Cl 0.75-1.15	Only 60 mg studied				
Roberge S et al	SR	20 studies		l1=≥100 mg ASA ≤gw16	Risk patients severe PE. Meta	+	+	+	High
2018	Meta-analysis	12.585		I2=≥100mg ASA >gw16	analysis on the effect of dose				
UK				I3=<100 mg ASA ≤gw16	and gestational age on the risk				
				I4=<100 mg ASA ≤gw16	for placental abruption or				
				C=Placebo/no treatment	antepartum haemorrrhage				
				p=ns	Two studies150mg (93 Rolnik				
					2017 and 554 patients Yu 2003)				
Roberge S et al	SR	16		I1=<100 mg ASA ≤gw16	Meta-analysis on the effect of	+	+	+	High
2017	Meta-analysis			I2=≥100mg ASA ≤gw16	dose and gestational age on the				
Canada, UK				I3=<100 mg ASA >gw16	risk for preterm and term PE				
				I4=≥100 mg ASA ≤gw16	18.907.				
				C=placebo / no treatment	Eight studies good quality				
				2. RR 0.33 95% 0.19-0.57 preterm PE	Yu 27 PE/276 pat				
				4. RR 0.22 95% 0.07-0.66					
Xu et al	SR	29 studies		I=ASA 50-150mg/dipyridamole	Countries not reported	-	+	+	Moderate
2015		21.403		C=Placebo/no treatment/vit E	150mg in 2 studies only				
China				O= PE	554+560 start 22-24 gw				
				P<.001	One study dipyridamole+ ASA				
				Start < gw16 p=.00001	150mg start not reported				
				Start ≥ gw 16 p=.02					
				RCT and META-ANALYSIS					
Villa et al	RCT	152	31	I=61 ASA 100mg/d 4(66.6%)	Meta-analysis jan 1965 jan 2012	+	+	?	Moderate
2013	Meta-analysis	riskfactors		C=60 placebo PE 10(16.7%)	2 studier 50-150mg <16 gw i.e. 3				
Finland	,	and		p=ns	studies				
		abnormal			PREDO study group				
		a.uterina			, , , ,				
		Doppler							
				RCT					

Avala et al	RCT	350 Risk		$11 = 100 \text{ mg} \Delta S \Delta$ at 8 00 58	In favour of intake at night	2	-	2	Moderate
2013	her	nregnancy		12 = 100 mg ASA at 16.00 59	in avour of intake at hight	•		•	Wouchatt
Spain		w12_w16		12 = 100 mg ASA at 10.00 SS					
Span		VV12-VV10		C1 C2 C2 = Discolor at 8.00 16.00 or 22.00 59					
				$12 \text{ yr} = (2 \text{ p} - 2 \text{ 0})^2$					
Contra at al	DCT	2520	20		Casandam, analysis of carlier				1
Cantu et al	RCI	2539	30	11= 225, 60mg ASA < W16	Secondary analysis of earlier	-	-	-	LOW
2015		Obese		$12 = 1029, 60 \text{ mg ASA} \ge w 16$	study, limited power.				
USA		women		13=756, 60 mg ASA BMI <30	Outcome PE				
		w13-26		I4=487, 60mg ASA, BMI ≥30					
				C1=236 Placebo <w16< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></w16<>					
				C2= 1013 Placebo ≥w16					
				C3= 756 Placebo, BMI <30					
				C4= 480 Placebo, BMI ≥30					
				p=ns					
Caritis S et al	RCT	2539	36	I=60mg ASA1254	2539 Risk patients (IDDM, CH,	?	?	?	Moderate
1998	MC			C=Placebo 1249	Multifetal, history PE)				
USA				RR 0.9 95%Cl 0.8-1.1					
Dixon C L et al	RCT	2479		I= 60 mg ASA	Secondary analysis of multicenter				
2017		461 PF		C=Placebo	RCT. Logistic regression analysis.				
USA				n=ns	Start 13-26 gw mean 20+4 gw				
00/1					Outcome onset PE_time of				
					delivery				
Groom et al	RCT	160	11	I= Enoxaparin 40 mg(4000 IU) and ASA 100 mg (72)	Riskpopulation 6gw-<16gw	+	+	+	High
2017		100		C = Standard Care (ASA 100 mg (77)	PF and IUGR				
New Zealand					Small population				
Australia									
Nothorlands									
Liu EM et al	PCT	115	17	I= 100mg ASA n=50	DE	<u>т</u>		2	Moderate
2016	NCT	115	1/	C = placeba = 18	r L High rick patients	т	-	:	would
2010 China					night fisk patients				
	DCT MC	1620		μ<0.05	Sub mount anotherin of ACDDE		2	n	Madauate
Poon LC et al	RETIME	1620		I=ASA 150 mg gw 11-13 until gw 36 (798)	Sub group analysis of ASPRE	+	?	?	woderate
2017					Preterm PE				
UK				Pens regarding obstric history and maternal characteristics	Less effect if CH1				
Rolnik et al	RCT	1776	156	I=150 mg 13/798 (1.6%	Multicenter: ASPRE: UK Spain	+	+	?	Moderate
2017				C=Placebo 35/822 (4.5%)	Italy Belgium Greece, Israel				

Multicenter				p=0.004	Start gw11-gw14 until 36 gw Outcome PE <37 gw Adverse effect ns				
Wright D et al 2017 UK	RCT MC	1620	NA	I= ASA 150 mg risk preterm PE 798 C=Placebo 822 p=0.004	PE. Secondary analysis of ASPRE study, not sufficiently powered. Start gw11-13 until gw 36 Side effects not stated	+	+	?	Moderate
Yu et al 2003 UK Brazil South Africa Chile	RCT MC	844	284	I= 150 mg ASA C= placebo O=PE p=0.06 ns	Risk for PE abnormal a.uterine doppler at 23 gw Late inclusion	+	?	+	Moderate
				PROSPECTIVE/RETROSPECTIVE COHORT RETROSPECTIVE CASE CONTROL					
Navaratman et al 2018 UK	Prospective cohort	180	24	I= 75 mg ASA High risk for PE	Aspirin non-responsiveness Longitudinally showed no consistent non-responsiveness Urine metabolites	-	-	+	Low
Park et al et al 2015 Australia	Retrospective cohort	5.783 I=2.717 C=3.066		I= 36 (1.32%) PE and of these 1 (0.04% early PE <w34, 150<br="">mg ASA at night C=71(2.36%) PE and of these 12 (0.4% early PE) p=0.01</w34,>	FMF early PE algorithm Observation only PE 1.42 % vs 2.36%, low incidence Historical controlgroup	+	-	?	Low
Stott D et al 2017 UK	Case-control	136 vs 300		I=Risk for PE 136 C=Low risk 300 NA	Hemodynamic profile in early pregnancy in relation to PE and IUGR PVR and MAP increased in risk groups. CO reduced in the group with PE and IUGR (22)				Low
Lan et al 2018 Australia, Denmark	Retrospective case control	4.978 eligible 113 late PE		 I=150 mg ASA at night, gw 11-13+6 until gw 36, (40 high risk patients for early and severe PE) C= no ASA, (73 low risk patients) O=Late onset PE ≥34 gw p= ns 	Two different populations compared. Risk evaluation according to FMF. All developed late PE	-	-	-	Low

Tolcher et al	Retrospective	17.256	I=PE before 2014	Impact of USPSTF recom aspirin	Low
2017	nested cohort	417 history	C=PE after 2014	Recurrent PE decreased 30% I e	
USA		of PE	aRR 0.70;95% CI, 0.52-0.95	all high risk patients.	
				Doses not reported	

5. Övrig profylax

Treatment of preeclampsia/eclampsia Literature search 160225 and 180130

Prophylaxis preeclampsia

Outcome variable: Efficacy: Ca Vit D, Folic acid, exercise...

Author, Year,	Study design	Number of studies/	With- drawals -	Results	Comments		Probl	ems	Quality
Country		patients	dropouts						
				Calcium/ Vitamin D supplementation					
An et al 2015 China	SR	4/ 14.564	NA	I=Ca >1g/d from w11 16 %reduction GH C=Placebo ns regarding preeclampsia	757 studies initially	+	+	?	Moderat
Arain et al 2015 UK	SR	7/ 26.924		I=VitD supplementation (0.5 μg/3d, 5-20 μg/d , 542 IU) C=0 Positive association of vitamin D deficiency with PE	8.283 studies initially, 1 RCT, 3 cohort, 3 nested control	+	+	-	Moderat
Khaing et al 2017 Thailand, Australia	SR Meta-analysis	27 studies/ 28.000		 I1= Calcium D I2= Vitamin D I3=Calcium and Vitamin D C=Placebo/standard supplementation/no supplementation Pooled effect 0.54(95% CI:0.41, 0.70), 0.47(95%CI:0.24, 0.89) 0.50(95%CI:0.32, 0.78) i.e reduced risk 46%, 53% and 50% respectively 	PE, PIH	+	+	+	High
Purswani et al 2017 USA	SR Meta- analysis	33 studies 22.057		I=Vit D 15-20ug/d supplementation C=Vit D <5ug/d O=PE p= ns	2 RCT, otherwise cohort or cross- sectional	+	+	+	High
Roth D E et al 2017 Canada	SR	43 studies/ 8.406		I=Vit D >600 IE/d C=placebo /no vitamin D / vitamin D≤600 IU/d p= ns	Only 8 studies had low risk of bias		+	?	Moderat
Tang et al 2014 Australia	SR Meta- analysis	10/ 24.787	NA	I=Ca++ suppl 1.5-2 g/d C=Placebo (RR 0.93, 95% CI 0.83-1.04)	Some evidence for calcium supplementation but possibility of	?	+	+	Moderat

			(RR 0.32 95% CI, 0.21-0.49)	publication bias and a lack of large trials				
			Omega 3/ Fish oil					
Chen et al 2015 China	SR RCT	11/ NA >500 0 partic ipant s	I=Fish oil second trimester (200-4.950 mg/d) C=soya, oliv,egg p=ns	702 studies included initially Outcome GDM, PIH, PE.No difference low – high risk pregnancies. Other advantages?	+	?	+	Moderat
Saccone et al 2016 Italy	SR	34/ 14.10 6 single , 2.578 Duple x	I= Omega 3 ((100-1080 mg/d DHA (docosahexaenoic acid) 140-3.00 mg/d EPA (epaeicosapentaenoic acid)) C= placebo p=ns	7 studies (2.869 singleton pregnancies) evaluated prevention of PE, IUGR Other studies evaluated GD, Preterm birth, PM, SGA)	+	+	+	High
			Folic acid supplementation/B6/MTHF					
Hua X et al 2016 Canada/China	SR Meta-analysis	13 studi es	I=Folic acid supplementation 0.1 – 5 mg/pre and postconsept C= non supplementation p=ns	PE	?	?	+	Moderat
Salam et al 2016 Canada, Pakistan	SR	4/ 1.646	I=B6 Pyridoxine 1.9-25 mg/d and 100 mg/d C= Placebo Ns	Low quality of evidence regarding PE	+	+	+	High
Shim S-M et al 2016 Korea	Meta- analysis	21 15 studie studi es/ 201.6 61	s I=Multivatamin with folic acid 0.4 mg I=folic acid supplementation 0.4 – 1.0 mg C=Placebo p = ns	6 studies PRISMA	+	+	+	High

Martinussen et al	Prospective cohort	9.576	5.929	I=Folic acid >200 ug/d	PE SGA	?	-	-	Low
2015		invite		C= non supplementation	Riskreduction PE in lean women				
Ireland		d		OR 0.6(95%CI 0.4-1.0)	(BMI<25)				
Wen SW et al	Prospective cohort	8.08	316	I= Folic acid supplementation ≥1.0mg(7.265)	95% had folic acid supplementation	+	-	-	Low
2016		5		C=no folic acid (404)	lab analysis only in selected group of				
Canada				O=P	902 women between 2006-2008.				
				aOR 0.37 95%Cl 0.18-0.75 only in high risk women					
De Ocampo MPG et	Retrospective	3.247		I=Folic acid supplementation before pregnancy	PE	+	-	-	Low
al	cohort			I=Folic acid supplementation at pregnancy	Doses not stated				
2017				C=Non users					
USA				P=.003					
Saccone et al	Retrospectiv	303		I= 5MTHF 15 mg= 157 PE 21.7%	All participants recieved aspirin	+	-	-	Low
2016	e cohort	Histor	r	C= Non 5MTHF=146 PE 39.7%	100mg/d				
Italy		y of		p=0.019					
		PE							
				L-Arginine/LMH					
Dorniak-Wall et al	SR	7/		I=L-arginine 14-40 g/d oral or i.v.	Riskreduction in patients at risk also in	1 +	?	+	Moderat
2014		884		C=Placebo	women with hypertensive disease				
Australia		patie		Riskreduction in PE in women at risk (RR 0.34, CI 95%,					
		nts		0.21-0.55)					
Mastrolia et al	SR	5/797	7	I=LMH (Enoxaparin 20 mg/4000 IU or Dalteparin 5000 IU	PE Abruption, FGR, FD	+	+	+	High
2016	Meta-analysis			or adjusted to maternal weight)	PRISMA				_
Italy, Israel				C=Placebo/no treatment	Few studies included				
				PE (RR 0.366; 95% CI, 0.219-0.614) P=0.002					
Rodger M et al	SR	6		I= LMH (Dalteparin 5000 IU +/- ASA, Nadroparin 3800	6 studies of risk patients	+	+	+	High
2014		854		IU, Enoxaparin 4000 IU +/- ASA	Composite measure, high				_
Canada				C=ASA or no treatment	heterogeneity				
				RR reduction 0.52 95%CI 0.32-0.86					
Rodger et al	SR	8/		I=LMH different types and doses (480)	Placentamediated complications	+	+	+	High
2016	Meta-analysis	(963		C= aspirin/ no treaatment (483)	PRISMA				
Canada) 877		p=ns					
		riskp							
		atien							
		ts							

Haddad B et al	RCT Open	257	8	I=Enoxaparin 4000 IU and 100mg ASA (124)	History of severe PE < 34 gw	+	+	+	High
2016	label			C=100 mg ASA (125)	Outcome Placentamediated				
France	MC			p=ns	pregnancy complications				
				Physical Activity/diet					
Allen et al	SR	18/		I1= Diet	PRISMA	+	+	+	High
2014	Meta-analysis	8.712		I2= Diet, physical activity, life-style					
UK				I3= Essential fatty acid supplementation					
				C= non intervention					
				RR 0.81					
				p=0.006					
Aune et al	SR	15	NA	I= Physical activity before and in early pregnancy	PE Non linear relation of activity	+	?	-	Low
2014		studi		C= no activity	before, but linear correlation in early				
Norway		es		RR 0.79 (0.70-0.91)	pregnancy. Different kinds of				
					quantative activity				
Magro Malosso	SR	17		I=Aerobic exercise 30-60 min 2-7 times weekly	GIH, PE	+	?	+	Moderat
2017	Meta-analysis	studi		C=No excercise session	7 studies included in the meta-				
Italy, USA		es		PE 5.9% vs 8.5%; RR 0.70, 95%Cl 0.53-0.83)	analysis				
		5.075		GH 2.5% vs 4.6%: RR 0.54, 95%Cl 0.40-0.74)	PRISMA				

6. Antihypertensiva, maternell effekt

Systematic reviews and meta-analysis n=10

Treatment of hypertension with antihypertensive therapy and/or intervention, Hypertension: mild to moderate or severe, chronic hypertension, gestational hypertension, or preeclampsia/eclampsia), antepartum n=32

Outcome variable: Antihypertensive therapy: Efficacy and maternal mortality and maternal short term outcomes. Interventionist care (delivery) vs expectant care maternal mortality and maternal short term outcomes. Corticosteroids for fetal lung maturation: maternal outcomes n=43 articles included

Author, year,	Study design	Number of studies/	With drawals	Results		Comments	Quality (High Medium
country		patients	dropou	Intervention	Control		Low)
			ts				
Abalos, 2014	SR	49 RCTs,		I: Antihypertensive	C:1 placebo or no	Secondary other outcomes	High
Cochrane		Totally 4723		therapy	treatment	were:	
		women with mild		(betablockers, metyldopa,		Severe preeclampsia 0.54 (0.24-	
		to moderate		calcumblockers either		1.23)	
		hypertension		alone or in combination		Cesarean section 0.92 (0.83-	
		C1=29 trials, 3350		with other drugs):		1.02)	
		women		Severe hy	pertension	Also comparisons by type of	
		C2=22 trials, 1723		(sBP≥170 mmHg an	d/or dBP ≥110 mgHg)	hypertension at trial entry, by	
		women			:	gestation at trial entry and by	
				125/1336	242/1222	use of placebo.	
					RR 0.49 (95% CI 0.40-0.60)		
				Proteinuria/	preeclampsia		
				251/1476	255/1375		
					RR 0.93 (95% CI 0.80-1.08		
				Materna	mortality		
				2/289	1/236		
					RR 1.08 (0.24-4.83)		
				Ecla	mpsia		
				0/298	1/280		

			RR 0.34 (0.01-8.15)	
		HE	LLP	
		4/98	2/99	
			RR 2.02 (0.38-10.78)	
		Pulmonar	y oedema	
		4/185	2/140	
			RR1.24 (0.30-5.12)	
		I: Antihypertensive	C:2 Metyldopa	
		therapy		
		(any, betablockers or		
		calcumblockers		
		Severe hy	pertension	
		C2 Any hypertensive	C2 Metyldopa	
			0.54 (0.30-0.95)	
		C2:1 Beta blocker	C2:1 Metyldopa	
			0.59 (0.33-1.05)	
		C2:2 Calcium channel	C2:2 Metyldopa	
		blocker	0.23 (0.04-1.22)	
		Proteinuria/p	preeclampsia	
		C2 Any hypertensive	C2 Metyldopa	
			0.73 (0.54-0.99)	
		C2:1 Beta blocker	C2:1 Metvldopa	
			0.75 (0.53-1.05)	
		C2:2 Calcium channel	C2:2 Metvldopa	
		blockers	0.66 (0.34-1.27)	
		I: Antihypertensive	C:3 (Calcium channel	
		therapy	blockers)	
		(any, glyceryl trinitrate or		
		betablockers		

			Severe hy	/pertension		
			C3 Anyhypertensive	C3 Calcium channel blockers		
			C3:1 Glyceryl trinitrate	2.09 (0.96-4.57)		
			C212 Poto blockoro	blockers		
			C3.2 Deta Diockers	C3:2 calcium channel		
				blockers 2.14 (0.96-4.80		
			Proteinuria/	preeclampsia		
			C3 Any hypertensive	C3 Calcium channel blockers		
			C3:1 Glyceryl trinitrate	2.15 (0.73-6.38)		
			C2-2 Data blaskara	blockers		
			C3:2 Beta blockers	C3:2 Calcium channel		
				blockers 2.67 (0.75-9.42)		
Churchill et al, 2013 Cochrane	SR	4 RCTs 425 women	HE	LLP	Interventionist care was: either induction of labour or	High
			Interventionist 1/46	Expectancy 2/49	caesarean section after	
				RR 0.53 (0.05-5.68)	lung maturation, (in practice	
			There were no maternal pulmonary oe	deaths, eclampsia, stroke, dema reported	care was: corticosteroids,	
			Cesarea	n section	stabilization of the woman's condition and then. aim to	
			Interventionist	Expectancy	delay delivery. There were insufficient data to	
			201/222	RR 1.09 (1.01-1.18)	draw any conclusions about the	
					effect a policy of expectant care had on the mother's health.	
					None of the studies included	

					had sufficient sample size to demonstrate differences in maternal outcome. Cesarean section was secondary outcome. No other significant differences for other secondary maternal outcomes.	
Cluver et al, 2017, Cochrane	SR	5 RCTs, 1891 women	Composite maternal m There was a lower risk mortality and severe randomised to receive pl ratio (RR) 0.69, 95% confi 0.83, two studies, 1459 v high)). There were no cl subgroups based on ou gestational age, gestati Planned early delivery was of HELLP syndrome (RR 0.4 women; three studies) an (RR 0.36, 95% CI 0.14 to 0.9 There was no clear differ caesarean section (RR 0.91 women, four studies, evid in the duration of hospita delivery of the baby (mean 95% CI -0.46 to 0.15, tw evidence grace	norbidity and mortality: of composite maternal morbidity for women anned early delivery (risk dence interval (Cl) 0.57 to women (evidence graded ear differences between ur subgroup analysis by onal week or condition. associated with lower risk 0, 95% Cl 0.17 to 0.93, 1628 d severe renal impairment 52, 100 women, one study). rence between groups for ., 95% Cl 0.78 to 1.07, 1728 ence graded moderate), or l stay for the mother after difference (MD) -0.16 days, vo studies, 925 women, led moderate) Expectancy		High
Crowther Cochrane. 2015	SR	10 RCTs 4733 women	Chorioan Repeat doses of betamethasone 140/2152	mnionitis Single dose of betamethasone 118/2109	Women considered to be at risk of preterm birth who had received a single course of prenatal corticosteroid seven or more days previously were included.	High

			Puerpera Repeat doses of betamethasone 72/1525	RR 0.1.16 (0.92-1.46) al sepsis Single dose of betamethasone 61/1526 RR 1.15 (0.83-1.60)	Secondary maternal outcomess included death, hemorrhage, mode of delivery, pyrexia etc. there was no maternal death. No statistically significant differences were seen between treatment groups, for the following outcomes: risk of prelabour rupture of the membranes after trial entry, hypertension, mode of birth, postpartum haemorrhage or postnatal pyrexia. More side effects were reported in some studies in repeated doses group (insomnia, bruising at injection site).	
Duley, 2013	SR	35 RCTs.	Labetalol vers	us hydralazine	Eleven more comparisons.	High
Cochrane		Totally	Matern	al death	Persistent high BP was more	
		very high blood pressure, sBP ≥170	0/100	0/100	with calcium channel blockers. "The choice of antihypertensive	
		or dBP ≥105 mm	Eclar	npsia	should depend on the clinician's	
		Hg	0/110	0/110	experience and familiarity with	
			Persistent high	blood pressure	a particular drug; on what is	
			11/110	7/110 RR 1.57 (0.66-3.74)	known about adverse effects; and on women's preferences.	
			Labetalol versus calci	um channel blockers:	magnesium sulphate (although this is indicated for women who	
			Eclar	npsia	require an anticonvulsant for	
			1/35	2/35 RR 0.72 (0.05-10.26)	prevention or treatment of eclampsia), diazoxide and ketanserin which are probably	
			Persi high bloo	stent d pressure	best avoided."	

		16/55	14/55 BB 1 14 (0 62-2 09)	Primary outcomes were maternal death eclamosia	
			1.14 (0.02 2.03)	stroke, persistent high blood	
		Labetalol vers	sus metyldopa	pressure.	
		Persi	stent	Secondary outcomes included	
		high blood	d pressure	any of serious maternal morbidity defined as stroke	
		20/38	15/34	kidney failure liver failure	
			RR 1.19 (0.74-1.94)	HELLP syndrom, disseminated	
		Calcium channel block	ers versus hydralazine	intravascular coagulation,	
		Persis	stent	kidnev failure liver failure	
		high blood	d pressure	HELLP, DIC, pulmonary oedema,	
		13/160	34/153	hypotension, side effects of the	
			RR 0.38 (0.21-0.70)	drug, abruption of the placenta	
				or antepartum haemorrhage,	
				need for magnesium sulphate,	
				labour or caesarean section	
				caesarean section: emergency	
				and elective, postpartum	
				haemorrhage: defined as blood	
				loss of 500 mL, use of hospital	
				resources: visit to day care unit,	
				antenatal hospital admission,	
				intensive care (admission to	
				stav) ventilation dialysis	
				postnatal depression,	
				breastfeeding, at discharge and	
				up to one year after the birth,	
				women's experiences and	
				views of the interventions	
				childbirth experience, physical	
				and psychological trauma, mother-infant interaction and	
				attachment.	

Firoz, 2014, Canada	SR	16 RCTs, 15 during pregnancy (914 women) and one postpartum (38 women) with very high BP (sBP ≥160 mmHg and/or dBP ≥110	Single oral antihypertensive agents: C1Oral/sublingual nifedipine	C1:1Parental hydralazine iv C1:2Labetalol iv No difference in efficacy or maternal outcomes	Inclusion criteria was at least one arm with oral antihypertensive. Overlap with Duley? Primary outcome: effectiveness	High
		mmHg	C2 Nifedipine capsules	C2 Nifedipine tablets Higher rates of maternal hypotension with capsules		
			C3 Oral labetalol	C3 Oral methyldopa No difference in efficacy or maternal outcomes		
Guida et al, 2017, Brazil	SR (2 RCTs and 4 cohort studies)	Women with preterm preeclampsia< 34 GW or between 34 and 37 GW N=183, 703, 670120, 696, 516 and 199, respectively	< 34 GW: Immediate delivery vs expectancy: Maternal outcomes were similar 34 – 37 GW: Immediate delivery vs expectancy: Expectant management had slightly higher rate of progression to severe maternal disease.		No pooled estimates presented	Low
Roberts, 2017 Cochrane	SR (30 RCTs)	7774 women and 8158 infants	Treatment with antenatal c increase the risk of chorioa 0.66 to 1.06) or endometrit maternal death was observ	corticosteroids does not mnionitis (RR 0.83, 95% Cl cis). No increased risk in ed.	Author conclusion: this update supports the continued use of a single course of antenatal corticosteroids to accelerate fetal lung maturation in	High

Shekhar, 2015, SR India	7 RCTs, 363 women with severe hypertension	Oral nifedipine	Labetalol iv	 women at risk of preterm birth. A single course of antenatal corticosteroids could be considered routine for preterm delivery. Oral nifedipine was associated with significant reduced risk of persistent hypertension. 	High
		Persistent h	15/176 RR 0.42 (0.18-0.96)	Severe hypertension defined as sBP ≥160 mmHg and/or dBP ≥105 mmHg. Persistent hypertension defined as need for additional	
		Sorious moto			
		6/125	8/131 RR 0.73 (0.28-1.88)	 lower the BP to "safe" levels Serious maternal morbidity defined as stroke, placental 	
		Materna	Imortality	abruption, DIC, pulmonary	
		0/166	1/157 RR 0.30 (0.01-7.22)	oedema, renal failure or need for ICU	
Wang et al 2017 SR (7 RCTs)	1501 women	Maternal complications	>34 v (one trial, HYPITAT)	No maternal deaths or strokes,	High
Arch Gynecol Obstet, China		88/377	138/379 RR 0.64 (0.51-0.80)	one study reported one case of eclampsia in each group.	
		Maternal outcome: pl	acental abruption <34 v	Less increase in sBP and dBP	
		7/230	17/245 RR0.43 (0.19-0.98)	and less need for antihypertensive therapy in intervention group	
Original articles n=32					
Astudillo, 2013, Retrospectiv Spain e	Women with severe PE between	Mean prolongation of pregnancy		Conclusion: Women with severe EO-PE and associated	Low
observationa	23+6 and 33+6 GW: GrA: Women	Gr A: mean 8.42 days (SD 7.46; range 2-29 days)	Gr B 10.5 days (SD 8.24; range 2-41 days).	risk factors benefit from expectant management.	

	l cohort	with concomitant		No significant difference in	maternal complication	Concomitant risk factors were	
	study	risk factors n=26)		(pulmonary odema, renal ir	mpairment, abruptio	associated medical condition,	
	-	GrB: women		placentae, eclampsia etc)		HELLP, severe	
		without risk				oligohydramniosis, fetal	
		factors (n=33)				growth restriction, multiple	
		, ,				pregnancies	
Broekhuiisen .	Retrospective	Chronic		Adverse mate	ernal outcome	Conclusion: Optimal time for	Medium
2015, the	cohort study	hypertension:		993/3 457 vs	65 008/984 93	delivery for women with	
Netherlands	,	3457 women		AOR 5.7	(5.3-6.2)	chronic hypertension between	
		Controls: 984 932			()	38 and 40 GW.	
		normotensive				Adverse maternal outcome	
		women				was a composite measure of	
		Wonnen				one of more of severe	
						hypertension preeclampsia	
						eclampsia, placental abruption.	
						postpartum hemorrhage >1000	
						ml thromboembolic event or	
						maternal death	
DelgadoDe	RCT	261 women with 19	3	Efficacy: final BP_number	of antihypertensive doses	Hydralazine iv vs labetalol iv:	Low
Pasquale 2013		severe				No difference in new episodes	2011
Panama		hypertension		Hydralazine iv (n=130):	Labetaol iv (n=131):	of hypertensive crisis during	
1 ununu		(sBP>160		Final sBP 144 (8.3) mmHg	Final sBP 144 (8.2) mmHg.	pregnancy or the first 24 h	
		mmHg/dBP			p=0.86	nostnartum or adverse	
		>110 mmHg		Final dBP 91 (8.3) mmHg	Final dBP 92 (8.3) mmHg.	reactions (headache visual	
		-110 111116			n=0.48	symptoms enigastric pain	
				Final MAP 109 (6.8) mmHg	Final MAP 109 (7 2)	nalnitations nausea vomiting	
					mmHg n=0.39	and flushing)	
				Number of doses	Number of doses	and hushing	
				necessary for control of	necessary for control of		
				hypertensive crisis 1.4	hypertensive crisis 1 3		
				(0.6)	(0.6) n=0.25		
				(0.0)	(0.0). p 0.23		
Dhananiava 2015	RCT	Women with BP NF	R	Efficacy: time to achie	eve BP<150/100mmHg	Shorter time to target	low
India		>160/110 mmHg				therapeutic BP with nifedinine	2011
		>28 GW		Oral nifedinine (n=30)	Labetalol iv (n=30)	No difference in maternal	
		-20 011		14.0(6.87) min	25 17 (12 76) min	complications (hypotension	
	1	1		14.0 (0.07711111	23.17 (12.70/11111	complications (hypotension,	

					p=0.014	palpitation, nausea and vomiting, chest pain,	
				Number of doses requi	red to achieve target BP	headache, fetal tachycardia, HELLP, eclampsia, renal failure	
				1.87 (0.63)	2.53 (12.76)	, , ,	
					p=0.158		
Duro-Gomez et al,	Retrospective	Nifedipine (N):43	NR	Mean time needed to achie	eve target BP (> 150/95 mm	Only 14 women, but 55 crisis	Low
2017, Spain	cohort study	crisis (10 women),		Hg): N group: 31.3 min and	L group 53.5 min (p=0.03).		
		Labetalol (L): 12		Mean reduction in BP in N	group: 31.19/15.67 mm Hg		
		crisis (4 women)		and in L group 30.1/11 mm	Hg (p=0.67/0.84). Failure		
				to achieve BP target N grou	ip in 1 case and L group in 3		
				cases (p=0.01).			
				No difference in maternal a	dverse outcomes.		
Durst et al, 2016,	Retrospective	320 women:	NR	CS (=primary outcome):		Composite maternal outcome	Low
USA	cohort study	37+0-37+6: n=67		37+0-37+6: 18/67 (26.9%)		included CS, endometritis, PPH,	
		38+0-38+6: n=76		38+0-38+6: 15/76 (19.7%)		DVT, readmission, BP	
		39+0-39+6: n=177		39+0-39+6: 53/177 (29.9%)	p value for trend=0.39	treatment pp, wound infection,	
						CA.	
				Composite maternal outcom	me	Composite neonatal outcomes	
				37+0-37+6: 18/67 (26.9%)		included NICU admissions,	
				38+0-38+6: 17/76 (22.4%)		length of stay ≥5 days, Apgar	
				39+0-39+6: 68/177 (38.4%)	p value for trend=0.03	score at 5 ≤3 RDS or death.	
						Any adverse outcome was any	
				AOR (95% CI) for maternal	and neonatal outcomes	adverse maternal or neonatal	
				compared to ≥39 GW		outcome	
				Composite maternal:			
				37+0-37+6: 0.58 (0.31-1.08)	Adjusted for BMI	
				38+0-38+6: 0.45 (0.24-0.84)		
				Any (neonatal or maternal	adverse outcome:		
				37+0-37+6: 0.60 (0.33-1.10)		
				38+0-38+6: 0.50 (0.28-0.90)		
				Neonatal outcomes were s	imilar among the groups.		
Harper et al,	Retrospective	Women with a		Expectant management be	yond 39 GW was	Adjusted for baseline renal	Medium
2016, USA	cohort study	live singleton		associated with increased r	isk for	disease and aspirin use	
		pregnancy		Severe PE (1.1% vs 10.3%, p	o= 0.001)		
		reaching ≥36 GW		AOR 0.07 (95% CI 0.01-0.5)			

		and chronic hypertension: All, (planned delivery vs expectant management) 36 GW n=683 (20 vs 663), 37 GW n= 572 (39 vs 533), 38 GW n= 414 (30 vs 384) and 39 GW n= 280 (124 vs 156)		Any PE 4.5% vs 18.7% AOR 0.1 (95% CI 0.04-0.35) No difference for CS in labo	bur		
Kawakita et al, 2018, USA	Retrospective cohort study	Women with PE (mild or severe), ≥ 34 GW, singleton pregnancy IOL: n=5104 CS: n= 402	NR	Primary IOL 4.3%, pla AOR 1.94 (95% Mater IOL 2.49 AOR 3.29 (95% Other materna When stratified for parity N ICU ad	outcome: Inned CS 3.7% % CI 0.89-4.24) nal ICU % vs1.2% & CI 1.02-10.64) al outcomes NS NS for primary outcome and mission	Stratification for parity Adjusted for age, race/ethnicity, marital status, insurance type, hospital type, diabetes (preexisting or gestational), gestational age, body mass index, and type of preeclampsia (planned cesarean delivery as referent).	Medium
Madazli, 2013, Turkey	Cohort study	144 women, (early onset preeclampsia [EO- PE]=91 [45 mild and 46 severe] and late onset preeclampsia [LO- PE]=63 [34 mild and 29 severe])	NR	Maternal co Mild pred EO-PE No Maternal co Severe pro EO-PE 18 (39.1)	Demplications eclampsia LO-PE No Demplications eeclampsia LO-PE 12 (41.3) NS between EO-PE and LO-PE	Maternal complications occurred only in severe preeclampsia and at similar rates in EO-PE and LO-PE. EO- PE was defined as onset before 34+0 GW, LO-PE as onset at ≥34+0 GW. Maternal complications were sustained severe hypertension, any evidence of organ dysfunction, HELLP or admittance to ICU.	Low
Magee, 2015	RCT		49	Serious mate	rnal outcomes		High

International	1030 women with	Les	s-tight control	Tight control	Maternal outcomes were	
study	nonprotein-uric		18/493	10/488	secondary outcomes. Primary	
(CHIPS trial)	preexisting or			AOR 1.74 (0.79-3.84)	outcome was a composite of	
	gestational				pregnancy loss (table XX=	
	hypertension				barnutfallstabellen).	
			Preecla	ampsia	Serious maternal outcomes	
		Les	s-tight control	Tight control	were death, eclampsia,	
			24/493	223/488	uncontrolled hypertension, TIA	
				AOR 1.14 (0.88-1.47)	or stroke, pulmonary edema,	
			Severe hy	pertension	renal failure, transfusion.	
		Les	s-tight control	Tight control	Adjusted for type of	
		20	0/493 (40.6%)	134/488 (25.5%)	hypertension (preexisting vs	
			, , ,	AOR 1.80 (1.34-2.38)	gestational), center, use of	
					antihypertensive therapy at	
					randomization, previous BP of	
					160/110 mmHg or higher	
					during the pregnancy,	
					gestational diabetes,	
					gestational age at	
Marca 2010a Cabar			A to us and a		randomisation	N A a da wa ta
International conor	v Seeen				women treated with	woderate
study.	analysis rendemisati and				(particularly those with pro	
(CHIPS trial) of CHI			Severe ny	pertension	(particularly those with pre-	
			79/241	80/237	bave better outcomes	
) rectutis			AOR 0.80 (0.48-1.34)	Adjusted for allocation group	
	postrandomisation		Preecl	ampsia	type of hypertension	
			113/241	104/236	(preexisting vs gestational),	
			,	AOR 1.12 (0.78-1.61)	center, use of antihypertensive	
			Post- randomizati	ion before delivery	therapy at randomization,	
			Metyldopa	vs Labetalol	previous BP of 160/110 mmHg	
			Severe hy	pertension	or higher during the pregnancy, gestational age at	
			54/224	162/433	randomization and for the	
			/	AOR 0.51 (0.31-0.83)	postrandomisation analysis	

			Preeclampsia		whether women were on antihypertensive at	
			89/224	225/431 AOR 0.55 (0.36-0.85)	randomization (yes/no)	
Magee, 2016b Cohort International study.Secon- study dary analysis	566/987 CHIPS recruits at randomisation and 815 /987 CHIPS	At randomization Less-tight vs tight. Metyldopa (MD) and labetalol (LB)		Outcomes for "less-tight" versus "tight" control were not dependent on use of metyldopa	Moderate	
BJOG	OG (RCT) 815 (postra	recruits postrandomisation	LB 45/110 MD 48/125	LB 35/127 AOR 1.67 (0.92-3.02) MD 30/116 1.82 (1.01-3.28)	Adjusted for type of hypertension (preexisting vs gestational), center, use of antihypertensive therapy at	
		Preecla	impsia	randomization, previous BP of		
		LB 53/109	LB 51/127	160/110 mmHg or higher during the pregnancy,		
			MD 58/125	AOR 1.46 (0.84-2.52) MD 55/116 AOR 0.97 (0.57-1.65)	gestational age at randomization and for the postrandomisation analysis	
			Post- randomizat	ion before delivery	whether women were on antihypertensive at	
			Less-tigh	nt vs tight		
			Severe hypertension		randomization (yes/no)	
			LB 91/186	LB 71/247		
			MD 33/98	MD 21/126		
			1110 00700	AOR 2.65 (1.36-5.18)		
			Preecla	impsia		
			LB 106/184	LB 119/247		
				AOR 1.48 (0.98-2.23)		
			MD 39/98	MD 50/126		
Magee et al. 2016	Cohort	1030 women with	334 (33.9% of the women in	the CHIPS trial developed		Moderate
Hypertension	study.Secon-	nonprotein-uric	severe hypertension (sBP≥1)	60 mm Hg or dBP ≥110 mm		
	, dary analysis	preexisting or	Hg), which was associated w	vith the primary outcome		
	(RCT)	gestational	(pregnancy loss or high leve	neonatal care > 48 hours),		
		hypertension	birth weight < 10 th percentil	e, preeclampsia, PTD,		

			elevated liver enzymes (p<0 (p=0.006), prolonged hospi association with severe hyp maternal complications wa group 13/200 (severe hype severe hypertension)(p=0.0 group (3/124 versus 7/354	0.001), low platelets tal stay (p=0.03). The pertension and severe s seen only in the less tight ertension) vs 5/293 (no 12) and not in the tight) (p=0.93)		
Magee et al, 2016 International study (CHIPS trial) AOGS	Cohort study.Secon- dary analysis (RCT)	1030 women with nonprotein-uric preexisting or gestational hypertension	19 candidate predictors of were evaluated for predi- adverse outcome, Include tight" and "tight control" considered as a potential Conclusion was that mate clinical characteristics cann in the index pregnancy	collected at randomization ction on the probability of ed treatment group ; "less etc. AUC ≥ 0.70 was ly useful model ernal and current pregnancy ot predict adverse outcome		Moderate
McKinney et al, 2016, AJOG, USA	Retrospectiv e single center cohort study	60 women with PE and FGR and 139 with PE and no FGR	Interval do delivery (No FGR GA at delivery, 33 (30-34) wks Interval do delivery, median (IQR) 5 (2-12) days	from diagnosis of PE) FGR GA at delivery, 29 (26-32) wks p<0.001 Interval do delivery, median (IQR) 3 (1-6) days p<0.001 Latency <7 days AOR 1.66 (1.12-2.47	FGR was associated with a shorter interval to delivery Onset of PE was < 34 GW Adjustment: maternal race, smoking, chronic hypertension, GA at delivery, severe PE, fetal sex	Low
			Indications No FGR Severe PE 58.8% Neurological symtoms 37.5%	for delivery FGR Severe PE 48.3% p=0.17 Neurological symtoms 16.7%		
				p=0.003		

				HELLP	HELLP		
				15.4%	13.3%		
					p=0.70		
	Retrospectiv	Labetalol 76	,	Efficacy: lowering the BP w	vithout adding another	Success rate higher for	Low
Nooij, 2014	e nested case	nicardipine 99		drug		nicardipine. Nicardipine	
The Netherlands	control study					associated with more	
	and meta-			Labetalol	Nicardipine	tachycardia. No difference in	
	analysis (2			64/76	96/99	maternal headache or sudden	
	RCTs)				RR 1.15 (1.04-1.28)	hypotension	
				MA (2 RCTs)	MA (2 RCTs)	(neither the Dutch study nor the	
				83/106	117/129	MA of the two studies)	
					RR 1.14 (1.02-1.28)		
				Side effect (mate	ernal tachycardia)		
				Labetalol	Nicardinino		
				1/76	7/99		
				1770	RR 5 37 (0 68-42 75)		
				MA (2RCTs)	MΔ (2 RCTs)		
				1/106	10/129		
				1/ 100	RR 5.87 (1.09-31.75)		
Owens et al, 2014	RCT	Immediate	3 and 11	Immediate delivery	Immediate vs Expectancy	Study stopped at 183/ 220	Low
Journal of the		delivery:		(within 12 hours)	Progression to severe PE	patients	
Mississippi Med		n=94			3% (3/94) vs 41% (31/75)		
Assoc		Expectancy: n=75			P=0.0001		
Patel et al, 2017,	RCT	I= 76	No	Number of drug dose	s to achieve target BP,	Not blinded	Low
India, The J Ob		(hydralazine)		One	dose/		
Gyn India		C=76 (labetalol)		Two	doses		
				Hydralazine	Labetalol		
				53/76 (69.7%)	62/76 (81.5%)		
				23/76 (30.3%)	12/76 (15.8%)		
					p=0.04		
				Time to achi	eve target BP		
				26.32 (9.78) min	12.63 (7.19) min]	
				-	p<0.0001		
				Maternal outcomes and	side effects were similar		
Roland et al,	Retrospective	IOL: 25 773		Vaginal delivery at preterm	(66% at 23–36 weeks) and		Medium
--------------------	---------------	---	----	---	------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------
2017, USA, J	cohort study	women with PE		term GAs (72%). Factors mo	ost strongly associated with		
Pernatol		No IOL: 24 147		successful labor induction	were prior vaginal delivery		
		women with PE		(aOR, 5.91; 95% CI, 5.44–	6.43) and young maternal		
				age (aOR 1.44; 95%Cl, 1.30	0–1.59). Factors negatively		
				associated with vaginal de	livery after labor induction		
				for PE included black race	e, birth weight >4000 g or		
				<2500 grams, maternal ob	esity, prior cesarean, fetal		
				malpresentation and abr	normal pregnancy weight		
				gain. Increasing GA was onl	y modestly associated with		
		higher odds of vaginal birth (aOR 1.03; 95%CI 1.01–					
				1.0)5)		
Sabir et al, 2016,	Quasi RCT	I=50 nifedipine,		Number of drug doses to achieve target BP		Not blinded	Low
Paksitan, J		C=50 hydralazine		Mear	ו (SD)		
Postgrad Med Inst							
				Hydralazin	Nifedipine		
				2.74 (1.35)	3.86 (1.45)		
					P<0.001		
				Time to achie	eve target BP		
				Hydralazin	Nifedipine		
				41.10 (20.29) min	57.90 (21.86) min		
					P<0.001		
				Maternal side eff	ects were similar		
Schoen et al,	Retrospective	365 singleton	No	Similar rate of maternal	morbidity (any of severe	Adjusted for age, BMI, parity,	Medium
2017, USA and	cohort study	pregnancies with		features at delivery, eclamp	osia, HELLP; ICU, abruption,	smoking, ethnicity,	
Italy, J Mat Fetal		superimposed PE,		PPH, maternal death) in	outpatients compared to	antihypertensive drug use,	
Med		198 outpatients		those managed as inpatie	ents (36.4% versus 41.3%,	concomitant diseases	
		and 167 inpatients		aOR 0.82, 95%	6CI 0.55–1.17)		
Sharma et al,	RCT	I=30 hydralzine	No	Time to achie	eve target BP	Conclusion was that the effect of	High
2017, India, AJOG		C=30 nifedipine		Median (interq	uartile interval)	iv hydralazine and oral	
				Hydralazine	Nifedipine	nifedipine was similar	
				40 (5) min	40 (40) min		
					p=0.809	1	
				Number of drug doses to achieve target BP			
				Median (interq	uartile interval)		

				Hydralazine 2 (1) Maternal s Maternal vomiting was hydralazine group (9/30 maternal outcor Labetalol 3/30 (10%) No woman hade sBP < 90 m dE	Nifedipine 2 (2) p=0.625 ide effects: s more common in the vs 2/30 p= 0.042), other mes were similar Hydralazine 7/69 (11%) nm Hg or ≥30% reduction in 3P		
Sharma et al, 2017, USA Hypertension Pregnancy	RCT	I=25 labetalol C=25 nifedipine	Two	Time to achie Labetol 37.6 (32.5) h Labetalol achieved BP con starting dose than nifedi effe No other differences in	ve BP control Nifedipine 38.2 (27.6) h p=0.51 ntrol more often with the pine and with fewer side ects. n secondary outcomes	Not blinded	Medium
Shi, 2016, China, J Clin Pharm and Therapeutics	RCT	Labetalol n=73 Nifedipine n=74	No	Time to achie Labetalol 42 (28.5-68.4) min Time interval to new Labetalol 3.1 (1-8) h No other difference	ve BP control Nifedipine 35 (24.5-71.3) min p=0.37 v hypertensive crisis Nifedipine 3.4 (1-8) min p=0.58 s in other outcomes	Not blinded	Medium
Shields et al, 2017, USA, AJOG	Prospective quality improvement project	23 hospitals, 2034 with indication for treatment with iv		Compliance with utilizat increased by 33.2%, from a .01) during the last 6 r Compliance with utilizati increased by 10.8%, from a	tion of iv BP medication baseline of 57.1-90.3% (P < nonths of monitoring. on of magnesium sulfate baseline of 85.4-96.2% (P <		Medium

		Mg and antihypertensives	.01). The incidence of ecla (1.15 ± 0.15/1000 to 0.62 ± maternal morbidity from 2.4 ± 0.10% to	mpsia declined by 42.6% : 0.09/1000 births). Severe decreased by 16.7% 2.0 ± 0.15% (P < .01).		
Stott et al, 2017, UK, UOGS	Cohort study	52 women with hypertension not on antihypertensive were given individualized therapy (labetalol n=40 or vasodilator n= 12) after hemodynamic monitoring	Serial hemodynamic moni compared with universal to of severe hypertension growth restriction was sir profile in the monitored gr Monitoring could identify h hypertensive pregnancies restric	toring to guide treatment reatment reduced the rate from 18% to 3.8%. Fetal nilar despite a higher risk oup and longer treatment. high resistance, low-output with risk for fetal growth ction.	Needs external validation	Medium
Tariq et al, 2017, Pakistan, PJMHS	RCT	Hydralazine n=50 Labetalol n=50	Maternal hy 45/100 had hypotension, and 10 (22.2%) in lab	/potension: 35 (77.8%) in hydralazine etalol group, p< 0.05	Hypertension and hypotension no defined. Randomisation unclear (lottery). Not blinded.	Low
Van Oostward et al, 2017 The Netherlands, BJOG	Case series	n=133	Maternal complications o Deterioration of HELLP duri in 48%, after 4 days. Media (range: 0–25 days). PE in n mean G	ccurred frequently (54%). ng expectant care occurred n prolongation was 5 days ext pregnancy was 31% at W 32+6	Counselling important! Better prognosis in next pregnancy	Low
Wang et al, 2017. China, J Clin Hypertension	RCT	Labetalol n=72 Nifedipine n=71	BP after 1 and 4 hour Labetalol 1h sBP 160.2 (14.6) 1 h d BP 102.7 (12.7) 4 h sBP 151.1 (16.1) 4 h dBP 98.6 (11.7) No short term adverse effe 65/71 v RR 1.07 (95% Recovery: nifedi 65/71 v	s, mm Hg, mean (SD) Nifedipine 1h sBP 162.1 (17.2) 1 h d BP 101.6 (15.4) 4 h sBP 150.6 (18.5) 4 h dBP 97.4 (11.8) All p values >0.05 octs: nifedipine vs labetalol s 58/72 o Cl 0.92-1.24) pine vs labetalol s 67/72	Randomisation not presented. Placebo controlled, blinded, no demographics presented.	Medium

				RR 0.98 (95%	5 CI 0.90-1.07)		
Webster et al, 2017, UK, Hypertension	RCT	Labetalol n=56 Nifedipine n=58	2	Labetalol vs nifedipine Mean BP 134/84 vs 134/85 mm Hg (mean difference sBP and dBP NS) Superimposed PE 8 (15%) vs 15 (26%) adj RR 1.78 (95% Cl 0.74 -3.77) NS difference in gestation at delivery Nifedipine associated with a 7.4 mm Hg reduction in central aortic pressure (mechanistic outcome) White women (but not black) had a significant treatment effect by a mean 4 mm Hg (-6.6 to -0.8 mm Hg) reduction in dBP with labetalol compared with nifedipine		No blinded Not power for analysis of most adverse maternal outcomes Feasibility confirmed Conclusions were that both labetalol and nifedipine control mean BP to target in women with CHT	Medium
Zhu et al, 2017, China, Int J Clin Exp Med	RCT	I: labetalol + Mg n= 60, C: nifedipine + Mg n=60	No	BP (mm Hg) 1 hour and Labetalol + Mg 1h sBP 142 .25 (6.58)* 1 h dBP 88.02 (2.93) 4 h sBP 134.96 (4.62)* 4 h dBP 83.85 (2.01)* Gestational age at delivery but PTB rate higher (?), u creatinine level lower in la gro More PPH in n	4 hours after treatment Nifedipine + Mg 1h sBP 149.96 (7.35)* 1 h dBP 88.37 (3.08) 4 h sBP 140.35 (4.96)* 4 h dBP 87.58 (2.83)* *p< 0.05 shorter in labetalol group, urinary protein lower and betalol group vs nifedipine oup. ifedipine group	Randomisation unclear, not blinded, gestational age at delivery unclear	Low
Zwertbroek et al, 2017, The Netherlands, AOGS	Retrospective analysis of a RCT (HYPITAT II) Prediction model	n=115 (22.2%) developed severe HDP, n=404 developed no severe HDP indicating delivery	No	The prediction model incl ratio 0.92 per year), gesta per week), systolic blood p mmHg), the presence of c ratio 2.4), platelet count (o (odds ratio 1.02) and lact ratio 1.003). The model sho	uded: maternal age (odds tional age (odds ratio 0.87 ressure (odds ratio 1.05 per hronic hypertension (odds dds ratio 0.996), creatinine ate dehydrogenase (odds owed good fit (p = 0.64), fair	External validity needed	Low

		discrimination (area under the curve 0.76, 95% confidence interval 0.73–0.81, p < 0.001) and could	
		stratify women in three risk groups of average,	
		intermediate and high risk (predicted probabilities	
		<0.22, <0.44 and >0.45, respectively).	

7. Antihypertensiva, neonatal effekt

Systematic reviews and meta-analysis n=10

Treatment of hypertension with antihypertensive therapy and/or intervention, Hypertension: mild to moderate or severe, chronic hypertension, gestational hypertension, or preeclampsia/eclampsia), antepartum or postpartum n=41

Outcome variable: Antihypertensive therapy: Efficacy and child outcomes. Interventionist care (delivery) vs expectant care: child outcomes. Corticosteroids for fetal lung maturation: child outcomes

Author, year,	Study design	Number of studies/	With drawals	Results	3	Comments	Quality (High Medium
country		ματιστιτο	dropout s	Intervention	Control		Low)
Abalos, 2014 SR Cochrane	SR	49 RCTs, Totally 4723 women with mild to moderate hypertension C1=29 trials, 3350 women C2=22 trials, 1723 women		I: Antihypertensive therapy (betablockers, methyldopa, calciumblockers either alone or in combination with other drugs): Any fetal, perinata 2/1682 Misca 6/573	C:1 placebo or no treatment al or neonatal death 1/1548 RR 0.71 (0.49-1.02) arriage 17/485 RR 0.39 (0.17-0.93)	Also comparisons by type of hypertension at trial entry, by gestation at trial entry and by use of placebo. There were no clear differences in other secondary outcomes either including: Apgar score< 4 at 5 min,. Severe prematurity <32 and <34 wks. Admission to neonatal of intensive care nursery Respiratory distress syndrome Other morbidity possibly related to maternal drug	High
				Still	birth	therapy, such as hypo- or hypertension, hypoglycaemia	-
				18/1274	11/1206 RR 1.14 (0.60-2.17)	and bradycardia (with beta blockers).	
				Perinat	al death		
				30/1243	31/1139 RR 0.96 (0.60-1.54)		
				Neonat	tal death		

1/271 2/286 RR 0.79 (0.14-4.34) Preterm birth <37 GW 289/1135 279/1006 RR 0.96 (0.85-1.19) RR 0.96 (0.85-1.19) SGA 185/1360 165/1226 185/1360 165/1226 RR 0.97 (0.80-1.17) I: Antihypertensive C:2 Methyldopa C:2 Methyldopa	
RR 0.79 (0.14-4.34) Preterm birth <37 GW	
Preterm birth <37 GW 289/1135 279/1006 RR 0.96 (0.85-1.19) SGA 185/1360 165/1226 RR 0.97 (0.80-1.17) I: Antihypertensive C:2 Methyldopa	
289/1135 279/1006 RR 0.96 (0.85-1.19) SGA 185/1360 165/1226 RR 0.97 (0.80-1.17) I: Antihypertensive C:2 Methyldopa	
RR 0.96 (0.85-1.19) SGA 185/1360 165/1226 RR 0.97 (0.80-1.17) I: Antihypertensive C:2 Methyldopa	
SGA 185/1360 165/1226 RR 0.97 (0.80-1.17) I: Antihypertensive C:2 Methyldopa	
185/1360 165/1226 RR 0.97 (0.80-1.17) I: I: Antihypertensive C:2 Methyldopa	
I: Antihypertensive C:2 Methyldopa	
I: Antihypertensive C:2 Methyldopa	
therapy	
(any, betablockers or	
calcumblockers	
Any fetal/neonatal death incl miscarriage	
C2 Any hypertensive C2 Methyldopa	
RR 0.73 (0.42-1.27)	
C2:1 Beta blockers C2:1 Methyldopa	
RR 0.73 (0.40-1.33)	
C2:2 Calcium channel C2:2 Methyldopa	
blocker RR 0.31 (0.04-2.65)	
Preterm birth <37 GW	
C2 Any hypertensive C2 Methyldopa	
RR 0.76 (0.55-1.05)	
C2:1 Beta blockers C2:1 Methyldopa	
RR 0.81 (0.57-1.15)	
C2:2 Calcium channel C2:2 Methyldopa	
blockers RR 0.53 (0.24-1.17)	
SGA	

		C2 Any hypertensive	C2 Methyldopa		
			RR 0.80 (0.53-1.21)		
		C2:1 Beta blockers	C2:1 Methyldopa		
			RR 0.85 (0.55-1.32)		
		C2:2 Calcium channel	C2:2 Methyldopa		
		blockers	RR 0.4 (0.10-1.60)		
		I: Antihypertensive	C:3 (Calcium channe		
		therapy	blockers)		
		(any, glyceryl trinitrate or			
		betablockers			
	•	Any fetal/ neonatal o	death incl miscarriage		
		C2 Apybypartansiya	C2 Calcium channe	-	
		CS Allyllypertensive	blockers		
		C2.1 Chapter tripitrata	DD = 1 O (O O C 1 C C C)		
		CS.1 Glyceryl trillitate	C2-1 calaium channe		
			blockers		
		C2-2 Data blashara			
		C3:2 Beta blockers	RR 0.0 (0.0-0.0)		
			C3:2 calcium channe		
			blockers		
			RR 1.0 (0.06-15.55)		
				-	
		Preteri	n birth		
	-	00 A			
		C3 Any hypertensive	C3 Calcium channe	1	
			blockers		
		C3:1 Glyceryl trinitrate	RR 0.63 (0.20-1.91)		
			C3:1 Calcium channe	1	
			blockers		
		C3:2 Beta blockers	RR 0.63 (0.20-1.91)		
			C3:2 Calcium channe	II	
			blockers		
			NA		
		SC	5A		

			C3 Any hypertensive	C3 Calcium channel		
				blockers		
			C3:1 Glyceryl trinitrate	RR 1.0 (0.10-9.96)		
				C3:1 Calcium channel		
				blockers		
			C3·2 Beta blockers	BR 1 0 (0 10-9 96)		
			COL Deta Dioekero	C3·2 Calcium channel		
				blockers		
				NA		
Churchill et al	SR	4 RCTs	Stil	lhirth	Interventionist care was: either	High
2013 Cochrane	51	425 women	50		induction of labour or	ingn
			Interventionist	Expectancy	caesarean section after	
			1/222	6/203	corticosteroids to improve fetal	
				RR 0.20 (0.03-1.16)	lung maturation, (in practice	
			Neona	tal death	after 24 to 48 hours). Expectant	
					care was: corticosteroids,	
			Interventionist	Exportancy	stabilization of the woman's	
			20/222		condition and then, aim to	
			50/222		delay delivery.	
				d/or HIE	Primary child outcomes were	
					stillbirth, neonatal death, IVH	
			Interventionist	Expectancy	and or HIE. Secondary	
			34/141	16/121	outcomes were	
				RR 1.82 (1.05-3.14)	low Apgar score at five minutes,	
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	neonatal seizures, hyaline	
					membrane disease,	
					pneumothorax, necrotising	
					enterocolitis, ventilation,	
					measures of long-term growth	
					and development, such as	
					important impairment and	
					cerebral palsy, small-for-	
					gestational age, gestation at	
					birth.	
					Babies in the expectant	
					management group were less	
					likely to have hyaline	

					membrane disease, need ventilation, had higher gestational age at birth, less need for NICU, shorter stay at NICU, but were more SGA than babies in the interventionist group	
Cluver et al, 2017, Cochrane	SR	5 RCTs, 1891 women	Composite infant mortality enough data (a high level the two studies in this pooled) There were no c subgroups based on ou gestational age, gestati Planned early delivery w levels of respiratory distre Cl 1.20 to 4.18, three studi admission (RR 1.65, 95% C 1585 infants). for the baby in hospital stay: (MD -0.20 one study, 756 infants, ev	y and severe morbidity: not of heterogeneity between analysis so data was not lear differences between ur subgroup analysis by onal week or condition. as associated with higher ss syndrome (RR 2.24, 95% es, 1511 infants), and NICU I 1.13 to 2.40, four studies, y Not significant difference days, 95% CI -0.57 to 0.17, ridence graded moderate).		High
Crowther Cochrane. 2015	SR	10 RC1s 4733 women	Repeat doses >7 days 365/1272 Composite seriou Repeat doses >7 days 200/1121	Single course 426/1266 RR 0.86 (0.77-0.96) us infant outcome Single course 256/1111	Women considered to be at risk of preterm birth who had received a single course of prenatal corticosteroid seven or more days previously were included. Significant differences were found for birth weight and SGA (lower mean birth weight (76g) and increased rate of babies	High
				RR 0.78 (0.66-0.91)	being SGA with repeat steroids). No differences in other primary outcomes including mortality, chronic lung disease, IVH and disability at childhood follow up. No	

					significant differences for secondary outcomes including growth, blindness, deafness,	
					CP, neurodevelopment.	
Duley, 2013	SR	35 RCTs.	Labetalol ver	sus hydralazine	Author's conclusion:	AMSTAR
Cochrane		Totally	Fetal or ne	onatal death	"The choice of antihypertensive	High
		very high blood pressure, sBP ≥170	3/141	4/133 RR 0.75 (0.17-3.21)	experience and familiarity with a particular drug; on what is	
		or dBP ≥105 mm	No other significant d	ifferences or secondary	known about adverse effects;	
		Hg	outcomes including PTB;	IRDS, NEC, ROP, IVH, Apgar	and on women's preferences.	
			score and long	term outcomes	Exceptions are nimodipine,	
			Labetalol versus calo 5 trials, 1	tium channel blockers 71 women	this is indicated for women who	
			No significant difference	for neonatal outcomes, but	prevention or treatment of	
			few events	and wide CIs	eclampsia), diazoxide and	
			Labetalol vers	sus methyldopa	ketanserin, which are probably	
			1 trial, 7	4 women	best avoided."	
			Insufficient data to assess neonatal outcomes			
			Calcium channel blog	kara varaus hydralazin		
			8 trials, 4	04 women		
			No significant difference	for neonatal outcomes, but		
			few events	and wide CIs		
Firoz, 2014,	SR	16 RCTs, 15 during	Single oral		Conclusion was that there was	High
Canada		pregnancy (914	antihypertensive agents:	C1 1 Demonstral - handler la sin a	no difference in neonatal	
		women) and one	cioral/sublingual	CI:IParental hydralazine	outcome.	
		(38 women) with	lineaipine	C1:2 Labetalol iv	one arm with oral	
		very high BP		No difference in neonatal	antihypertensive.	
		(sBP ≥160 mmHg		outcome (CS, adverse fetal	Overlap with Duley?	
		and/or dBP ≥110		heart effects, Apgar score		
		mmHg		< 7 at 5 min, perinatal		

			C2 Nifedipine capsules C3 Oral labetalol	death, neonatal death or stillbirth C2 Nifedipine tablets No fetal death in either arm. C3 Oral methyldopa No difference in CS or perinatal death.		
Guida et al, 2017, Brazil	SR (2 RCTs and 4 cohort studies)	Women with preterm preeclampsia< 34 GW or between 34 and 37 GW N=183, 703, 670120, 696, 516 and 199, respectively	< 34 GW: Immediate delivery vs exp Perinatal outcomes wer delivery 34 – 37 GW: Immediate delivery Extectant management ha	ectancy: e worse with immediate vs expectancy: d better perinatal outcome	No pooled estimates presented	Low
			C2:1 timolol, C2:2oral hydralazine C2:3 oral nifedipine C3 Hydralazine iv	C2:1: methyldopa C2:2: methyldopa C2:3: methyldopa No neonatal data available C3 Sublingual nifedipine or intravenous labetalol		
Roberts, 2017 Cochrane,	SR (30 RCTs)	7774 women and 8158 infants	Treatment with antenatal with placebo or no treatm reduction in the most serio related to prematurity, inc	corticosteroids (compared ent) is associated with a ous adverse outcomes luding: perinatal death (risk	Author conclusion: this update supports the continued use of a single course of antenatal corticosteroids to accelerate	High

				ratio (RR) 0.72, 95% CI 0.58 (RR 0.69, 95% CI 0.59 to 0.3 0.56 to 0.77); moderate/sev 0.38 to 0.91); intraventricu 0.55, 95% CI 0.40 to 0.76), (RR 0.50, 95% CI 0.32 to 0.7 ventilation (RR 0.68, 95% C systemic infections in the f 0.60, 95% CI 0.41 to 0.88).	8 to 0.89) neonatal death 81), RDS (RR 0.66, 95% Cl vere RDS (RR 0.59, 95% Cl lar haemorrhage (IVH) (RR necrotising enterocolitis 78); need for mechanical 1 0.56 to 0.84); and irst 48 hours of life (RR	fetal lung maturation in women at risk of preterm birth. A single course of antenatal corticosteroids could be considered routine for preterm delivery.	
Shekhar, 2015, India	SR	7 RCTs, women severe hypertension	363 with	Oral nifedipine	Labetalol iv	Conclusion was that oral nifedipine is as sate as intravenous labetalol. Primary perinatal outcomes	High
				Fetal heart rat	e abnormalities	were fetal heart rate (FHR)	
				2/166	8/157 RR 0.31 (0.09-1.11)	treatment, stillbirth and neonatal death. Secondary	
				Still	birth	neonatal outcomes were need	
				13/121	18/157 RR 0.66 (0.35-1.27)	Apgar at 5 minutes. There was no significant	
				Neonat	al death	difference in low Apgar or NICU	
				3/116	10/105 RR 0.27 (0.09-0.87)	admission.	
Wang et al 2017	SR (7 RCTs)	1501 women		Ventilatory su	pport (<34 GW)	No difference in fetal or neonatal	High
Arch Gynecol Obstet, China				73/161 RR 1.50 (1.11- 2.02	42/139	mortality or NEC (in < 34 or > 34 GW	
				IVH or HI	E (<34 GW)	group)	
				38/274 RR 1.94 (1.15-3.28)	17/252		
Original articles n=	31			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
				Mean prolongat	ion of pregnancy		Low

Astudillo, 2013,	Retrospectiv	Women with	Gr A: mean 8.42 days (SD	Gr B 10.5 days (SD 8.24;	Conclusion: Women with	
Spain	e	severe PE between	7.46; range 2-29 days)	range 2-41 days).	severe EO-PE and associated	
	observationa	23+6 and 33+6	N	P=0.391	risk factors benefited from	
	I CONORT	GW: GrA: Women	No significant difference in	perinatal outcomes	expectant management.	
	study	with concomitant	between Gr A and Gr B (SG	A, Apgar score, jaundice,	Concomitant risk factors were	
		risk factors n=26)	IRDS, NEC, ROP. IVH , seps	S)	associated medical condition,	
		GrB: women			HELLP, severe	
		factors (n. 22)			oligonydramniosis, fetal	
		factors (n=33)			growth restriction, multiple	
Determent et el		2 202 116	Ne evetel hume el		pregnancies	Madium
Bateman et al,	Conort study,	2 292 116	Neonatal nypogr	ycemia (ICD.code)	Propensity score matching for	Iviedium
2016, USA	Propensity score	pregnancies,	Any betablocker	/s no beta-blocker:	maternal demographics,	
	(PS) matched 1:3	10 585 (0.5%)	4.3%	/S 1.2%	obstetric and medical	
		ovposed	AUR 1.68 (95)	% CI 1.50-1.89)	conditions and exposure to	
		2 201 E21 non			analysis with mathyldona as	
		2 291 331 1011-	AUR 1.78 (95)	% CI 1.55-2.04)	analysis with methyluopa as	
		exposed,		(111522)	subgroups as women with	
		10.561 exposed	AGN 1.04 (95	2000l	disbetes term delivery showed	
		and 31 683 non	ACR 1 54	(0.99-2.4)	similar results	
		exposed	AON 1.54	(0.33-2.4)	sinnar results.	
		caposed	Neonatal brady	cardia (ICD code)		
			Any betablocker	/s no beta-blocker:		
			1.6%	/s 0.5%		
			AOR 1.29 (95)	% CI 1.07-1.55)		
			Labe	, etalol:		
			AOR 1.34 (95	% CI 1.08-1.67)		
			Meto	prolol:		
			AOR 0.59 (95	% CI 0.32-1.09)		
			Ater	nolol:		
			AOR 1.16	(0.60-2.27)		
Bergman et al,	Case-malformed	117 122	No association with beta-b	lockers and previously	Case-malformed control study	Medium
2017	control study	registrations of	reported anomalies (neura	l tube defects, cleft lip	design (limited recall or	
EUROCAT		congenital	with or without cleft palate	e, cleft palate, congenital	information bias, but results not	
		malformations,	heart defects, hypospadias) for any beta-blocker, or		

		49 243 had signal anomalies, controls were 50 709 with non- chromosomal, non-signal anomalies and 17 170 with chromosomal anomalies. 320 (0.27%) exposed to beta-blockers in first trimester		non selective, selective or o blocker. The exploratory ar association with multicystic beta-blocker (9 cases) AOR for combined alfa-and beta (1.3-11.0)	combined alfa-and beta- nalysis showed an c renal dysplasia for any 2.5 (95% CI 1.3-5.1) and a-blocker (4 cases) AOR 3.8	generalized to the general population. Adjusted for centre, year of birth, pregnancy outcome, use of other hypertensive agents and maternal age	
Broekhuijsen, 2015, the Netherlands	Retrospective cohort study	Chronic hypertension: 3457 women Controls: 984 932 normotensive women		Composite adverse neonatal outcome 85/3 457 vs 21 556/984 93 AOR 0.8 (0.6-1.0) Neonatal morbidity 602/3 457 vs 130 264/ 984 932 AOR 1.2 (1.1-1.4)		Conclusion: Optimal time for delivery for women with chronic hypertension is between 38 and 40 GW. Adverse neonatal outcome was a composite measure of Apgar score <7 at 5 minutes, severe respiratory morbidity, neonatal seizures or perinatal death (stillbirth or neonatal death < 7 days after birth) Neonatal morbidity included SGA, BPD, PVL, HIE etc,	Medium
DelgadoDe Pasquale, 2013, Panama	RCT	261 women with severe hypertension (sBP≥160 mmHg/dBP ≥110 mmHg	19	No child	outcome		
Dhananjaya, 2015 India	RCT	Women with BP ≥160/110 mmHg, ≥28 GW	NR	Oral nifedipine (n=30)) vs Labetalol iv (n=30)	Neonatal outcomes were secondary outcomes. No	Low

Duro-Gomez et al,	Retrospective	Nifedipine (N):43	NR	No difference in mean gestational age, mean birth weight, Apgar score, NICU admission or neonatal mortality No difference in neonatal adverse outcomes.	significant difference in neonatal outcome Only 14 women, but 55 crisis	Low
2017, Spain	cohort study	crisis (10 women), Labetalol (L): 12 crisis (4 women)				
Durst et al, 2016, USA	Retrospective cohort study	320 women: 37+0-37+6: n=67 38+0-38+6: n=76 39+0-39+6: n=177	NR	CS (=primary outcome): 37+0-37+6: 18/67 (26.9%) 38+0-38+6: 15/76 (19.7%) 39+0-39+6: 53/177 (29.9%) p value for trend=0.39 AOR (95% Cl) for maternal and neonatal outcomes compared to \geq 39 GW Any (neonatal or maternal adverse outcome: 37+0-37+6: 0.60 (0.33-1.10) 38+0-38+6: 0.50 (0.28-0.90) Neonatal outcomes were similar among the groups.	Composite maternal outcome included CS, endometritis, PPH, DVT, readmission, BP treatment pp, wound infection, CA. Composite neonatal outcomes included NICU admissions, length of stay ≥5 days, Apgar score at 5 ≤3 RDS or death. Any adverse outcome was any adverse maternal or neonatal outcome Adjusted for BMI	Low
Fisher et al, 2017, USA	Case control study	10 645 congenital heart defects (CHD) cases and 11 137 non malformed controls randomly selected from birth certificates Early pregnancy: Exposed n=164, controls n=102, late pregnancy;		Any early pregnancy antihypertensive medication: Any CHD: AOR 1.59 (95% CI 1.23-2.05) Untreated hypertension: Any CHD: AOR 1.23 (1.11-1.36) Any late pregnancy antihypertensive medication: Any CHD: AOR 1.78 (95% CI 1.32-2.40) Any early pregnancy antihypertensive medication: Coarctatio aortae: AOR 2.50 (95% CI 1.52-4.11) Pulmonary valve stenosis: AOR 2.19 (95% CI 1.44- 3.34) Perimembranous ventricular septal defect: AOR 1.90 (95% CI 1.09-3.31)	Confounding by underlying disease cannot be ruled out. Few cases in each subgroup of CHD	Medium

		Exposed n=122, controls n=72		Secundum atrial septal defect AOR 1.94 (95% Cl 1.36-2.79) Beta-blockers and renin-angiotensin system blockers were associated with these specific CHDs (not coarctatio for renin-angiotensin system blocker) and any CHD		
Harper et al, 2016, USA	Retrospective cohort study	Women with a live singleton pregnancy reaching ≥36 GW and chronic hypertension: All, (planned delivery vs expectant management) 36 GW n=683 (20 vs 663), 37 GW n= 572 (39 vs 533), 38 GW n= 414 (30 vs 384) and 39 GW n= 280 (124 vs 156)		Planned early deliveries vs late planned deliveries: Primary neonatal outcome <37 GW vs ≥37 GW; 10.0% vs 2.6%, p=0.04 38+0 -38+6 GW vs ≥38+0 GW: 0 vs 2.3%%, NS Planned 36-38+6 GW vs ≥39+0 GW 4.5% vs 2.5% AOR 1.9 (95% CI 0.6-5.9) Perinatal death NS	Adjusted for parity, smokiung	Medium
Kawakita et al, 2018, USA	Retrospective cohort study	Women with PE (mild or severe), ≥ 34 GW, singleton pregnancy IOL: n=5104 CS: n= 402	NR	IOL (induction of labour) vs planned CS: Composite neonatal outcome: 0.7% vs 1.2% AOR: 0.32(95% CI: 0.10–0.99) NICU admission: 16.2% vs 26.6% AOR: 0.60 (95% CI: 0.43–0.84) TTN: 3.9% vs 8.5% AOR: 0.38 (95% CI: 0.22–0.64) RDS: 2.1% vs 5.2% AOR: 0.44 (95%CI: 0.22–0.86) Composite neonatal outcome for nulliparous: 0.8% vs 1.5% AOR: 0.23(95% CI: 0.07–0.80)	Stratification for parity Adjusted for age, race/ethnicity, marital status, insurance type, hospital type, diabetes (preexisting or gestational), gestational age, body mass index, and type of preeclampsia (planned CS as referent).	Medium

				NS for m	ultiparous		
Madazli, 2013, Cohort study 144 women, Turkey 2013, Cohort study 144 women, (early preeclampsia PE]=91 [45 and 46 sever late preeclampsia PE]=63 [34 and 29 sever	Cohort study	144 women,(earlyonsetpreeclampsia[EO-PE]=91[45 mildand 46 severe]lateonsetpreeclampsia[LO-	NR	EO-PE Mild PE (n=45) vs Severe PE (n=46) No significant differences between low Apgar score, abnormal Ut artery Doppler, birth weight , SGA, stillbirth and early neonatal death		Rates of SGA, oligohydramniosis, Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes, stillbirth and early neonatal death was higher in EO-PE than in LO-PE (p<0.001)	Low
	and 29 severe])		LO-PE				
			Mild PE (n=34) vs Severe PI No significant differences b abnormal Ut artery Dopple stillbirth and early neonata	E (n=29) eetween low Apgar score, r, birth weight, SGA, l death			
Magee, 2015 RCT 1030 v International study (CHIPS trial) preexis gestati	1030 women with nonprotein-uric preexisting or gestational	49	Primary outcome (miscarriage, ectopic pregnancy, pregnancy termination, stillbirth or neonatal mortality) or high level neonatal care (more than 48 hours)		There was no significant difference between less-tight and tight control for any neonatal outcome.	High	
		hypertension		Less-tight control 155/493	Tight control 150/488 AOR 1.02 (0.77-1.35)	Primary outcome was a composite of pregnancy loss (miscarriage, ectopic pregnancy, pregnancy termination_stillbirth or	
				Pregnancy loss (miscarr pregnancy termination mort	iage, ectopic pregnancy, n, stillbirth or neonatal rality)	neonatal mortality) or high level neonatal care (more than 48 hours).Other outcomes included components of the primary outcomes, measurements of fetal growth	
				Less-tight control 15/493	Tight control 13/488 AOR 1.14 (0.53-2.45)		
				High level neonatal car	e (more than 48 hours)	5	

			Less-tight control 141/480 SC Less-tight control <10 th percentile 79/491 <3 rd percentile 23/491	Tight control 139/479 AOR 1.00 (0.75-1.33) 5A Tight control <10 th percentile 96/488 AOR 0.78 (0.56-1.08) <3 rd percentile 26/491 AOR 0.92 (0.51-1.63)	(SGA) and newborn complications. Adjusted for type of hypertension (preexisting vs gestational), center, use of antihypertensive therapy at randomization, previous BP of 160/110 mmHg or higher during the pregnancy, gestational diabetes, gestational age at	
Magee, 2016a Cohor International study (CHIPS trial), BJOG dary a of CH (RCT)	ort ly.Secon- / analysis HIPS trial []	481/987 CHIPS recruits at randomisati and 657/987 CHIPS recruits postrandomisation	At rando Methyldopa Primary 67/241 Birth weigh 30/240 Post- randomizati Methyldopa Primary 58/224 Birth weight 32/223	omization vs Labetalol outcome 93/237 AOR 0.68 (0.42-1.10) t <10 centile	randomisation Primary outcome definition, see Magee 2015 above. Women treated with methyldopa versus labetalol (particularly those with pre- existing hypertension) may have better outcomes (significantly less babies with birth weight < 10 th centile and also preterm birth < 34 and 37 wks postrandomisation), Adjusted for allocation group, type of hypertension (preexisting vs gestational), center, use of antihypertensive therapy at randomization, previous BP of 160/110 mmHg or higher during the pregnancy, gestational age at	High
				AOR 0.54 (0.32-0.92)	randomization and for the postrandomisation analysis whether women were on antihypertensive at randomization (yes/no)	

Magee, 2016b	Cohort	566/987 CHIPS		At rando	omization	Primary outcome definition.	High
International study	study.Secon-	recruits at		Less-tigh	it vs tight.	see Magee 2015 above.	
(CHIPS trial) BIOG	dary analysis	randomisation and		Methyldona (MD) and labetalol (IB)	Outcomes for "less-tight"	
	(RCT)	815 /987 CHIPS		Primary	outcome	versus "tight" control were not	
	recruits				dependent on use of MD or LB.		
	postrandomisation		LB 42/110		both for considering the use of		
				NAD 40/125	AUR 0.92 (0.53-1.62)	LB or MD before or after	
				WID 40/125	MD 27/116	randomization.	
					1.56 (0.86-2.83)	Adjusted for type of	
				Birth weight	<10° centile	hypertension (preexisting vs	
				LB 20/108	LB 31/126	gestational), center, use of	
					AOR 0.67 (0.35-1.30)	antihypertensive therapy at	
				MD 15/125	MD 15/115	randomization, previous BP of	
					AOR 0.80 (0.36-1.76)	160/110 mmHg or higher	
				Post- randomizat	ion before delivery	during the pregnancy,	
				Less-tig	nt vs tight	gestational age at	
				Primary	outcome	randomization and for the	
			·	LB 79/186	LB 83/247	postrandomisation analysis	
				20 / 5/ 200	AOR 1 38 (0 91-2 10)	whether women were on	
				MD 27/98	MD 31/126	antihypertensive at	
					AOR 1.15 (0.61-2.16)	randomization (yes/no)	
				Birth weight	<10 th centile		
				LB 37/183	LB 54/247		
					AOR 0.93 (0.57-1.50)		
				MD 11/98	MD 21/125		
					AOR 0.65 (0.29-1.45)		
McKinnev et al.	Retrospectiv	60 women with PE		No FGR	vs FGR	FGR was associated with a	Low
2016, AJOG, USA	e single	and FGR and 139				shorter interval to delivery (see	
,,	center	with PE and no FGR				maternal outcome). Admission	
	cohort study			BW 1810 g ±736	BW 992±437, p<0.001	to NICU, neonatal length of stav	
	,			SGA 26/139	SGA3//60, p<0.001	and NNM were higher when	
				NNM 6/139	NNM 8/60, p<0.02	there was FGR, but after logistic	
				NICU 106/139	NICU 58/60, p=0.001	regression analysis these	
				NICU stay, d, median (IQR):	NICU stay, d, median (IQR):	associations were no longer	
				14 (10-18)	44 (27-64), p<0.001	significant.	
	1						

			No FGR	vs FGR	Onset of PE was < 34 GW	
			Logistic regre	ssion analysis	Adjustment: maternal race,	
			NNM 6/139	NNM 8/60	smoking, chronic hypertension,	
			NICU 106/139	AOR 1.06 (0.53-2.13) NICU 58/60 AOR 1.74 (0.80-3.78	GA at delivery, severe PE, fetal sex	
	Retrospectiv	Labetalol 76,	 Labetalol vs	nicardipine	Conclusion was that labetalol	Low
Noijj, 2014	e nested case	nicardipine 99		•	had more neonatal side effects	
The Netherlands	control study and meta- analysis (2 RCTs)		Dysmaturity 9/76 BW 2590±929 g	Dysmaturity 11/99 p=0.889 BW 2673±708 g p=0.497	(although not significant)!! Dysmaturity was defined as a birth weight < p2.3.	
			Other neonatal side hypotension and respir retrospective study a significan No figur	L effects (hypoglycemia, ratory problems) (only in nd not in MA) were not t different. es shown.		
Orbach, 2013,	Retrospectiv	CTN and no	Preterm delivery (wi	thout hypertension as	Chronic hypertension with or	Medium
Israel	e cohort	antihypertensive	refe	rence)	without treatment during	
	study	therapy n=1074 women.	Non-treated hypertension	AOR 1.89 (1.59-2.25)	pregnancy is an independent and significant risk factor for adverse	
		CTN with antihypertensive	Exposure to MD or atenolol	AOR 3.23 (2.57-4.05)	neonatal outcomes, the risk was lower among non-treated than	
	therapy in the 1 ^s trimester n=620	therapy in the 1 st trimester n=620	SGA (without hyper	tension as reference)	among treated women. Both methyldopa and atenolol was	
		women (atenolol	Non-treated hypertension	AOR 2.06 (1.44-2.95)	associated with adverse outcomes.	
		+methyldopa	Exposure to MD or	AOR 2.25 (1.29-3.94)	The exposed group were women with antihypertensive treatment	
		n=114, atenolol n=188)	IUGR (without hyper	rtension as reference)	(methyldopa or atenolol) during	
			Non-treated hypertension	AOR 2.09 (1.51-2.89)		

		No CTN: 97 820		Exposure to MD or	AOR 4.40 (3.02-6.40)	and atenolol were also assessed	
		women		atenolol		individually.	
						Adjustment for maternal age,	
						ethnicity, smoking, diabetes	
						mellitus,	
						twin pregnancy lack of perinatal	
						care and parity,	
Owens et al, 2014	RCT	Immediate	3 and 11	Immediate delivery	Immediate vs Expectancy:	Study stopped at 183/ 220	Low
Journal of the		delivery:		(within 12 hours)	Birth weight	patients	
Mississippi Med		n=94			2491(426) vs 2766 (509)g		
Assoc		Expectancy: n=75			p=0.01		
					NS for other neonatal		
					outcomes incl NICU and		
					NICU stay		
Patel et al, 2017,	RCT	l= 76	No	Fetal and neonatal o	outcomes were similar	Not blinded	Low
India, The J Ob		(hydralazine)		(Fetal heart rate abno	ormalities, Apgar, NICU,		
Gyn India		C=76 (labetalol)		still	birth)		
Sabir et al, 2016,	Quasi RCT	I=50 nifedipine,	No	Fetal heart rate abnorma	lities were similar 3/50 and	Not blinded	Low
Pakistan, J		C=50 hydralazine		1/50 res	spectively		
Postgrad Med Inst							
Schoen et al,	Retrospective	365 singleton		Fetuses from women in t	he outpatient group had a	Adjusted for age, BMI, parity,	Medium
2017, USA and	cohort study	pregnancies with		significantly lower risk o	f small for gestational age	smoking, ethnicity,	
Italy, J Mat Fetal		superimposed PE,		(17.7%versus 29.3%; aO	R 0.53, 95%CI 0.30–0.84),	antihypertensive drug use,	
Med		198 outpatients		and lower risk of admise	sion to neonatal intensive	concomitant diseases	
		and 167 inpatients		care unit (40.4% versus	47.9%; aOR 0.72, 95%Cl		
				0.39–0.95) compared	to women managed as		
				inpa	tients.		
Sharma et al,	RCT	I=30 hydralazine	No	Apgar, NICU and birthw	eight were similar in both	Blinded	High
2017, India, AJOG		C=30 nifedipine		gro	oups		
Sharma et al,	Retrospective	I=30 labetalol	N=17	Fetal heart trace (FHT)	available for 82 women:		Low
2016,	cohort study	C=69 hydralazine		No difference between g	oups, no category III trace,		
Hypertension				no women delivered be	cause of FHT abnormality.		
Pregnancy				Posttreatment hypoten	sion was associated with		
				increased risk for FHT ab	normality 44% vs 14% , OR		
				5.60 (95% C	21 1.26-24.82)		
Shi, 2016, China,	RCT	I=iv labetalol	No	No differences in	Apgar score or BW	Not blinded	Medium

J Clin Pharm and Therapeutics		C=oral nifedipine				
Su et al, 2013, Taiwan	Cohort study	2727 women with	LBW	<2500g	Women on antihypertensive	Medium
		matched controls	Non-treated hypertension	AOR 1.47 (1.13-1.91)	PTB and SGA compared to	
			Exposure to antihypertensive drugs	AOR 2.29 (1.95-2.68)	types of antihypertensives were associated with increased risk	
			Preterm b	irth <37 GW	for LBW, PTB and SGA but	
			Non-treated hypertension	AOR 1.30 (1.01-1.66)	women on vasodilators had the highest risk of LBW, PTB and SGA. Antihypertensive drugs were	
			Exposure to antihypertensive drugs	AOR 2.18 (1.89-2.52)		
		S	GA	α agonists, beta-blockers (BBs) combined α and BBs, calcium channel blockers, diuretics and		
		Non-treated hypertension	AOR 1.27 (1.07-1.52)			
			Exposure to antihypertensive drugs	AOR 1.62 (1.45-1.81)	more than one drug were excluded. Women with PE and gestational hypertension were excluded. Primary outcome was LBW, other outcomes were PTB and SGA. Adjustment for maternal age, parity, education and comorbidity.	
Van Oostward et al, 2017 The Netherlands, BJOG	Case series	n=140 children	Neonatal survival was poo (6.6%) if the mother was a of gestation. Complication among survivors (84%). A neonatal survival was 54%	or (19%), and was worse admitted before 24 weeks ns occurred frequently fter active support (in 36%), %.		Low

Wang et al, 2017.	RCT	Labetalol n=72		Apgar score >7		Randomisation not presented.	Medium
China, J Clin		Nifedipine n=71		Nifedipine 66/71, labetalo	l 68/72	Placebo controlled, blinded, no	
Hypertension				RR 0.98 95% CI 0.90-1.07)		demographics presented.	
				NS birth weight			
Webster et al,	RCT	Labetalol 56	2	NS difference in birth weig	ght, adjusted mean	No statistics for adverse	Medium
2017, UK,		Nifedipine 58		difference -240 g(-590 to 2	110 g)	outcomes, given the study was	
Hypertension				Any adverse neonatal effe	ct in 11 (22%) in labetalol	not powered for this.	
				and in 17 (33%) in nifedipi	ne group.	Not blinded	
				Admittance to NICU 11 (22	2%) vs 15 (29%) NS		
Xie et al, 2014,	Retrospective	Women with		All hypertensive disord	ders in pregnancy (HDP)	Women with chronic	Medium
Canada	cohort study	HDP:		BB v	rs MD	hypertension (CH) and BBs vs	
		l=betablocker (BB))	SGA <10 ¹¹¹ centiles	SGA <10 [™] centiles	women with CH and MD had	
		n=416		17.3%	14.5%	higher risk of SGA<10 th centile	
		C=methyldopa			AOR 1.24 (0.90-1.70)	and SGA<3 ¹⁴ centile and	
		only n=1000		SGA <3rd centiles	SGA <3rd centiles	neonatal hospitalitzation (also	
				5.5%	5.5%	adjusted for time of starting	
					AOR 0.99 (0.59-1.66)	treatment).	
				РТВ	РТВ	women with CH, gestational	
				24.3%	26.9%	included	
				C1111 1 1	AOR 0.84 (0.64-1.10)	Main outcomes were SGA < 10^{th}	
				Stillbirth	Stillbirth	and sard contile DTP	
				0.96%		hospitalization for IRDS sensis	
				lu fa u tala a th	AUR 2.25 (0.54-9,36)	or seizures stillbirth and infant	
				Infant death	Infant death	death	
				0.48%		Adjustment for maternal age	
				Lloopitalization for IDDC	AUR 0.88 (0.17-4.50)	parity, birth year and HDP	
				Hospitalization for IRDS,			
				5.5%			
					AUN 1.40 (0.02-2.39)		
7hu et al. 2017	RCT	I: labetalol + Mg	No	Apgar score lower and m	nore neonatal asphyxia in	Randomisation unclear not	low
China, Int J Clin		n= 60.		nifedipine group	vs labetalol group	blinded, gestational age at	2011
Exp Med		C: nifedipine + Mg			0.1.1	delivery unclear	
		n=60					

8. Magnesiumsulfat regimer

Systematic reviews n=4

Treatment of preeclampsia/eclampsia

Magnesium sulfate regimens for treatment of eclampsia or preeclampsia n=14

Outcome variable: Efficacy: Prevention of eclampsia or prevention of recurrent seizures in women with eclampsia after post-treatment initiation, Pharmacokinetics

Author, year,	Study design	Number of	With drawals	Results		Comments	
country		studies/ patients	- dropouts	Intervention	Control		
Bain, 2012, BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth	SR	143, 21 RCTs, 15 nonrando mised, 32 case series, 75 reports	NR	Compared with placebo or ne associated with an increased cardiac arrest or respiratory increased the risk of any adv 95% Cl 2.42-8.83, 4 trials, 13 cessation due to adverse effe 3.30, 5 trials, 13 666 women were observed between regi compared to high dose reduc 0.05; 95% Cl 0.01-0.039, 126 supported an association bet threatening consequences	o treatment MgSO4was not risk of maternal death, arrest. MgSO4 significantly erse effects overall, (RR 4.62: 322 women) and treament ects (RR 2.77; ; 95% CI 2.32-). Few subgroup differences mens. In one trial low dose ced treatment cessation (RR women. Case reports tween overdose and life		Overall quality of the SR: Medium according to AMSTAR
Gordon, 2014 JOGC	SR	26 (10 RCT, 16 observati onal studies) 4688 women	NR	Eclampsia 0.0-26.5%, mediar 14 g MgSO4 (Pritchard loadir standard Pritchard* regimen eclampsia or preeclampsia: Eclampsia occurrence or recu 5.65)	n 3% ng dose*: 4 g iv + 10 g im) vs : 4 RCT, 396 women with urrence: RR 1.64 (95% CI 0.48-	Studies performed in low and middle income countries. HDP ofter not well defined. 39 different MgSO4 regimens, 22/25 studies evaluated reduced dose or duration of treatment.	Overall quality of the SR: Low according to AMSTAR

				 10 g MgSO4 as loading dose vs standard Pritchard* regimen): 1 RCT, 103 women with eclampsia or preeclampsia: Eclampsia occurrence: p=0.142, recurrence; p=0.195. 1 observational study (Bangladesh), 265 women with eclampsia obtained MgSO4, 10 g loading dose (4 g iv+ 6 g im) in their home vs no treatment followed by referral: Eclampsia recurrence: RR 0.23 (95% CI 0.11-0.49) No other statistics in the article. 		
Pratt, 2015 AOGS	SR	5 non RCT (quasi- RCT, cohort, case- control, cross- sectional	NR	Seizures post-treatment initiation: Low dose vs Pritchard*: 5 studies (3 eclampsia, 2 preeclampsia) 899 women: OR 1.02 (95% Cl 0.46-2.28) Loading dose vs Pritchard*: 2 studies (1 eclampsia, 1 preeclampsia) 146 women: OR 0.99 (0.22-4.50)	Included studies of low or very low quality. Definitions of HDP not always clear.	Overall quality of the SR: Medium according to AMSTAR
Vigil de Garcia and Kudmir, 2014 J Mat Fetal and Neonatal med	SR	2 RCT	NR	MgSO4 vs other therapy/no therapy/placebo in women with severe PE or eclampsia <i>diagnosed postpartum</i> No difference in eclampsia (one trial, Magpie) For seizure recurrence MgSO4 was superior to diazepam, but no difference to phenytoin, (Coll trial)	Not MgSO4 regimen	Low
Original articles (RCTs	or cohort stud	lies) n=10 (e	eclampsia n	=1, magnesium sulfate regimens n= 9)		
Lal, 2013, Pregn Hypertension	Cohor t (ecla mpsia			Women with eclampsia (n=191) vs women with preeclampsia (n=7012) Maternal outcomes Cesarean section 48.7% vs 35.7%, OR 1.71 (95% Cl) 1.28- 2.28) Postpartum blood transfusion 7.0% vs 5.1%, OR 1.41 (95% Cl 0.56-3.52) Maternal ICU admission 9.4% vs 0.8%; OR 12.88 (95% Cl 7.0 vs 23.7)	Rate of eclampsia 0.08%	Low

Abdul, 2013	RCT	72	0?	Low dose:	Pritchard:	Women with eclampsia	Low
Arch Gyn Ob		women		Recurrent seizures: 2/39	Recurrent seizures: 1/33	antepartum, intrapartum or	
				p=0.587		Rate of eclampsia 4.2%	
Anjum, 2016 Arch Gyn Ob	RCT (quasi rando mised)	208 women	15 women with complicat ed eclampsia was excluded	12 hour MgSO4 1g/h regimen Recurrent seizures post treatment: 0/132 No recurrent seizures in eith MgSO4 for 12 or 24 hours.	24 hour MgSO4 1g/h regimen. Recurrent seizures posttreatment: 0/76 er group after completion of	Women with eclampsia antepartum, intrapartum or postpartum First 6 months control group, then 6 months intervention etc. Rate of eclampsia: 3.9%	Low
Anjum, 2016 Int J Gyn Ob	RCT? No detail s about rando misati on	119 women	15 women with elevated serum creatinine Or previous eclampsia were excluded	Zuspan** regimen +6 hourZuspan* regimen + 24 hourMgSO4 1 g/h after deliveryMgSO4 1 g/h after deliverySeizures posttreatment:Seizures posttreatment:0/760/43No recurrent seizures in either group after completion ofMgSO4 for 6 or 24 hours.		Women with severe preeclampsia postpartum. In correct number of patients (should be 119-15=104, now 119)	Low
Brookfield, 2016 AJOG	Cohor t study	111 women	Women on dialysis	Pharmacokinetics of MGSO4, influenced by maternal weigh (n=92, 83%)	(serum magnesium levels) t and presence of preeclampsia		Medium
Charoenvidhya and Manotaya, 2013, J med Assoc Thai, Thailand	RCT	60 women		A maintenance dose at 2 g/ho the therapeutic level of serum to 1g/hour with no difference outcome	ur was more likely to attain magnesium when compared in maternal and neonatal		Low
Jana, 2013 Int J Gyn Ob	Cohor t study	554 women	NR	Low dose MgSO4 regimen No of recurrent seizures: 34/554 (6.1%)	Pritchard regimen No of recurrent seizures: 82/841 (9.7%)	Women with eclampsia. First period 2001-2001 compared with the Collaborative Eclampsia Trial. Second period (n=841)	Low

				p=0.02			
	Case	2929	NR	Low dose MgSO4 regimen	No control group	Retrospective study 2003-2011	
	series	women		No of recurrent seizures NR			
Kashanian, 2015	RCT	182	0	12 h MgSO4 maintenance (5	24 h MgSO4 maintenance (5	Women with severe preeclampsia	Medium
J Mat Fetal Med		women		g im /4 h):	g im /4 h)	postpartum	
Neonatal Med				Seizures: 1/79	Seizures: 0/91		
				p:	=1.0		
Maia, 2014	RCT	120	Protocol	12 h MgSO4 maintenance	24 h MgSO4 maintenance	Women with severe preeclampsia	Medium
Int J Gyn Ob		women	violation:	(1 g/h):	(1 g/h)	postpartum	
			2,	Seizures: 0/56	Seizures: 0/56		
			Excluded	p	=1.0		
			during				
			monitorin				
			g:6				
Salinger et al 2013	Part of RCT	258		Pharmacokinetic study (part	of an RCT)		Medium
BJOG,		women		A larger loading dose (6 g) fo	r the Iv regimen should be		
India				considered, the iv loading do	se provided lower initial		
				concentration than the im re	gimen. Serum levels were low		
				and possible subtherapeutic	in a significant proportion of		
				the women			

NR not reported, SR systematic review, RCT randomized controlled trial, OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval, AMSTAR, *standard Pritchard MgSO4 regimen: loading dose 4 g +10 g im (5 mg im in each buttock) followed by maintenance dose of 5 g im every 4 h (see Pratt AOGS 2015), *standard Zuspan MgSO4 regimen: loading dose 4 g iv, followed by maintenance dose of 1 g/h iv infusion ((see Pratt AOGS 2015))

9. Magnesiumsulfat, neonatal effekt

Treatment of preeclampsia/eclampsia, SR no 2 Magnesium sulfate regimens for treatment of eclampsia or preeclampsia n=5 Outcome variable: Child outcomes

Author,	Study	Number	With	Re	sults	Comments	Quality
Year,	desig	of	drawals -	Intervention	Control		High
Country	n	studies/	dropouts	intervention	Control		Medium
		patients					Low
Gordon, 2014 JOGC	SR	26 (10 RCT, 16 observati onal studies) 4688 women	NR	Eclampsia 0.0-26.5%, mediar Perinatal mortality 2%-65.4% Stillbirth 1.5% - 55.4%, media Neonatal mortality 4.6% - 30	n 3% 6, median 20% an 11.4% 9.8%, median 10%	Studies performed in low and middle income countries. HDP ofter not well defined. 39 different MgSO4 regimens, 22/25 studies evaluated reduced dose or duration of treatment.	Overall quality of the SR: Low according to AMSTAR
Pratt, 2015 AOGS Original articles (BCTs	SR or cohort stud	5 non RCT (quasi- RCT, cohort, case- control, cross- sectional ies) n=3 (ec	NR	Fetal and/or neonatal morta Low dose vs Pritchard*: 4 studies, 800 participants: OR 0.87 (95% CI 0.38-2) Loading dose vs Pritchard*: 2 studies (1 eclampsia, 1 pre OR 0.49 (0.23-1.03) magnesium sulfate regimens 2	lity eclampsia) 147 participants:	Included studies of low or very low quality. Definitions of HDP not always clear.	Overall quality of the SR: Medium according to AMSTAR
Original articles (RCIs	or conort stud	ies) n=3 (ed	ciampsia 1,	magnesium sulfate regimens 2)		
Lal, 2013, Pregnancy Hypertension, USA	Cohor t,			Women with eclampsia (preeclampsia (n=7012)	n=191) versus women with	Rate of eclampsia 0.08%	Low

	(Ecla mpsia)			Cord arterial pH 7.19 (0.12 and GA) 5 min Apgar 8.4 (1.2) vs 8.7 Mechanical ventilation 9.4% 3.23) NICU admission 37.7% vs 1.72) RDS 10.0% vs 5.4%; AOR 5.5 ICH 1.6% vs 0.4%, AOR 2.63 Seizures 2.6% vs 0.2%; AOF Death 0 vs 0) vs 7.22 (0.10) p<0.01 (adj BW (0.8) p=0.01 (adj) 5 vs 3.6%, AOR 1.68 (95% CI 0.88- 25.8%, AOR 1.15 (95% CI 0.77- 53 (95% CI 1.11-27.66) (95% CI 5.53 (95% CI 1.11-27.68) 8 10.26 (95% CI 3.12-33.08)		
Abdul, 2013 Arch Gyn Ob	RCT	72 women	0?	Low dose regimen Mean (SD) Ap 5.2 (3.3) vs 6 Perinat 9 vs 5	Pritchard regimen gar score at 5 min .7 (2.4), p= 0.186 al mortality , p=0.129	Women with eclampsia antepartum, intrapartum or postpartum. Rate of eclampsia 4.2%	Low
Charoenvidhya and Manotaya, 2013, J Med Assoc Thai, Thailand	RCT	60 women		A maintenance dose at 2 g/h the therapeutic level of serur to 1g/hour with no difference outcome	our was more likely to attain n magnesium when compared e in maternal and neonatal		Low

NR not reported, SR systematic review, RCT randomized controlled trial, OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval, AMSTAR, *standard Pritchard MgSO4 regimen: loading dose 4 g +10 g im (5 mg im in each buttock) followed by maintenance dose of 5 g im every 4 h (see Pratt AOGS 2015), *standard Zuspan MgSO4 regimen: loading dose 4 g iv, followed by maintenance dose of 1 g/h iv infusion ((see Pratt AOGS 2015))

10. PRES

Outcome variable: PRES (n=11) Author, withdrawal Study design patients Results Comments Quality year, n counry Fairhall, Education as a book about IC bleeding and its narrative NA NA IC bleeding was not on our moderate PICO. 2009, review management Australia n=47 0 Antepartum n(%) Postpartum n(%) Most African-American Brewer, Single centre low 2013, eclamptic (83/79%, ap/PP) retro-USA spective n=9 missing Diagnosis Diagnosis Diagnosis MR and CT patients cohort 46 PRES MR without contrast MR without contrast Morbidity for return to preeclampsia 20 (87) 21(88) Treatment normal and eclampsia MR with contrast MR with contrast 14 (81) 13(54) Time to normal 9-135hours, CT without contrast CT without contrast steroids efter eclampsia 17 8(35) 8(33) hours CT with contrast CT with contrast 4(17) 3(13) MRA/MRV 1(4 MRA/MRV 1(4) Site of lesion Site of lesion

		Occipital lobe	Occipital lobe	
		18(78)	17(71)	
		Parietal lobe	Parietal lobe	
		17(74)	19(79)	
		Temporal lobe	Temporal lobe	
		6(26)	7(29)	
		Frontal lobe	Frontal lobe	
		12(52)	17(71)	
		Basal	Basal ganglia/cerebellum	
		ganglia/cerebellum	6(25)	
		5(22)	Multiple areas	
		Multiple areas	21(88)	
		20(87)		
			Treatment n=19	
		Treatment n=19	Magnesium sulfate	
		Magnesium sulfate	19(100)	
		19(100)	Antihypertensives	
		Antihypertensives	16(84)	
		17(89)	Diuretics	
		Diuretics	12(63)	
		13(68)	Steroids	
		Steroids	6(32)	
		13(68)		
			Clinical symtoms not	
		Headache	separated into ap/pp	
		87,2 %		
		Altered mental status		
		51,1		
		Visual dis		
		34		

				Nausea/vomiting 19,1			
Camara- Lemarroy 2017 Mexico	Retrospective case-control	29 E 30 controls		17/29 PRES , 0 mortality 15/17 normal after 4.4(3.1) days visual dist 23.5% generalized tonic- clonic seizures	higher proteinuria, ALT and LDH low Apgar	MRI location as in other studies 41% ppstpartum 6% hemipares	low (few)
Chen, 2018 USA	SR, meta analyse 6 studies	98 PE 448 patients	121fulltext resulted in 6 studies for meta- analyse	Hemorrhage in PRES bad prognosis Preeclampsia and PRES better recovery Diffusion progress bad prognosis(cytotoxic edema)			moderate
Cozzolino, 2015, Italy	Review (pub med)	28 studies		MRI the gold diagnost Treatment MGSO4, ar avoid seizures	MRI the gold diagnostic method Treatment MGSO4, antihypertensive drugs and avoid seizures		low
Dahiya, 2018, India	prospective	50E 12 PE		Clinical signs unconsciousness severe headache vision disturbances , increased uric acid and creatinine warning signs		wrong population?	low
Demir, 2012 Turkey	prospective	62 PE?		MgSO4 better recovery than mannitol Full recovery 97.1% resp78.9 % p=0.039			moderate

				Duration days in hospi 7(9) depending on dist different time periods	tal for treatment 4(2) resp ribution of drugs in		
Dong XY, 2017 China	Retrospective chart study	237 76 PRES		MgSO ₄ BP no correlation platelet lower D-dimer increased and higher	Risk Visual disturbance OR about 3-4 Multiparity OR cytotoxic- at visual dist 2.94	All recovered= reversible lesions.	low
Fang, 2018, China	Retrospective	49 PE/E with PRES		Risk ; irregular prenata Headache, vision, distu parietal-occipital eden	al visits urbances, seizure na more often in PRES	incidence 0.22% of 100	low
Fisher 2015 USA	Single centre retro- spective cohort preeclampsia and eclampsia	PRES n=9 No PRES n=37 5 Of 8 ecclamp tic had PRES 4 of 38 preeclam ptic had PRES	0	PRES n=9 Indication MR; Headache n=23 Altered mental status NA Visual dis 3 Seizure 8 Mean age years 26.0(5.7) Seizure 5(55%)	No PRES n=37 31.6(5.4) p 0.008 3(8.1) p 0.008	MRI, diagnosis, symptoms, Laboratory analyses PRES and preeclampsia	low

		HBP syst at delivery ,				
		pp 2 pp3	156(22)	p 0.03		
		176(25)mmHg	133(22)	р 0.02		
		154(15)	143(23)	p 0.03		
		154(23)	,	F		
		10 1(20)			Vasogenic edema present in	
		prootinuria >200mg			PRES and no PRES 100 rosp	
		p(0)				
		9(100%)	22/61 10/)		00.70)	
		Platelets< 150 at IVIRI	22(61.1%)	p 0.04		
		3(33.3%)				
		In stay,days	3(8.1%)	p 0.04		
		11(6-278)	7(5-41)	p 0.02		
		Site of lesion	difference	p< 0.001		
		Occipit/parietal				
		8(88.9%)				
		Temporal lobe				
		1(11.1)				
		Frontal lobe				
		5(66.7)				
		Basal ganglia/cerebel				
		6(66.7) Grev matter				
		9(100)				
		White (vasogenic				
		edema				
		////.0				

Junewar	Hospital-	N=45	Excluded;	MRI positive n=27	MRI negative n=8	Cranial MR within 1-7 days of low	
2014	based	10	9 HELLP	Site of lesion (%)		onset of symptoms	
India	prospective	excluded	1 cystic	32/35		Imaging	
	observational	no PRES	granuloma	Occip		Demograåhy, lab analyses,	
	study		cerebral	100 parietallobe		Clinical feature	
				100		Variables for outcome	
				Frontal lobe			
				88.9		6/35 died ;	
				Temporallobe		2 hemorrhage	
				44.4		intraparenchym	
				Basal ganglia/cerebel		2 severe cerebral edema	
				31.2		herniation	
				Other areas		1 acute renal failure	
				21.8		1 pulmonary edema	
					NS		
				Hemorrhage	12.5 p=0.018	85.7% primipara!	
				6(22.2%)	50 p=0.006	80% antepartalt	
				Cytotoxic edema	NS		
				33.3%	0 p=0.015	Neither blood pressure nor	
						any lab analyses had any	
					0.74(0.14) p=0.019	correlation to severity of PRES	
				Symptoms;	6.31(0.76) p=0.003		
				Headache	319(21) p=0.001		
				68.8%			
				Visual dis			
				28.6			
				altered sensorium	Deceased n=6		
				94.3	1.72(0.07) p<0.001		
				Focal neurol deficit	12.9(3.6) p<0.001		
				8.6	532(45) p=0.009		
				Status epilepticus 37.1	n=4	p<0.027	
----------------------------	--	-------------------------------	----	--	--------------------------	----------------------------	-----
				Crea mg/dl 1.11(0.41) UA mg/dl 9.47(6.31) LDH IU/L 458(107)			
				Variables fr survived n=29 Crea, mg/dl 0.88(0.26) UA, mg/dl 7.89(1.54) LDH IU/L 404.4(109.1) Moderate-severe PRES n=5			
Kurdoglu 2015 Turkey	Single centre retrospective cohort	n=81 eclamptic patients	NA	PRES Headache 2(4.4) Visual impair 12(26.7)	No PR 18(50 2(5.6)	ES 0) <0.001 p=0.006	low

n=45	Headache +visual		
PRES	27(60.0)		
	Proteinuria mg(day	0 p= 0.001	
	1378.38		
	Apgar 5 min	928.89 p = 0.012	
	mean 6.47		
		7.75 p 0.002	
	Stillbirth, n =3		
		0	

11. HELLP

Outcome: HELL	Outcome: HELLP						
Author, year, country	Study design	Patients n	Withdrawal s	Results		Comment	Quality
Asicioglu, 2014, Turkey	Retrospective cohort	141eclampsia without HELLP 78 with HELLP	NA	E with HELLP Thrombocytopenia <0.001 AST/ALT <0.001 LD <0.001	E without HELLP Ablatio OR 0.6(0.3- 1.2) CS 0.9(0.8-1.0) DIC 0.3(0.1-0.8) Neonatal death <32w 0.2 (0.04-1.33) over all 0.4(0.2-0.9)	Patients with HELLP and eclampsia, delivered earlier than without HELLP	low-moderate
Cavaignac-Vitalis 2017 France	Retrospective multicenter	118	NA	Active treatment – delivery within 48 h no predisolon sometimes betamethasone (B) maternal mortality 0 PPH 3(3.5%) ascites/pleural effusion	Expectant treatment- betamethasone all prednisolone (A) 0 5(16.1%) p < 0.02	Less maternal and neonatal morbidity in expectant group Higher platelets in expectants	low

				0	3(9.7) p < 0.01	RR PPH 5.38(1.2-	
				IRDS.sepsis. NEC.ICH.	less than active	24.6) in active	
				transfusion. CPAP	treatment p< 0.05-	group	
				,	0.0001	0	
Darby et al,	SR	87	NA	Liver bleeding can found	Liverbleeding can be	No controls	low
2013	retrospective			in all classes	present before	Time of liver	
USA		n=54 liver			important lab.	bleeding	
		bleeding		CS 60.9%	changes	Review with	
				Fetal loss 24.1%		unclear selection	
						and search string.	
Ditosheim,	Narative review	NA	NA	Degree of liver function te	sts, imaging and	Narrative but lots	NA
2016				clinician not well correlate	ed. Subcapsular	of knowledge	
USA				hematoma may be treaste	d conservatively		
Erkilinc S,	Retrospective	171	0	12 mg betamethasone	Cut off	Blood transfusion	low
2017	cohort study			MgSO ₄	ACT 21011	the most common	
Turkey						complication	
					bilirubin 2.0 ?		
					LDH 1290		
					platelets 50x10 ⁹ /L		
Kinay et al,	Retrospective	N=253	NA	<34 gestational weeks	≥ 34 gestational	Maternal and	low/moderate
2015	cross-sectional				weeks	neonatal outcome	
Turkey				severPE(86)		mostly more	
				HELLP(30)	severePE(111)	severe	
				eclampsia 4(4.7)/6(20.0)	HELLP(26)	complications	
				p 0.03	NS	<34gw.	
				plac abruptio NS	NS		
				bloodtransf	5(4.5)/5(19.2) p	Eclampsia risk	
				4(4.7)/8(26.7)p0.002	0.022	higher in	
				caesarean NS	NS		

				IUFD 12(14%)/5(16.7) Low birth weight 85(98.9)/ /29(96.7) Fetal distress 36/42.4)/11(37.9)	3(2.7)/0/0 p? 51(45.9)/12(46.2) p? 23(20.7)/3/11.5) p?	HELLPsyndrome than SPE<34gv	
Mao and Chen, 2015 China	Systematic overview 8 RCT 7 retrospective	675 cortico-steriods. 787 controls	NA	Corticosteroids, n=675 Maternal morbidity NS Maternal mortality NS Cesarean NS Neonatal morbidity NA Neonatal mortality 4-23% Platelet count increased 38.08(15.71-60.45) p 0.0009 LDH decreased -044(-0.76-0.12) p 0.007 ALT decreased -143.3(-278-8) p 0.04 Blood transfusion decreased 0.42(0.24-0.76) p 0.004	No corticosteroids, n=787	Treatment Low number of intervensions Unknown doses of corticosteroids	moderate

12. PPCM

Outcome					
Authors, years,	Study design	Patients n	Results	Comments	Quality
Afana M et al 2016 J Card Fail	Retrospective register study	PPCM n=1337 controls n=4011	PPCM Incidence 0.02% Risk factors; age >35 hypertension, preeclampsia ecklampsia, smoking, diabetis, anemi, thyroid disorder, astma, c.s., early labor, multiple gestation, afro-americans	Treatment not reported	moderate
Barasa A, 2017 Sweden	Retrospective - register	AHF incl PPCM=241 controls=1063	incidence 1/5719 (0.02%) (1/6936-1/4994, increase LMIC Risk; age, BMI, multifetal pregn, hypertension incl PE/E long-time mortality about 4% at 40 months after delivery	9 death of which 4 HF 2 stroke and diabetes 3 non-CVD	moderate
Bello et al, 2013, USA	SR (22 studies)	PPCM= 979	Hypertension 2-78% Preeclampsia 8-78% multiple gestation 9% No difference according to ethnicity	Treatment not reported Association vascular disease and preeclampsia	moderate
De Haas, 2017, The Netherlands	SR (48 studies)	NA 74 studios	Normal pregnancy; increase in LVM and RWT by 20 and 10% respectively. Hypertension LVM increase 95% and RWT by 56%	prolactin vascinkikin	moderate
2016	SK	74 studies	ECHO, MRI for diagnosis	VEGF-sFlt-1	moderate

Denmark		PPCM	>20% relapse newpregnancy, advise against		
		N=3660+	pregnancy	Treatment	
			Mortality 16% at new pregnancy	bromocriptine?	
				controversies	
				lactation or not	
Esboll AS et al	Retrospective	PPCM n=61	LVEF decides outcome short and extended	incidence 1/10149	moderate
2017	register study	controls none	preeclampsia better prognOsis	deliveries	
Denmark	cohort		treatment labetalol, (metyldopa), ACE-		
			inhibitors,cabergoline		
Kolte D	National	PPCM n=3421	mortality 1.3%	high incidence	moderate
2014	database		Major adverse events 13.5%		
USA	retrospective		Increasing number 2004-11 8.5 till 11.8/10 000		
	cohortl		incidence 10.3 per 10 000		
Krishnamoorth,	Registerdata	ap=189	In-hospital mortality; ap NA perp 0.5% pp 2.1%		moderate
2016,	base	perip=887	Asians 8.3% white OR 0.10(0.02-0.59)		
USA		postp=3741	Afro-Americans increased risk and more PE		
			Hypertension 33% pre-existing , 9.9%PE !!		
Lima,	Register-data	2078	CMP increased risk major adverse clinical	No differencies	moderate
2015,	base	cardiomyopat	events at delivery	according to race	
USA		hy	Eclampsia, CMP independent risk factors.		
		controls=4			
		438 439			
Maasomi,	Register-data	PPCM=568	Incidence 1/2187 (0.05%)	no significant diff in	moderate
2018,	base		75% readmission in the first 10 days pp.	term or late PPCM.	
USA		controls=1247	Late onset PPCM more common after PE/E and	More ECHO in PE/E	
		35	GIH and preterm labor.	to find them earlier?	
			In-hospital mortality 1.2%		
Mebazaa,	Prospective	PPCM=83	High PLGF or low s-flt-1/PIGf may be used for	AHF in non-pregnant	low
2017,	laboratory	AHF=65	diagnosis.	individuals served for	
South Africa				comparison!!	

		control(delive	BNP much higher in PPCM than in normal		
		ry)=30	pregnancy		
		non-pregn=29			
Ntusi,	prospective	PPCM=36	PPCM more postpartum AHF 85 % ap	5 deaths in PPCM,	moderate
2015,	longitudinal		Riskfactors PPCM twin, smoking,	none during AHF	
South Africa		AHF=53	cardiomegaly,left atrial hyperplasi left bundle		
			branch block etc		
			AHF left ventricular hypertrophy		
Sagy,	Register-	PPCM=42	Higher uric acid in PPCM OR1.34 (95%CI!.003-	low absolute uric acid	low
2017,	data base		1.778)	levels	
Israel		controls=160	Incidence PPCM 1/3832 (0.03%)		
		964	Uric acid mg/dl 4.7(1.3) vs 5.3(1.4) p<0.002		
Sarojini	case-control	PPCM=46	C-reactiveprotein 08 22(1-90)<0.05	small number of	low
2013,			IL-6 31.52(8.83) 77.19(34.4) <0.005	patients	
India		controls=40	TNFalfa 3.2 9.6 (0.2-20.0)<0.001	uncertainty of figures	
			predictor death; NYHAFCIV, IL6 and TNFalfa	no power	
Umazume,	prospective	Hypertensive	ROC troponin I predicting poor LV relaxation 0.82	no power analyses	low
2018,	case-control	diiseaes	and 0.81 respectively	small number of	
Japan		n=24		patients	
		controls=51			
Vaught AJ,	prospective	63 PE	PE changes in right and left side of the heart,	no power but	low
2018,	case-control	36 controls	mostly diastolic impairment.	Bonferroni use	
USA			BNP was not suitable in this study		

13. Blodanalyser

Outcome variable: Blodanalyser

0.000	<u> </u>			,		
Author year country	Stud	y gn	patients, n	Results	Comments	Quality
Multiple va	ariables					
Cantu, 2014, USA	Multi-cent randomize double- masked	ter ed	GH=2752	Composite outcome compared to mild PH, aOR Median(interquartile range) I vs II PTB < 37 weeks 2.1(1.1, 4.0) PTB < 32 seeks	Pregnancy induced hypertension Composite neonatal outcome Fetal or IUFD Baseline difficult to understand: there is	Moderate

	Plac abr Cesarean SGA NICU Comparison Composite 2.5(1.3, 4.9 PTB, indicate significant hig	7.1(1.9 2.1(1.3) NS NS III vs I neonata 9) ed PTB, a igher frec	, 27.5) , 3.2) I I I nd NICU Juency in IV	differencies in age, BMI, race, smoker, heredity, education I mild PH, II mild PH+lab, III PH clin sign IV severe PH +lab II Imild/severPH +severe clin sign IV mild/severePH +lab+severe clin sign	
	2.5(1.3, 4.9 PTB, indicate significant hig	ed PTB, a igher frec	nd NICU Juency in IV	II Imild/severPH +severe clin sign IV mild/severePH +lab+severe clin sign	
	III vs I 3.6(2.8, 4.6) 3.8(2.8-4.6) NS 1.2(1.0, 1.5 1.5/1.0, 2.0 1.9(1.5,2.3) morbidity	I 5) 7.8) 24 N 5) 2. 5) 2. 0) NS) 3.	V vs I 8(5.0, 12.1) 4.7(9.9, 61.8) S 1(1.2, 3.0) S 9(2.5, 5.9)	Platelets, ASAT, creatinine, LDH, bilirubin Blood smear Secondary analysis VIP trial	

			DF	Gestational weeks	
			No correlation between Hb and crea, ASAT or platelets	Singletons	
				Hb, creatinine,	
			Inverse correlation between	platelets, ASAT	
			=0.009	5-10% lower Hb in	
			SGA or LGA	black race	
			Inverse corr to platelets	included 8 studies	
			2.5 percentile	presented increased	
Cordina M,	Retrospectiv	PE=497		frequency	
2015,	e case-	controls=4	No verified recommendation on HB	of PE at high levels of	
UK	control	97	as predictor.	Hb	low

			Cut off sPLG (124.6 pg/ml) uPLG (13.3 pg/ml) urate (284 µmol/L) LDH (208 U/L) Dipstick (2+) 24h proteinuria (0.6g)
			ROC curves sPE against all other
			groups Between 34-<38 gw
			SPLGF, uPLGF, Urate, LDH, DIPSTICK
			proteinuria, 24H
			Sens spec PPV NPV proteinuria
			24 h proteinuria
			76.6 84.2 54.7 93.5 hematocrite
			dipst 100 64.1 41.1 100
		Lato SDE	Urate 100 55 43.8 100 Hb hematocrit no
		=30	94.6 crea, ASAT ALAT no
Khalil,		mild PE=30	uPLG 100 74.2 49.2 differencies
2014,		GH=30	100 PLATELETS diff p
Saudia	Due en e etime	Gestational	
Aradia	Observationa	proteinuria=	AUC (95%CI) Unclear Wny this
		50	93.5(89.7- 97.4) analyses. low

	1			
			90.4(85.4-95.4) 82.2(73.2-91.2) 85.8(79.9-91.7) <80	
			Abnormal coagulation n=22(4.6%) Bivariate analys Clinical symptom/abn coag RUQ pain or tenderness 18.52 gestational age >/= 37	
So J,	Retrospectiv		3.02	
2016	e chart		RUQ and abnorm coag Platelets, ASAT,	
USA	review	n=481	Sens% spec PPV NPV ROC ALAT, LDH, creatin	ine low

	22.7 95.2 18.5 96.3 0.59		
Proteinuria	Γ	1	
Baba, 2015, Prospective observationa	Negative dipstick n=877 SPIP present Negative n=77 8.8% 1+ n=201 21.5% 2+ n=200 79.4% 3+ n=147 98.7% SPIP absent n=800 SPIP absent n=733 SPIP n=52 SPIP n=2	Significant proteinuria SPIP compared to dipstick False positive increased with	

							1
			Maternal o N 6 SPE,% 2 ICU,% Crea >90 m Perinat oc, Premat < w % Death RDS SGA n=	utcome 0 500mg 6.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1	161 PE >500mg 34.8 2.5 18.4 5%CI) 1.56-3.89) 3 500mg 2.5 18.9 52.5 110		
				СН	GH	Proteinuria 300-	
			SPE	5.9	10.0	499 mg/24h and	
			ICU	0.5	0.9	>500 mg/24h	
			Crea >90 r	nmol/I, %			
				3.4	7.5	Secondary analysis	
						of RCT for Vitamins	
Durauskau			Perinatal oc			in preeclampsia –	
Bramham,		PE 60+161	Deeth	2.4	0.0		
2013,	DCT		Death	3.4 2.0	0.0	No control group!	moderate
UK	KUI	CH=012	KD2	2.9	0.0		moderate

			SGA 20.1 25	.7		
Brown RA, 6 2013, 0	Retrospectiv e observationa	n=264	Proteinuria > $3 g/24 = 264$ pulmonary emboli 2(0.8% vs 70(0.08%) contr IUFD 11(4%) Very low birth weight(<150 76(29%) Delivery <37 198/76%) <34 146(56%) <28 39(15%) cohort controls 2000-11 n= 482(0.6%) IUFD 1140(1.4%)<1500g 3253(3.8%) <v37 3044(3.8%) <v34 845(1%) <28</v34 </v37) rols 0g) 81165	Maternal and fetal morbidity in relation to different levels of proteinuria, ap and pp. To follow up and thromboprophylaxis	Iow

Kayatas,	Prospective		PE n=127 24h mg P/C sens spec 300 0.28 60.4 77.8 2000 0.77 96.8 98.6 ROC mg AUC 95%CI >300 0.74 0.66-0.80 >2000 0.99 0.95-0.99 PPV NPV PLR NLR 77.5 60.9 2.72 0.51 96.8 98.6 6.97 0.03 Corr P/C and 24 h	Proteinuria 24 h, Creatinine Ratio protein/creatinine Low correlations 24h at 0.3g increasing at	
2013, Turkev	Observationa I	suspected PF=200	r= 0.828 p<0.0001	increasing levels of proteinuria	low

					1
Mateus, 2017, USA	secondary analyses of multicenter prospective cohort study	non- PE=102 mild proteinuria =258 massive proteinuria =36	Composite maternal morbidity was similar across the groups Composite adverse neonatal outcomes were significantly higher in the massive proteinuria PE compared with the other groups (p . 0.001	maternal and fetal outcome at >or>5g/24 h.	moderate
Payne 2011 Canada	multiple cohort study	n=2002	antenatal proteinuria level of proteinuria could not predict adverse events	proteinuria dipstick prot/crea and 24 h collection	moderate

Vaugh, 2017, UK	HTA- analyses		Evidence from does not suppo recommendatio sample collectio pregnant wome better diagnost when predicting eclampsia. All f potentially be u for the NICE de	this clinical study rt the on of 24-hour urine on in hypertensive en. The SACR test had ic performance g severe pre- our tests could ised as rule-out tests finition of severe PE.	comparison of protein/crea and alb/crea	high
Uric acid						
Bellomo 2011 Italy	prospec- tive observa- tional	GIH =90 PE =73	GIH HB platelet counts crea proteinuria uric acid	112±13 216 ± 51 63.3 ± 7.8 202 ± 19 232± 48 umol/L	log regression PE uric acid per 59.48 umol/L OR 7.08 (3.20- 15.69) p<0.001	low

			PE p 118 ± 11 0.002 170 ± 48 <0.001	threshold value urate 309 log regression SGA OR1.58 (1.06- 2.57) p>0.02	
Chen Q, 2016,	1)retro- spective and 2)pro- spec- tive cohort	1) PE=877 normotensi ves=580 2)PE=78 normo- tensives=5	1)s-uric acid FGR+PE 421(138-673) PE 365(117-710) p=0.001 Mild PE 363(117-698 SPE 389(180-710) p=0.0018 Late 363 (117-698) Early 399(166-710) p=0.001 No predictive value I and II trimester III trimester significant increased uric acid compared with normotensives	S-uric acid decreased excretion of uric acid due to	
China	study	478	······································	renal impairment	Low
Chen Q, 2016, China	1)retro- spective and 2)pro- spec- tive cohort study	1) PE=877 normotensi ves=580 2)PE=78 normo- tensives=5 478	SPE363(117-698)SPE389(180-710) p=0.0018Late363 (117-698)Early399(166-710) p=0.001No predictive value I and IItrimesterIII trimesterIII trimester significant increased uricacid compared with normotensives	S-uric acid decreased excretion of uric acid due to renal impairment	Low

Livingstone 2014 Canada	PIERs study	PE=1505	Uric acid predicts perinatal but not maternal adverse outcome tables see appendix no controls	uric acid PE maternal adverse perinatal adverse	moderate
Niraula 2017 Nepal	Prospect ive case- control	PE=51 normal pregnancy =51	Cystatin-C better than uric acid see appendix matched to age and gestational week	Cystatin-C, uric acid gw 24-36	low
Biomarkers he	art				

			Troponin I control 0.0134 \pm 0.0091 preeclampsi 0.017 \pm 0.0085, NS control eclampsia 0.180 \pm 0.136. p = 0.016 control,		
			p = 0.014 preeclampsia		
Bozkurf, 2015, Turkey	Observa -tional	PE= 42 eclampsia= 16 normal n= 108	D-dimer preeclampsia 1426 \pm 430 ng/ml, eclampsia 2067 \pm 580 ng/ml control 634 \pm 228 ng/ml, p = 0.034 PE/control, p = 0.020 eclampsia/control	troponin, D-dimer	low
Umazume, 2018, Japan	prospec- tive	HP=24 NP=51	comparison with ECHO results:Troponin I corr till LV relaxation and increased Troponin, BNP and pro-BNP in HT pregnancy		low

Fayers, 2013, South Africa	prospec- tive observa-	PE=52 normal=63	BNP pg/mol preeclamptic 23.8(2-184) 15.0(1.8-206.4) 4.2(1.7-51.4) normotensives 6.0(0.5-45.2) 8.7(1.9-24.8) 5.95(2.2-38.7)	gw 28-40, intrapartum, to 1- 7 days pp see table appendix elevated tissue Doppler estimations of LV filling pressure.	low
Millman (PIERs) 2011 Canada	subgrou p analysis PIERS	adverse =201 normal=13 33 women with PE	POX <93% predict maternal but not perinatal adverse events see appendix	SpO2= POX adverse events	moderate

Liver function					
Breslin E 2013 UK	Retro- spective cohort	n=925	1st quintile 0-0.21 mg/L n=157 CS fetal distress 2.25(1.27-5.61) poor mat oc 3.12(1.2-5.72) poor inf oc 2.5(1.25-5.19 Adjusted for age, BMI, ethnicity, age at delivery, sBT dBT	Bilirubin(low) Maternal and fetal morbidity Reference third quintile Low sensitivity	low
Dacaj, 2016, Bosnien- Herzgo- vina	prospec- tive case control	PE+IUGR= 60 PE+non- IUGR=60	IUGR AST 27(22-34) ALT 20.5(15-2.8) LDH 509(297-796) bilirubin14(13-15) cholesterol 6,4(5.7-7.4)	AST,ALT,LDH, bilirubin,cholester ol association SGA AST,ALT,LDH cholesterol	low

			non-IUGR p 14(11-19) <0.001 11.5(10-13) 0.001 357(300-456) <0.001 13(11-15) 0.052 5.5(4.7-6.3) <0.001		
Kozic(PIERS) 2011 Canada	prospect ive multicen ter cohort	PE abnormal tests=1056 normal tests=952	Increased AST,ALAT LDH and S- albumin related to adverse events see appendix controls normal values for pregnancy	after w 20 AST, ALT, LDH, INR, total bilirubin ,S-albumin	moderate

Suresh 2017 India Hemostasis	prospect ive cohort	pathologic liver tests=197	INR and S-total bilirubin predictive for maternal and fetal outcome S-bilirubin better than INR see appendix 3 no controls	AST, ALT , INR, bilirubin, Hb, platelets unknown start blood sampling until 8 weeks pp	moderate
Gauzevoort 2007 the Nether- lands	cohort	n=216	PE severe EO hereditary trombofilia no effect on maternal disease but on IUGR.	trombofili-PE	low

-					
Heilmann 2007, Germany	prospec- tive case control	PE =EO 50 PE =LO 61 normal = 33	EO increased thrombin generation high levels of D-dimer and decreased antithrombin in comparison with LO controls	Coagulation D- dimer	moderate
Pinheiro 2012	SR meta analysis	PE=NA controls=N A	Higher levels of D-dimers in PE see appendix 3	D-dimers	low
Morikawa, 2019, Japan	retrospe c-tive analyses	PE=94	preeclampsia time to delivery 94(3.2%) PE >78% AT may be used as predictor of delivery in 7 days.	antithrombin plasma and ascites	

Marietta 2007 Italy	Prospec consequ tive observat ional	PE=73	decrease in fibrinogen, platelets antithrombin prognostic value of antithrombin no controls see appendix	antithrombin, fibrinogen,platelet counts	low
Ogawa M, 2014 Japan	Retrospe ctive chart study 2003- 2010	PE=106 PIH=33	PE correlation AT/S-albumin 0.343 p 0.003 AT/TP 0.366 p 0.001 PIH correlation 0.504 p 0.003 0.619 p 0.001	Antithromin, serum-albumin , total protein (TP). PE=preeclampsi, PIH= pregnancy induced hypertension	low
Weenik 1983, The Netherlands	prospect ive study	653 women	No depression at chron HT but at GH and PE	Antithrombin	moderate

Weenik 1983, The Netherlands	prospect ive study	653 women	AT depression in pre-eclampsia is caused by increased consumption. AT I11 levels correlate with maternal morbidity as revealed by hepatorenal damage.	Antithrombin	moderate
Samejima T, 2019, Japan	retrospe c	PE=53 GH=37	Hypertensive pregnancy Preoperative values; S-albumin, decreased AT and heavy proteinuria risk factors for acute kidney injury.	Antithrombin s-albumin urine protein/creatinine ratio	low
Weiner, 1988, USA	Prospec- tive observa- tional	PE=36 GH=2 Eclampsia= 1	AT delivery n=47 correlation AT vs platelet count 0.53 p<0.005 correlation AT vs FPA -0.29p<0.05	Antithrombin platelets	low

Weiner, 1990, USA	diagnos- tic	renal biopsy n=12	PE, renal dise PE and renal d though suspec	ase, spec liseases, s ted PE	cific picture at some the latter		low
Laskin S, 2011, USA			Abnormal coagulationFAge $30(26-34)$ aCorticosteroids $46(43.8)$ fMgSO ₄ $55(52.4)$ fGestational inclusiona<34 weeks		Relation platelet and INR and fibrinogen Abnormal coagulation INR >1.06 Fibrinogen<3.54 g/L		
	Prospect ive study part of PIERS- study	abnormal coagulation =105 normal coagulation =1300	Platelet cour<50 x10°/L	nt , n=27 n(%) 9(33.3) 15(55.5) 12(44.4)	OR 7.78 (3.36-18.04) 11.32 (5.19-24.68) 25.27 (10.92-58.47)	Relation abnormal coagulation and adverse events as blood transfusion And maternal composite adverse outcome Placenta ablation NS	moderate

	p<0.05 all	calculations	above	(>100 platelets	
			0	NS)	
	Platelets	50-99 x10	°/L n=95		
				Normal platelet	
	n(%)	O	र	counts will not	
	14(14.7)	2.69 (1.44-5.02)	rule out	
	9(9.5)	2.21	1.35-3.58)	abnormal	
	7(7.4)	2.5(1.	08-5.87) [´]	coagulation	
		- (,		
				FDA hos 89 % av	
	Platelets	$< 100 \times 10^{9}$	/1	122 women with	
		Sons	spec	r_{122} women with r_{122}	
	Abn coog	21 0	$\frac{3}{100}$		
	Adv mat	21.9	92. 4 02.2	(tao amall number	
		15.8	92.2		
	BIOOD	31./	92.3	to draw	
				conclusions)	
	Abnorm co	agulation			
	Adv mat	15.1	93.5		
	Blood	21.7	93.2		
	Platelets	100-149 x1	L O⁹/L		
	Abn coag	21.7 % OR	1.30(0.78-2.17)		
	Adv mat	26	1.29(0.90-1.85		
	Blood	10	1.20(0.58-		
	2.48)	-	- (
	,				

			37.0(34.5-38.7) P<0.001 platelets < 100 x10 ⁹ /L PPV NPV 18.8 93.6 abnormal coagulation 19.7 90.0 maternal advents 15.6 96.8 blood transfusion		
Marchetti, 2015, France	case-	PE severe=14 3 PE mild=199 normal=19	anti beta2GPI antibodies and LA associated with severe PE but not with mild. see tables appendix	Antiphodpholipid antibodies after PE 6 months after	moderate

			PE n=30 ROTEM EXTEM CFT,s 62(15) Alpha angle 78(4) MCF,mm 72(5) Lys,% 2(3) INTEM MCF,mm 71(4) Lys,% 2(3) NATEM MCF,mm 64(6) Lys% 1(3)		
Spiezia L, 2015, Italy	Case control	PE=90	76(14) $p < 0.001$ 75(4) $p = 0.002$ 66(4) $p = 0.001$ 11(5) $p = 0.001$ 65(5) $p = 0.001$ 11(4) $p = 0.001$ 61(4) $p = 0.001$ 11(4) $p = 0.001$	Overlap PE controls all variables Larger prospective studies needed None platelets	low

Zheng, 2015, USA s-Fit ,PLGF	Narrativ e review	NA	<10% ADAMTs13 hereditary >20 % acquired deficiency treatment plasma, plasma exchange, ADAMTS13 concentrate	moderate
Lehnen H, 2013, Germany	Retrospe ctive study	175 PE n=63 PIH n= 34 Proteinuria n=6 normal=72	Cut off ratio: 85 % sens spec PPV PE 59.38 93.62 86.36 86.36 PIH/PE 5.88 40.63 5.00 sFlt-1/PLGF, ratio Prot/PE 0.00 91.62 0 sFlt-1/PLGF, ratio EOPE 62.50 100 100 Conclusion: ratio LOPIH 0.00 93.33 0 Conclusion: ratio NPV OR p Indicator IUGR at 77.19 1.034 < 0.0001	low

	44.830.980.000376.470.880.024401.03<0.000172.41.0160.039	
14. Prediktion andra trimester Outcometabell

ANDRA TRIMESTERN FÖRSTA SÖKNINGEN

<u>Afshani</u>	Syst review-	12 observational	Excluded	proBNP and PE and		2 studies no change in pro BNP	+ +	+	-	HIGH
N,2013,USA	Medline, EMBASE,	studies (11 pro, 1	well defined	heartcompl		4 studeies hight proBNP in PE				
	Cochrane	retro) 2 high				1 study no diff norm/PE				
	12 articles	quality		Modified cochrane review for		7 of 8 studies high in PE				
	Kaaja 1999 n=9, Borghi	PE pat (6-63)		diagnostic tests and study		1 study BNP; PE>HT				
	2000, n=40, Folk 2005	Rafik 2009 n=35,		quality		5 studies high BNP-more				
	n= 7, Kale 2005 n=40,	Tanuous 2010		OR 30,7 Rafik n=35		complications				
	Resnik 2005 n=34,	n=6		(95% CI 3,8-291)						
	Tithonen 2007 n=19,	(321-22-12)		OR 21 tihtonen n=19 (95%		FÖR TREDJE TRIM, ENDAST TVÅ				
	Rafik 2009 n=35,			CI0.5-192)		STUDIER HAR MED SECOND				
	Fustaret 2010 n=20,					TRIM, MEN EJ ANALYSERATS				
	Moghbeli 2010 n=63,					SEPARAT.				
	Speksnijder 2010 n=22,									
	Tanuous 2010 n=6									
Akkermanns J	Prosp. cohort	I=216 PE	Not defined	2 nd 3 rd trim severe early PE	No validation cohort	Basic characteristics the same	? -	?)	LOW
2014,	PETRA	C=2023		24-34w, External validation		No validation cohort				
Netherlands				of fullPIERS model and		Small				
				adverse outcome within 48		Retropective adding of data				
				h.		were laboratory values taken				
				gest age, Chest pain or		within 2 weeks upto 12 h after				
				dyspnea, spO2, TPK, S-krea,		inclusion.				
				ASAT predictor variables:		In the study group half of the				
				worst values within		women (111) had received				
				48. Without adverse		plasma expansion and the other				
				outcome=143		half not.(105)				
				Adverse outcome=73						
				Adverse outcome within 48h;		FLYTTA TILL KOMPLIKATIONER.				
				PPV 70.7%, NPV 98,3%		DUBBELT, REDAN MED				
				auc roc 0.97 Cl 95% (0.87-						
				0.99), within 7 day; PPV						

				32,2%, NPV 85,7%auc roc 0.80 Cl 95'% (0.70-0.87)						
<u>Alvarez-</u> <u>Fernandez,</u> 2015, Spain	Case-control retrospective	N=257 susp.PE <34w=62, PE 25 >34w= 195,PE 49 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd trim	N=24	Pediction for developing PE. Vit D + sflt(PIGF ratio in ePE and IPE. >34w; 25OH D vitamin < 50 nmol/I OR 4.6 (1.4-15) sFlt/PIGF > 45: OR 12 95% CI (5.0-27) for PE <34w, 25OH d vit no assoc, sFlt/PIGF >23: OR 58 CI95% (11-312),	<34w no PE 32 >34w no PE 146	Controls developed no PE. Results significant for 2 nd and 3 rd trim. Low 25OH D levels significant for >34w. 1 st trim samples too few Excluded pat not defined Cut off levels for sFlt/PIGF taken from own early small study Studypop:multiple gest and IUGR more common I PE groups PROVER TAGNA VID KLINISK PRESENTATION. D-VIT ÄV TAGET äv 1:st trim, STEFAN HAR MED TILL 1:st TRIM	?	?	-	LOW
<u>Alpoim P, 2013,</u> <u>Brazil</u>	Systemic Review	2 studies in review 992 PE, 883 cntrls		Blood groups and PE	AB associated w PE Increased vWF and factor VIII	ÄR med i 1st trim, ev till riskfaktorgruppen? Stefan har med	? / +	?	+	MEDIUM/LOW
Arisoy R 2016 Turkey	Prospective cohort	N=157 I=77 PE, C=180	Exclusion criteria defined but not numbers	Late 2 nd and 3 rd trim. Vit D: 25(OH)D Levels<20ng/mL a 12.45 OR (1.66-93.18) for severe PE		Small group of patients	+	?	?	LOW
Acestor, N. 2016 Clin Chem Lab Med	Systematic review	135 studies on biomarkers :49 blood, 9 urine		Blood and urine markers in low resource setting (2008- 2013) 1 st , 2 nd 3rd	Good blood: s-Flt1, sEng, GlynFN, PIGF, sUric acid Good urine: Congophilia red, adipsin	INKLUDERAD: SVÅRT ATT AVGÖRA TRIM OCH SENS OCH SPEC FÖR ETT FLERTAL MARKÖRER	-			

Aghajafari, F, 2013, BMJ	Systematic and meta	31 studies		25(OH)D levels and pregnancy outcome <75nmol/L and <37,5nmol/L	Low levels associated w bacterial vaginosis, SGA, increased PE, GD Insufficient serum levels of 25-OHD Pooled OR pre- eclampsia (1.79, 1.25 to 2.58), and small for gestational age infants (1.85, 1.52 to 2.26).	EJ PREDIKTIONSMODELL; SVÅRT ATT AVGÖRA TRIMESTER.				
Al-Rubaie, ZTA 2016 BJOG	Systematic review	29 studies, 70 models (22 simple models)		Performance of models		Parity, PE history, race, CH, conception methods AUC 0,76 (0,67-0,90) Good table ÄR 1:a trim, inkluderad men de har ej funnit artikel	+ +	+	HIGH	
Asvold B, 2014, Acta <u>Obstet, Gyn</u> <u>Scand</u>	Nested-case-control	(35940) 121 preterm, 158 preterm PE, 356 controls	'exclusion critera and excluded pat well defined	HCG and PIGF in each trimester	High HCG in 1st trimester associated with LOW risk preterm PE High HCG in 2 ^{nd-3rd} trimester associated with preterm PE (OR 4-4,8) +low PIGF in 2 nd OR 36,9 S-HCG above median in 2 nd trim and PIGF below median , riks preterm PE OR 36.9(8.2-165.9) S-HVG and sFlt above median risk preterm PE OR 5.7 (2.6-12.4)	Bara OR	+?	+	MEDIUM	

<u>Bredaki FE</u> <u>Ultrasound</u> <u>Obstet Gynecol,</u> <u>2016</u> <u>UK</u>	Prospective Case-ctrl	17071 (488PE) cases in 11-13gw, 8583 (217PE) in 19-24, 8609 (208) in 30-34 gw	Exclusion definied	Serum alpha-fetoprotein Prospective screening 5-fold validation AFP increased up till -24 gw in PE Maternal factors improved		excluded from 1st trim to 2nd and 3rd trimester is 50% lower- ie selektionbias Inkluderas, med i andra sökning	+	- /?	+ / ?	LOW
<u>Burris HH 2014</u> <u>USA</u>	Prosp cohort Vit D PE	2128 1591 56PE 109 GH	Exlusion defined	I=PE C=GH C2=non HT NS		Vit D level <10 gw ÄR v16-37, Ors, ej prediktionsmodell	+	?	+	MEDIUM
<u>Chappell LC</u> 2013 UK	Case control prospective multicenter	N=625 I; PE 346	Exclusion clearly shown	Predicition for PE with PIGF suspicion of PE, 2 nd and 3 rd trim <35w low PIGF; sens 0.96 CI 95% (0.89-0.99), NPV 0.98 (0.93-0.995), Spec 0.55 (0.48- 0.61) low PIGF auc 0.87 (0.58- 0.56) prediction of PE in 14 days	I: low PIGF C: normal PIGF	More complicated patients I n early PE group than in later. Otherwise baseline data similar I TREDJE TRIMESTERN	+	+	?	MEDIUM
Cohen JM. 2015 Plos ONE	Systematic and meta	64 studies		Antioxidant levels and PE		Not conclusive INKLUDERAD DOCK OKLART OM SKALL VARA MED. STEFAN HAR MED TVEKSAMT.				
<u>Diguisto C</u> 2013 <u>France</u>	Case control prospect	N=235 PE 56 Control 177	Exclusion criteria OK but no numbers mentioned	2 nd trim I=PE C= no PE 2 nd trim US + sampling. (PIGF/sFlt, Eng, lipidmark, Doppler notch). High risk population PE (23,8%), 27,8% severe, 5,9% < w34, PE had higher UtPI, bilat notch, lower		Small population Control group adequate Excluded not shown INKLUDERAD	+	?	?	LOW

				PIGF, higher Triglyc higher leptin,							
				Screening for PE AUC 0.795.							
Dogan E, 2014,	Case control	C=80	Not defined	s-VCAM-1 and fibronectin		High risk for selection bias	-	+	?	L	.OW
Turkey	prosp	early PE, early PE		correlation in early and late							
		37+late PE 43,		PE		HITTAR EJ, VARA MED?					
				2 nd 3 rd trim							
				Increased evels o							
				fibronection and sVCAM in							
				PE							
Dogan K, 2015, ,	Case control retrosp	N=284	Not defined	TPK can predict risk for PE		PROVER TAGNA I SAMBAND	+?	?	?	L	.OW
Turkey		I=severe PE 70		2nd trim		MED DIAGNOS					
		mild PE 49		Severe and mild had different		TREDJE TRIM?					
		C= 165		platelet count, and platelet							
				volume and o							
				platelet distr. volume							
EngelsT, 2013	Case control	338 : 232 cntrls,		sFlt/PIGF >24 gw	ROC for sFlt1/PIGF	Ratio can differentiate among PE	E +	?	?	LOW	
Germany		64 PE, 42 CH/PIH			(AUC all PE: ratio	subgroups					
					96.4%, sFlt1 92.8%,						
					PIGF 92.4%, supPE:	PROVER TAGNA VID DIAGNOS;					
					ratio 93.6%, mPE:	EXKLUDERAS?					
					ratio 94.8%, sevPE:						
					ratio 99.4%, HELLP:						
					ratio 98.6%, each						
					versus controls						
					PIH and GP showed						
					significant differences						
					compared to controls						
					$(p \le 0.01,$						
					respectively), mPE						
					(<i>p</i> ≤ 0.007), sevPE						
					(<i>p</i> < 0.001) and HELLP						
					syndrome (<i>p</i> ≤ 0.003).						

Forest J, 2014,	Nested case control	7929, 111 PE and	Not well	sFLT-1 /PIGF ratio to predict	INKLUDERAD	+	?	+	MEDIUM
Canada	prosp	69 GH and 338	difined	early and severe PE					
		controls		measured in 20-32 gw					
				AUC 0,977, 77,8%sens, 88,9%					
				spec at 5% FPR					
Gallo DM, 2016,	Prospective	123406		Chacteristics+Ut-PI, MAP,	Very high rate of excluded data	?	?	-	LOW
UK	screening, 3			PIGF, sFLT1 High DR for early	for some of the analysis- , one				
	hospitals			PE	mariker analyzed in less tha 10%				
				Link to free risk estimation	of study cases				
				AJOG website					
					INKLUDERAD				
Gallo DM, 2013,	Prospective	50490	Exklusion	Characteristics+Ut-PI, at 20-24			+	?	MEDIUM
UK	screening	1442 PE	defiened	gw	INKLUDERAD?				
				(transvaginal)					
				In normal preg PI is affected					
				by maternal characteristics					
				(Afro-Caribbean) and in PE PI					
				MoM to severity, particularly					
				w SGA.					
Gallo D		17383: 70 early	Exluded	MAP measured 2 11-13 and	INKLUDERAD		+	+	HIGH
Fetal Diagnosis &		PE, 143 preterm	defined	w 20-24. best PR if measured					
Therapy, 2014		PE, 537 total PE		at both time points					
UK				DR 52,9 and 52, 9, both 60,0					
				at 5% FPR or 84,3 at 10% FPR					
Gallos ID 2013 UK	Meta-analys	24 case-controls	Excluded	24 studies; -WMD	Overall quality good		+	+/	MEDIUM/HIGH?
	Systematic	I=2720 women PE	studies	0.78mmol/L (0.6-0.96)	Half of its case control			?	
	Review	5 cohort a 3147	defined	5 cohort WMD 0.24mmol/L					
		(2 nd trim)		(0.13-0.34)	RAPPORTERAR WEIGHTED MEAN				
				Hypertriglyceridaemia,	DIFFERENCE				
				+second trimester preceding					
				onset					
				PE has higher WMD-					
				weighted mean differences					
Garcia B	RCT	11667,	Excluded	Doppler in second trimester	INKLUDERAD	+	+	+	HIGH
	multicenter	early onset 48,	well defined,	60% detected in an					
		IUGR in 722,							

Ultrasound		early onset IUGR		unselected population but						
Obstet & Gyn,		93, early		did not improve outcome						
2016		PE+IUGR 32								
Kafkasli A, 2013J	Retrospective	N= 406	Excluded	Role of Doppler		TILL KOMPLIKATIONER	-	?	?	LOW
.Mat Fet and		259 PE	due to lack	UtA+maternal						
neonat Med		168 mild	of data	characteristics, ASAT, ALAT,						
		91 severe	about 40%!	LD and platelets						
				Doppler predictor of						
				prematurity OR 3.3 (1.7-6.4)						
				Severe PE predictor of bad						
				outcome OR 4.1 (1.9-8.9)						
<u>Khalil A, 2016,</u>	Prosp case	C=172		1 st , 2 nd ,3 rd trim s-Flt, PIGF ,	AUC PIGF 0.70 (0.54-	PIGF lower in ePE p<0.001, PIGF	+	+	?	MEDIUM
<u>Ultrasound</u>	control	GH=18		sFLT/PIGF ratio, taken every	0.87) w11-13,	lower w13in term PE and from w				
Obstet Gynecol,		ePE= 22		4 th w until delivery from w	longfitudinal 0.79	27 in GH group, sFLt higher in				
UK		IPE =22		11-13. sFLT/PIGF ration 11-	(0.74-0.84), sFlt 0.58	ePE than contr				
				13w and 19-22w.	(0.44-0.73), long 0.74	(p<0.001),sFLt/PIGF ratio higher				
					(0.69-0.80), sFlt/PIGF	ePE and increased from w 11,				
					ratio 11-13w 0.73	Small groups				
					(0.60-0.86) longitude					
					0.85 (0.80-0.89)	EXKLUDERAS; FÖR FÅ PE FALL				
Kleinrouweler,	Individual	8 databases, 6708			Blood pressure, BMI+			+	?	Medium
C.E.	patient meta-	nulliparous (302		The use of a second	PI, _RI, notching gives		+			
2013	analysis	PE)		trimester Doppler (PI,	AUC 0,85	INKLUDERAD				
Ultrasound				_RI, notching						
Obstet Gynecol										
Kurt RK, 2015,	Case control	C=50	Not definied	Red cell distribution avd PE		Prospective- likely but not clear	+	-	?	LOW
Turkey		I=52PE		2 nd 3 rd trim						
				Red blood cell width related		VID DIAGNOS, relation till				
				to Pe and severity		severity.				
Lambert-	Case control	N=503+1375	Excluded	2nd trim screening- PAPP-A,		Two cohorts with exclusion due	?	?	?	LOW
Messerlian G,		cohort	defined	PIGF, endoglin, inhibin,A s-		to missing data about 90% of	(I			
2014,USA		I=98 PE		VEGF-R, FLRG change during		cases-registerstudy				
		C=620		pregnancy in PE						
				An association of PAPPa and		INKLUDERAD				
				PIGF witn all PE in 1 st trim						
				and 2 nd trim sampling and						

				elevation of inhibin A and						
				endoglin in sever early						
				PE,mild PE						
Leanos-Miranda	3groups, no control	N=501	Not defined	Sflt/PIGF ration and urinary		Groups Differing in age, gest	?	?	-	LOW
A, 2013,	group	mildPE 122		prolactin and sENG in		age, , smoking, gest age at				
Hypertension,		severePE 261		Preeclampsia		sampling earlier in sPE and s PE				
Mexico		sPE +		2 nd and 3 rd		and help				
		Hellp/eclamspis		uPRL higher in SPE + HELLP						
		118		sPIGF higher in mPE than sPE						
				and SPE + help		FLYTTA TILL KOMPLIKATIONER				
				sFLT PIGF ration higher SPE						
				and >sPE + HELLP						
				sEng higher in sPE and >sPE +						
				hellp						
Lehnen H,	Retrospective screening	2 nd trim,		sFlt1/PIGF ratio in second	Ratio >85 was only	EJ SOM PEDIKTION; BARA SOM	1			
2013, Prenatal		63PE, 34 PIH, 6		trimester	, seen in 37 out of 63	INDIKATOR AV SEVERITY				
Clinicla		proteinurea, 72			cases, only useful in	KOMPLIKATIONER				
assessment		controls			early as indicator of					
					severity					
Li N, 2014,	Case control	N=645	Not defined	Doppler at w 23-24 and	2 nd trim	Some patiente were trated with	?	-	-	LOW
Sweden	retrospect	I=177 PE o GH		adverse outcome PE,		ASA- not specified				
				preterm<34, preterm < 37,						
				cs, neonatal care, iufd, sga		HITTAR INTE				
				2 nd trim						
				45% showed high utPI but						
				Umbilical flow patologic in						
				3,7%. UtPI correlated with PE						
				but nor GH.						
Liu Y	Meta-analys	20 studies, 838 PE,	Excluded	Metaanalys:	Included studies: Kim	Ingår studier från Asien och		+	?	HIGH/medium
2015		6138 controls	studies well	s-Flt1/PIGF ratio	2007, Stepan 2007,	Afrika.				
<u>China</u>			described	AUC 0.88 (0.77-0.89)	Diab 2008, sibai 2008,	oklart när prover tagits. Svårt att				
				22% false negative and 16%	Vivo 2008, Kusanovio	utläsa resultat.				
				false positive	2009, Molvarec 2010,					
					Ohkuchi 2010,	Som en overall analys? ÄR				
					Sunderji 2010,	INKLUDERADI FIRST TRIMESTER				
					Verloren 2010, Chen	SOM TEXT ALLA TRIMESTRAR				

					2012, McElrath 20112, Lehnen 2013, Odibo 2013, Villa 2013, Hanita 2014, Park 2014, Doherty 2014, Moore 2014, Stubert 2014					
<u>Lopez-Mendez</u> <u>MA 2013,</u> <u>Mexico</u>	Case control	N=102 I=pe 65 C=norm 37	Excluded not defined	Abnormal Doppler in PE OR 2,93 (1,2-7,3), PPV 89,2%, NPV 88,6% UtPI OR 2,6 (1.01-6,68)in pe Notch OR 9,0 (1,127-71,887) Umb OR 30,63 (1,47-639,71)	2 nd 3rd	Hypertensive treatment in some of PE patients but not specified Both patients in second and third trimester- not specified which gest age UNDERSÖKNINGAR VID DIAGNOS. FFA TREDJE TRIM	-	-	?	LOW
Macdonald-Wallis C, 2015, UK	Prosp. Cohort 2 cohorts	Develop.cohort 12996; 12679 +317 Validat; 3005;2971+86PE	Excluded defined	Maternal charcter 1 st and MAP w 20-36 MAP form w28 improved predicition PE, preterm birth, SGAm maternal characteristics Auc maternal char + MAP1; 0,77 +MAP2 0,79 + gest age 36w0,88 1 st , 2 nd and 3 rd trim	1st2 nd 3rd	Incidence in validation too few, low power? INKLUDERAD ÄVEN TILL 3:E TRIM		+		MEDIUM/ HIGH
Madazli R, 2014, Turkey	Retrospect Case Contr	N=144 ePE 91 IPE 63 3rd	Not def	UtPI higher in ePE 71,4% vs 30,1% SGA, oligo,apgar neonat outcome higher in ePE than IPE	2 nd 3rd	Maternal characteristics not well defined <u>https://link.springer.com/article</u> <u>/10.1007%2Fs00404-014-3176-x</u> TILL KOMPLIKATIONER	+	-	-?	LOW
Mc Carthy FP 2015, Ireland	Retrosp analysis of a prospective cohort ALSPAC	12996 validated in 3005	Not def	1 st 2 nd 3 rd trim Early preg characteristics in first trimester gives AUC 0.79	No predictive value for SGA or PTB	Short study with no major problems. INKLUDERAD.		?	?	LOW

				(0.73-0.85) and 0.88 (0.84-		HITTAR INTE; TAGIT IINFO FRÅN				
				0.93) at 36gw+MAP.		OUTCOME TABELL, EV TILL TREDJE				
				Effect of MAP makes a		OCKSÅ				
				different first at 28gw for PE						
				AUC 0.84 (0.79-0.86)						
Metcalfe A	Cohort	N=45287 Develop	defined	1 st trim and 2 nd trim		Also stillbirth, HELLP, PTB as well		+	?	MEDIUM
2014, Canada		22633		samples:		as PE				
		Validation 22654		Serious perinatal events and		Predictive value of isolate markers				
				PE in relation to		low				
				biomarkers,;AFP,						
				hCG,InhibinA, uE3 and		INKLUDERAD				
				characteristics						
				AUC 0.78 for severe PE						
Stubert J, 2014,	Retrosp cohort	N=68	Excluded not	Difference early o late severe		UtPI abnormal; increase risk low	-	?	?	LOW
Germany		EarlyPE= 44,	def	PE and predictors		apgar OR 8.0 and preterm OR				
		latePE 24		2 nd and 3 rd trim		17,9 SGA OR 4,9				
						TILL KOMPLIKATIONER HITTAR EJ				
						FULLTEXT				
						https://www.degruyter.com/vie				
						w/j/jpme.2014.42.issue-5/jpm-				
						2013-0285/jpm-2013-0285.xml				
Tayyar A 2014 UK	Prosp screening	N=83615	Not defined	MoM UtPI and materna		A first trim screening was also	+	-?	+?	MEDIUM/LOW
		normotensive	which were	character at 30-33 gw could		done+maternal characteristics				
		2140 PE but this	excluded	iidentify 90% of pregnencies						
		study I=360 PE	from the	developing PE-false pos rate of		Complete set for 350 PE and 13				
		C=13878	primary	5%		878 cntrls				
		normotensive	cohort			PI higher in Afro-Carribean				
						TILL TREDJE TRIM, DUBBELT;				
						FINNS REDAN DÄR				
Tayyar A 2016 UK	Prosp cohort	w 11-13=7734	Not defined	MAP and maternal history		INKLUDERAD	+	?	?	LOW
		w19-24=31120		1 st 2 nd 3 rd trim						
L					1					

		w 30-344 29802		12w: DR /FPR10%) PE					
		w 35-37 5543		delivery <32w =66%					
				12+22w:72%,					
				Delivery 32-36+6: 12+22+32w					
				screen; 54%, 56% 81%.					
				>37w pedeliv:					
				12+22+32+36wscreen 45%					
				43%, 49%, 59%					
Wright A, 2016;	Cohort	W 11-13=94989	Not wel	Maternap factors adn bHCG	Combination of maternal factors	+/	?	?	LOW/MEDIUM
UK	Case control	W 19-24 7597	defined	and PAPP-A	with PAPP A and beta HCG	?			
		30-34w=8088		DR maternal 45%, mat +	improved prediction				
				PAPP-A 11-13w=51%, 30-34W					
				53%	EXKLUDERA				
				PAPP-A + bHCG + mat 19-24	DUBBEL				
				55%, 30-34W 54%					
Wright D, 2016,	Prosp cohort case	19-24w C=7318	Excluded not	For visits 19+,24+30+ and	in a large cohort sFlt was	+/	?	?	LOW
Ultrasound	control	PE=247	defined	34+6-	measure in some pat that are	?			
Obstetr gynecol,		30-34W C=8021		Maternal factors and sFLT at	included, total population				
UK		PE=243		19-24w did not improve	123406				
				prediction; Matern+sFlt 30-34					
				DR 94% preerm PE and 54%	INKLUDERAD				
				term PE					
				Maternal+sflt 30-34+19-24;					
				improved DR preterm 99%,					
				term Pe 64%.					
Wright D, 2015,	cohort	N=120492	Excluded	Prediction model by	Risk screening for PE by –	+	+	+/	HIGH
Am J Obstet		Pe 2704	defined	maternal characteristics	medical history w 11-13			?	
Gynecol, UK		No Pe 117788		where maternal age,					
		Risk 1 st 2 nd trim		increasing weigth, afro	No validation cohort , therefore				
				caribbean, South Asian, cronic	external validation, further				
				hypertension, diabet es, SLE,	studies needed				
				APS, history PE o r in family,					
				IVF pregn, Risk for Pe	FIRST TRIMESTER; FINNS MED				
				decreased with increasing	DÄR, DUBBEL				
				height and if screen positive;					
				detection rate 40% PE, 48% pe					

				deliver <37, 44% pe delivery <34.						
Wright D, 2016, <u>Ultrasound</u> <u>Obstetr gynecol</u> , <u>UK</u>	Prosp cohort case control	N=117710 no PE Early PE 790 Late PE 1958 GH 2948	Exclusion not clear	Two stage screening with MAP, and history 1 st trim and ultralsound and PIGF in 2 nd trim With 10% false positive UtPI w 11-14; Pre early onset: sens 47,8%(39,0-56,8), spec 92,1% (88,6-94,6), pred early IUGR sens 39,2%, (26,3-53,8), spec 93,1%, (90,6-95,0), ane PE of IUGR; sens 26,4% (22,5-30,8), spec 93,3% (90,0-95,1))	Detectionrate MAP, UtPI, Plgf; 74%, 2 stage screen MAP maternal first and utPI plgf second; detec rate 71% with 50% less examinated. Screen at 19-24w: det rate MAP, maternal UtPI plgf; 84%, 2 stage det rate only 70%. If 30% was offered screen and and higher if 405 was offered	Very low numbers of patients undergping measurement with Plgf and varying sizes o grupps amalyzed INKLUDERAD	+/ ?	?	?	LOW/MEDIUM
Zeisler H, 2016, Multicenter	Prospective multicenter	500 development cohort 550 validation 101+98 PE		sFlt/PIGF ration to predict PE after 1 and 4 weeks	Cutoff value of 38 <38 negative predictive value within a week 99.3% 80%sens, 78,3% spec >38 positive predictive value within 4 weeks 36,7% at 66% sens and 83,1 spec		+	+	+/?	HIGH

ANDRA TRIMESTERN ANDRA SÖKNINGEN

Agrawal, S., et al.	Systemat	15 studies,	No	Overall: sens 80% 0.68-0.88),	Kliniskt applicerbar	19-37 gw, prediction of		
(2018).	ic review	18 groups	dropo	spec 92% (0.87-0.96). High risk		preeclampsia, both early and		
Hypertension	and	when	uts	group: sens 85% (0.66-0.94),		late onset. Heterogeneity in		
71 (2): 306-316	meta	divided on	after	spec 87% (0.76-0.93), low risk		studies.		
Soveral countries	analysis	outcome	specif	group sens 77% (0.61-0.88),		Andra och tredje trimester,		
several countries,	for	early/late	ied	spec 94% (0.88-0.97).		prediktion av senare		
all continents	sFlt/PlGF	PE. 534 PE,	inclus			preeklampsidiagnos		

	ratio in	19587	ion						
	predictin	controls	criter			Hög specificitet men frågan är			
	g onset		а			vad den naturliga negativa			
	of		(origi			prediktiva värdet för			
	nreecla		nally			preeklamnsi är utan test så			
AS	mocio		2726			cont i graviditaton om man			
	mpsia		5750			ännu ai fått proaklamnsi			
			stuur			annu ej fatt preeklampsi.			
			es)			Skulle det forandra svensk			
						nandlaggning? Isafall mer rule			
	_					in an rule out?	'	\vdash	
Andersen, L. B., et	Prospect	6707 were		Concentrations of sFlt-1,	Kliniskt applicerbar. PIGF och	First and second trimester			
al. (2016).	ive	offered		PIGF, and sFIt-1/PIGF in	Flt-1	screening for preeclampsia			
Hypertens	cohort	inclusion and	1	GW20-34 were predictive of PE					
Pregnancy 35(3):		2874		development,		Relativ låg AUC för att fungera			
105_119		(42.9%)		but not in GW8-14. PIGF		som ett single screeningtest.			
Ponmork		enrolled		outperformed sFlt-1/PIGF ratio					
Denmark		A total of	F	with an area		Bör även läggas till i tredje			
		1909 blood	1	under curve (AUC) of 0.755 vs.		trim? AS			
AS		samples		0.704, p = 0.002. The highest					
FINNS ÄVEN I		were		AUC values					
FÖRSTA		available.		for PIGF and sFIt-1/PIGF ratio					
TRIMESTER				were seen for severe early-					
				onset PE (0.901					
				and 0.883). Negative					
				predictive values were high for	-				
				all PF types, but					
				nositive predictive values					
				were low					
Andriatti S. at al	Prospect	Complete		ALLC:s for maternal risk	Kliniskt annlicerbara markörer	Large cohort but for	<u> </u>		
(2017) Illerooour	ive	data for		factors PIGE LITAPI presented		hiomarkers smaller			
(2017). Oltrasound	cohort	hiomarkers		for first second third		Svårt att förstå hur de hvggt			
Obstet Gynecol		3663		trimester for PE <37 weeks and		modellen då de har 120000			
50(2): 221-227		healthy 136		37 weeks Also combinations		graviditeter men sedan knappt			
United Kingdom		DE Overall		of measurements in all 2		4000 kvinnor som har			
AS		123/06		trimesters Conclusion that a		hiomarkörer och de skriver att			
		123400		combination did not load to		de använt simularing			
		pregnancies	1	combination did not lead to		de anvant simulering.	1	1	

FINNS ÄVEN I			higher AUC. See article for all	
FÖRSTA OCH			numbers.	
TREDJE TRIMESTER				
TREDJE TRIMESTER Contro, E., et al. (2017). Mol Diagn Ther 21(2): 125- 135. Countries not stated	Systemat ic review and etaanaly sis	376 cases, 270 controls	First and second trimester screening with cell free fetal DNA for development of preeclampsia Four studies (82 cases and 1315 controls) evaluated cffDNA in early-onset PE, with DRs of 18 and 68.8% at 11–13 and 17–28 weeks, respectively, at a false positive rate of 10%. Nine studies (including two considered for early-onset PE) encompassing 376 cases and 1270 controls were available for the evaluation of 'any PE'. At	Second trimester screening. Promising to detect early onset PE in second trimester, not enough data for any PE. Ej gått vidare med pga ej kliniskt applicerbar markör.
			weeks no significant DR was found, while at 15–28 weeks the DR was 37%.	
Erez, O., et al.	Case	90 patients	After 22 weeks of gestation, PIGF kliniskt applicerbar	Andra trimester screening PIGF
(2017PLoS ONE	control	with normal	rior was the pest predictor of	hiomarkörer i v. 22 men låg
12(7): e0181468		and 76	identifying 1/3 to 1/2 of the	sens (30%) vid EPR 20%
United States		natients with	natients destined to develop	Sens (50%) VIU 1 FIX 20%
AS		late-onset	this syndrome (FPR = 20%)	Bör även läggas till i tredie
FINNS ÄVEN I		preeclampsi		trim? AS
FÖRSTA		a (diagnosed	Oklar selection av kontroller	
TRIMESTER		at 34 weeks	proteomics där de proteiner	
		of	med störst skillnad (>10%	
		gestation).	mellan grupper) valdes ut för	
		· · ·	att konstruera AUC. Risk för	

				överestimering pga fall-kontroll design.				
Ferguson, K. K., et	Prospect	50 mothers		At visit at 18 weeks; hazard	Inflammations och oxidative	urine and plasma samples at 4		
al. (2017). Am J	ive	who		ratios for a combination of 8-	stressmarkörer för PE	time points during gestation		
Obstet Gynecol	cohort	experienced		isoprostanel 8-		(median, 10, 18, 26, and 35		
216(5): 527 e521-		preeclampsi		hydroxydeoxyguanosine, C-		weeks).		
527 oF20		a and 391		reactive protein, the cytokines		Inflammatory profile elevated		
527.8525		mothers		interleukin-1b, -6, and -10,		in women who later developed		
USA		with		and tumor necrosis factor-a		preeclampsia and was more		
AS		normotensiv		showed an increased risk of		pronounced in LOPE. Not good		
FINNS ÄVEN I		е		preeclampsia with 1.31-1.83,		enough to use as a clinical		
FÖRSTA OCH		pregnancies		in association with an		prediction model.		
TREDJE TRIMESTER				interquartile range increase in				
				biomarker. Hazard ratios at		No AUC.		
				this time point were the most				
				elevated for Creactive				
				protein, for interleukin-1b, -6,				
				and -10, and for the oxidative				
				stress biomarker 8-				
				isoprostane (hazard ratio, 1.68;				
				95% confidence interval 1.14-				
				2.48). No predictor reached				
				enough performance to be				
				used clinically as predictor. No				
				AUC curves or sens/spec were				
				calculated Nested case control				
				design based on preterm birth				
				– not reliable for prediction in				
				a general nonulation				
				Hypertensive pregnancies				
				other than preeclampsia				
				extuded - overestimation of				
				results?				
Found Compose M	Prospect	825 low rick	52	C2 lovels at 14.20 weeks	C3 levels for prediction of	Second trimester screening		
	ivo	ozo iuw iisk	55	contaction had a cons of 92 20/		Vory low rick population but		
et al. (2016). J	sobort	pringraviua		and a space of 100% and NDV		over so 10% developed		
	conort	5		and a spec of 100% and NPV		even so 10% developed		

Demonster Incompany							
Reprod Immunoi	(though		98.3% when the cut-off value		preeclampsia. Unexpected		
117: 4-9	from		was 53.1 mg/. For the mean RI,		high values of AUC – ever		
Fgynt	Egypt –		the best cut off value found was		replicated? C3 not clinically		
-0784	exclude?		0.72 with 100% sensitivity,		applicable but uterine artery		
٨٥)		99.1% specificity, 92.3% PPV		doppler is.		
AS			and 100% NPV.				
			For the mean PI the best cut				
			off value was 1.35 with 100%				
			sensitivity, 94.1% specificity,				
			63.2% PPV and 100% NPV. The				
			combination ofserum C3 level				
			and mean uterine artery PI				
			showed 100% sensitivity, 97.4%				
			specificity, 80% PPV and				
			100%NPV in prediction of PE.				
Anna							
Kwietkowski C	Casa control	92 DE /of	appE and appE and control	Kliniskt annlisarhar markär	Second and third trimactor		
KWIATKOWSKI, S.,	Case-control.	05 PE/UI	cord ULCP) Statistically	Kimiski applicerbar markor	Second and third trimester		
et al. (2016).		wnom 42	(and IUGR). Statistically		screening		
Poland.		eoPE, 41	significant for PE groups D-		Screening		
Poland.		wnom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT		Exkludera		
Poland. Finns även i 3		wnom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group.		Exkludera Ingen screening, bara		
Finns även i 3 trimester		whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group. S-FIt higher, PIGF lower, S-		Exkludera Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE		
Finns även i 3 trimester		whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group. S-Flt higher, PIGF lower, S- Flt-1/PIGF ratio higher, II-6		Exkludera Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av		
Finns även i 3 trimester		wnom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group. S-FIt higher, PIGF lower, S- FIt-1/PIGF ratio higher, II-6 and hsCRP higher I PE		Screening Exkludera Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer		
Finns även i 3 trimester		wnom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group. S-FIt higher, PIGF lower, S- FIt-1/PIGF ratio higher, II-6 and hsCRP higher I PE groups than controls.		screening Exkludera Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer		
Finns även i 3 trimester Kwiatkowski, S.,	Case-control.	wnom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls 83 PE/of	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group. S-FIt higher, PIGF lower, S- FIt-1/PIGF ratio higher, II-6 and hsCRP higher I PE groups than controls. Se results ovan. Fibronectin		Exkludera Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer Exkludera.		
Finns även i 3 trimester Kwiatkowski, S., et al. (2017).	Case-control.	whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls 83 PE/of whom 42	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group. S-FIt higher, PIGF lower, S- FIt-1/PIGF ratio higher, II-6 and hsCRP higher I PE groups than controls. Se results ovan. Fibronectin significantly higher in PE		Exkludera Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer Exkludera. Ingen screening, bara		
Finns även i 3 trimester Kwiatkowski, S., et al. (2017). Poland.	Case-control.	whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls 83 PE/of whom 42 eoPE, 41	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group. S-Flt higher, PIGF lower, S- Flt-1/PIGF ratio higher, II-6 and hsCRP higher I PE groups than controls. Se results ovan. Fibronectin significantly higher in PE groups than control group.		Exkludera Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer Exkludera. Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE		
Finns även i 3 trimester Kwiatkowski, S., et al. (2017). Poland.	Case-control.	whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls 83 PE/of whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group. S-Flt higher, PIGF lower, S- Flt-1/PIGF ratio higher, II-6 and hsCRP higher I PE groups than controls. Se results ovan. Fibronectin significantly higher in PE groups than control group. Correlations between S-Flt-1		Exkludera Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer Exkludera. Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av		
Finns även i 3 trimester Kwiatkowski, S., et al. (2017). Poland.	Case-control.	wnom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls 83 PE/of whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group. S-FIt higher, PIGF lower, S- FIt-1/PIGF ratio higher, II-6 and hsCRP higher I PE groups than controls. Se results ovan. Fibronectin significantly higher in PE groups than control group. Correlations between S-FIt-1 and Fibronectin r=00.34, P<		Exkludera Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer Exkludera. Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer		
Finns även i 3 trimester Kwiatkowski, S., et al. (2017). Poland.	Case-control.	whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls 83 PE/of whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group. S-Flt higher, PIGF lower, S- Flt-1/PIGF ratio higher, II-6 and hsCRP higher I PE groups than controls. Se results ovan. Fibronectin significantly higher in PE groups than control group. Correlations between S-Flt-1 and Fibronectin r=00.34, P< 0.001. Correlation between		Exkludera Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer Exkludera. Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer		
Poland. Finns även i 3 trimester Kwiatkowski, S., et al. (2017). Poland.	Case-control.	whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls 83 PE/of whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group. S-FIt higher, PIGF lower, S- FIt-1/PIGF ratio higher, II-6 and hsCRP higher I PE groups than controls. Se results ovan. Fibronectin significantly higher in PE groups than control group. Correlations between S-FIt-1 and Fibronectin r=00.34, P< 0.001. Correlation between Fibronectin and PIGF: r0-		Exkludera Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer Exkludera. Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer		
Poland. Finns även i 3 trimester Kwiatkowski, S., et al. (2017). Poland.	Case-control.	whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls 83 PE/of whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls	significant for PE groups D- dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group. S-Flt higher, PIGF lower, S- Flt-1/PIGF ratio higher, II-6 and hsCRP higher I PE groups than controls. Se results ovan. Fibronectin significantly higher in PE groups than control group. Correlations between S-Flt-1 and Fibronectin r=00.34, P< 0.001. Correlation between Fibronectin and PIGF: r0- 0.28, P<0.01 for all PE, IUGR		Exkludera Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer Exkludera. Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer		

Kwiatkowski, S., et al. (2017). Poland. Finns även i 3 trimester	Case-control.	83 PE/of whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 76 controls	S-Flt-1 and PIGF and S-Flt- 1/PIGF ratio. Concentrations in different stages of pregnancy. Lower PIGF concentrations in eoPE than in IoPE. Opposite in control group. In PE groups no differences in SFlt-1 concentrations. Increased concentration in the group of physiological pregnancies after 34 gw. SFlt-1/PIGF ratio values higher in eoPE than in IoPE and in control group.	Kliniskt applicerbar markör	Second and third trimester screening Exkludera Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan olika PE subtyper och nivåer av biomarkörer
Kurtoglu, E., et al. (2016).Turkey. Finns även i 3 trimester	Case-control.	250/100 NT/121 sPE/29 mPE	Platelet count, mean platelet volume, platelet distribution,width, platelet crit. Samples at time of admission. Samles fronm normal pregnancies were taken at last antenatal visit prior to delivery. Mean platelet volume and platelet distribution width was significantly higher in PE group (p=0.006 and 0.046) Platelet count, mean platelet volume, platelet crit was increased in late onset PE (p<0.05)	Kliniskt applicerbar markör?	Second and third trimester screening? Exkludera. Ingen screening, bara korrelationer mellan markörer och sjd/frisk.
Litwinska, M., et al. (2017). U.K. AS	Prospective cohort study.	7748 singleton/ 268 (3.5%) developed PE	Inclusion at 19-24 weeks at routine US. Competing risk model for PE <gw32 and<br=""><36gw and ≥36 gw. High, intermediate and low risk groups. Cut of 1/100 for</gw32>	Kliniskt applicerbar markör Är cut-off värden, ej AUC	Second trimester screening

		Maternal history, MAP, UtA-PI, PIGF, PAPP.A and b- hCG	PE<32 and 1/300 for PE<36, then proportion of the population stratified into high (0.9%)-, intermediate (17.2%)- and low risk (81.9%). High-risk group contained 97% of pregnancies with Pez 32gw, 45% of those with PE32-35 gw, Intermediate cgroup contained further 46% of women with PE at 32-35 gw. Low-risk contained 0.03% of PE <gw32 32-35="" 9%="" and="" gw.<="" th=""><th></th><th></th></gw32>		
Leme Galvao, L. P., et al. (2016). Brazil. Finns även i 1 och 3 trimester	Case-control.	Case- control 456 (169 severe preeclamp sia,287 controls)	High pregestational BMI aOR 2.77 (1.75-4.38), p<0.001, first gestation 1.85 (1.18- 2.92) p<0.008, low level of consciousness on admission 3.31 (1.30-8.42) p=0.01,2 associated with severe PE in multivariable analyses. IL1B genotype were associated only in univariate analyses.	Kliniskt applicerbar markör	First, second, third trimester screening Case – control = överskattning av betydelse av riskfaktorer? Redan kända riskfaktorer som inte gjorts som prediktionsmodell – ej gå vidare med betygsättning?
Lei, J., et al. (2016).Internatio nal/China. Finns även i 1 och 3 trimester	Meta-analys and own studies.	6 included studies of pregnant women. 301 PE/456 non gravida hypertensi on/344 controls.	Angiotensin II tpe 1 receptor autoantibody (AT1-AA) was associated with PE poopled OR 32.84, (95% CI 17.19- 62.74) but weaker with non- pregnant hypertension pooled OR 4.18, (95% CI 2.20-7.98).	Kliniskt applicerbar markör?	First, second, third trimester screening Ej kliniskt applicerbar samt ingen prediktionsstudie. Exkludera.

Maria S artiklar

Vang I	Case	PE 197	Excluded	w 15-20.PIGF s-Engs-	higher PIGF level lower risk for ePE in		
	contr	White 90	defined	VEGER1, early-onset PE	all three racial-ethnic groups		
2016,	ol	Hisp 67	actifica	in whites Hispanics and	weakest associations among Blacks		
USA	ohse	Black 40		blacks.			
AS	nyoti	C=2363		Racial-ethnic differences	[AOR], 0.219CI, 0.124-0.365 [P <		
7.0	onal	0 2000		were observed	0.05]; AOR, 0.048; CI, 0.026-0.088 In		
	onal				Whites, and AOR, 0.028; Cl, 0.013-		
				EPE (<32 0.13% for Whites	0.060 in Hispanics). Elevated sVEGFR-		
				0.14% for Hispanics 0.41%	1 and sEng positively associated ePE.		
				for Blacks White more	increase of sEng five-fold increase risk		
				nulliparous (81.11% versus	ePE in all three racial-ethnic groups,		
				50.11%, P < 0.05), Hispanic	weakest in Blacks (AOR, 5.02, CI, 2.56-		
				cases were older (28.61	9.86 [P < 0.05] in comparison to AOR,		
				versus 26.22 years, P <	36.87: Cl. 17.00-79.96 in Whites. and		
				0.05), Maternal education	AOR. 86.68: CL 31.46-238.81 in		
				and gestational age not	Hispanics) no difference PIGE and		
				associated racial-ethnic	sEng early-onset preeclampsia		
				group. Gestational age at	between Whites and Hispanics		
				delivery and at	Detween whites and Hispanics.		
				preeclampsia did not differ	Elevated fisk ePE associated with		
				preecialitysia did not direct	nigner svegfr-1 in whites (AOR,		
				across racial-etinnic groups.	3.24; Cl, 2.04-5.14) and Hispanics		
					(AOR, 3.68Cl, 2.16-6.29) but not in		
					Blacks (AOR, 1.2; Cl, 0.7-2.1).		
					Case control setting – over-		
					estimation of effect? Not applicable		
					as a prediction model, rather		
					comparison between different racial		
					groups.		
STEFAN							
•••••							
Qiong, Lei, 2016,					EXCLUDE Asian population		
China							
Navaratnam K 2017	Prosp	150			EXCLUDE – to few in outcome group		
	ectiv	women					
		22					
	e	22					
	conor	preeciamp					
	t	sia					

Tsiakkas, A, 2016	Prosp	10282	30000	Second trimester (19-24	EOPE (<32 weeks)	Serum PIGF improves detection of	1	
47:472-477, UK	ectiv	(from	women	weeks) measurement of	Sensitivity 89% with	PE in second trimester, best for	4	
	е	cohort of	did not	maternal risk factors (age,	specificity 95%. Sensitivity	EOPE, not applicable for LOPE.		
AS	cohor	40000	have	race, method conception,	89% with specificity 90%			
	t	women	samples	smoking, hypertension, DM,	EOPE (32-36+6 weeks)			
		with	taken in	SLE, APS, family history of PE	Sensitivity 45%, specificity			
		measure	2 nd	in mother, obstetric history	95%. Sensitivity 65%,			
		ments in	trimester	(parity, PE in previous	specificity 90%			
		first	until later	pregnancy, gestational age at	LOPE (>37 weeks) Sensitivity			
		trimester)	in the	delivery and infant weight,	28% Specificity 95%,			
			study	interval in years after last	Sensitivity 37%, Specificity			
				pregnancy, estimated date of	90%			
				conception. Maternal weight				
				each visit) and PIGF in				
				combination				
Tsiakkas, A , 2016	Prosp	8079		Second trimester (19-24	EOPE (<32 weeks)	Addition of sFlt-1 improves		
47:478-483, UK	ectiv	(unclear if		weeks) measurement of	Sensitivity 53% at 95%	detection rate for early onset PE but		
	е	they		maternal risk factors (age,	specificity, Sensitivity 73%	not LOPE but is still poor.		
AS	cohor	originated		race, method conception,	at 90% specificity			
	t	from		smoking, hypertension, DM,	EOPE (32+1-36+6 weeks)			
		larger		SLE, APS, family history of PE	Sensitivity 26% at 95%			
		cohort)		in mother, obstetric history	specificity, 48% at 90%			
				(parity, PE in previous	specificity.			
				pregnancy, gestational age at	LOPE (>37 weeks) Sensitivity			
				delivery and infant weight,	28% at 95% specificity,			
				interval in years after last	sensitivity 37% at 90%			
				pregnancy, estimated date of	specificity			
				conception. Maternal weight				
				each visit) and sFlt-1 in				
				combination				
Wright, A, 2016, UK	Prosp	7597		Second trimester (19-24	PAPP-A no difference	bhCG improves detection rate of		
	ectiv	(originate		weeks) measurement of	between groups in second	EOPE slightly as a single test, not		
AS	е	d from a		maternal risk factors (age,	and third trimester.	evaluated as a combination.		
	cohor	larger		race, method conception,	For bhCG, it improved			
	t	cohort in		smoking, hypertension, DM,	sensitivity for EOPE <32			
		first		SLE, APS, family history of PE	weeks as a single marker			l

		trimester	 in mother, obstetric history	compared to maternal				
		of 94989	(parity, PE in previous	characteristics at 95%				
		women)	pregnancy, gestational age at	specificity but not at 90%				
			delivery and infant weight,	specificity (sensitivity 57%				
			interval in years after last	vs 43% with 95% spec and				
			pregnancy, estimated date of	57% vs 71% at 90% spec).				
			conception. Maternal weight	For EOPE 32+1-36+6, it				
			each visit) and PAPP-A and b-	improved detection at 95%				
			hCG in combination vs alone	spec (sens 43% vs 33%) but				
				less at 90% spec (57% vs				
				52%).				
				For LOPE >37 weeks, no				
				improvement was seen. Not				
				evaluated as a prediction				
				model with maternal				
				characteristics in second				
				trimester				
Yeung, E, 2014,	Neste	136	Levels of copeptin three	Higher levels before diagnose	Not clinically applicable, n	ot		
	d	controls,	times at <22 gw, 22-32 gw	of preeclampsia.	included for qulity analysis			
	case	71	and at 33-38 gw for	<22 gw aOR 1.55 (1.03-2.31)				
	contr	preterm	prediction of PE.	for all preeclampsia, 1.86				
	ol	PE, 98		(1.08-3.2) for preterm PE				
		term PE,		8<37 gw), 1.33 (0.91-2.32) for				
		101		term PE.				
		Gestation						
		hypertensi						
		on						
		1				1	1	

15. Prediktion tredje trimester

Acestor, N. S 2016 Clin Chem Lab Med	Systematic review	n=	dropouts	Intervention	Controls		t.	E S	
Acestor, N. S 2016 Clin Chem Lab Med	Systematic review	135	= dropouts				Direct	S limit	Pre
Nedladdad fulltext		studies on biomark ers :49		Blood and urine markers in low resource setting (2008- 2013) 1 st , 2 nd 3 rd Biomarkers divided in good,	Good blood: s-Flt1, sEng, GlynFN, PIGF, sUric acid Good urine: Congophilia red, adipsin	Screening at any point in pregnancy, i. e 1 st , 2 nd or 3 rd trimester			
<u>I AUC tabell</u>		blood, 9 urine		adequate and poor quality of predicting preeclampsia.	No sens/spec/AUC/OR reported.				
Afshani N,2013,USA I Nedladdad fulltext I AUC tabell I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Syst review- Medline, EMBASE, Cochrane 12 articles Kaaja 1999 n=9, Borghi 2000, n=40, Folk 2005 n= 7	12 observa tional studies (11 pro, 1 retro) 2 high quality PE pat (6-63) Rafik 2009 n=35, Tanuous	Excluded well defined	proBNP and PE and heartcompl Modified cochrane review for diagnostic tests and study quality OR 30,7 Rafik n=35 (95% CI 3,8-291) OR 21 tihtonen n=19 (95% CI0.5-192) No sens/spec/AUC reported		2 studies no change in pro BNP 4 studeies hight proBNP in PE 1 study no diff norm/PE 7 of 8 studies high in PE 1 study BNP; PE>HT 5 studies high BNP-more complications	+	+	+

	n=40	(321-22-						
	Rosnik	121-22-						
	2005	12)						
	2003 n=24							
	Tithon							
	nuion							
	2007							
	n=19.							
	Rafik							
	2009							
	n=35,							
	Fustare							
	t 2010							
	n=20,							
	Moghb							
	eli							
	2010							
	n=63,							
	Speksni							
	jder							
	2010							
	n=22,							
	Tanuou							
	s 2010							
	n=6							
Aghajafari, F, 2013,			25(OH)D levels and	Low levels associated w	J			
BMJ	System	31	pregnancy outcome	bacterial vaginosis, SGA	,			
	atic	studies	<75nmol/L and	increased PE, GD				
Nedladdad fulltext	and		<37,5nmol/L	Insufficient serum levels of				
I AUC tabell	meta			25-OHD				
				Pooled OR pre-eclampsia				
				(1.79, 1.25 to 2.58), and small				
				for gestational age infants				
				(1.85, 1.52 to 2.26).				
				NO DK OF AUC reported.				
							1	

<u>Akkermanns J</u> 2014, Netherlands Nedladdad fulltext Till komplikationer	Prosp. cohort PETRA	I=216 PE C=2023	Not defined	2 nd 3 rd trim severe early PE 24-34w, External validation of fullPIERS model and adverse outcome within 48 h. For adverse outcome <48 h; AUC ROC 0.970 (95% CI 0.94 - 0.99). for adverse outcome <7 days; AUC ROC 0.800 (95% CI 0.74 - 0.85)	No validation cohort	Basic characteristics the same No validation cohort Small Retropective adding of data were laboratory values taken within 2 weeks upto 12 h after inclusion. In the study group half of the women (111) had received plasma expansion and the other half not.(105)	?	-	?	
Al-Rubaie, ZTA 2016 BJOG <u>Nedladdad fulltext</u> <u>Före 17 veckor – till</u> <u>första trimester</u>	System atic review	29 studies, 70 models (22 simple models)		Performance of models		Parity, PE history, race, CH, conception methods AUC 0,76 (0,67-0,90) Good table	+	+	+	

<u>Alvarez-Fernandez,</u> 2015, Spain <u>Nedladdad fulltext</u> <u>I AUC tabell</u>	Case- control retrosp ective	N=257 susp.PE <34w=6 2, PE 25 >34w= 195,PE 49 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd trim	N=24	Women with 25(OH)D levels < 50 nmol/L experienced an increased risk of developing late-onset PE [odds ratio (OR) 4.6, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.4– 15], but no association was found for early-onset PE. However, a sFlt-1/PIGF ratio above the corresponding cutpoints increased the risk of developing both early- and late-onset PE [ORs 58 (95% CI 11–312) and 12 (95% CI 5.0– 27), respectively].	<34w no PE 32 >34w no PE 146	Controls developed no PE. Results significant for 2 nd and 3 rd trim. Low 25OH D levels significant for >34w. 1 st trim samples too few Excluded pat not defined Cut off levels for sFlt/PIGF taken from own early small study Studypop:multiple gest and IUGR more common I PE gruops	?	?	-	
<u>Alpoim P, 2013, Brazil</u> <u>Nedladdad fulltext</u> <u>I AUC tabell</u>	System ic Review	2 studies in review 992 PE, 883 cntrls 2 case control studies for review		Blood groups and PE OR of 2.42 (1.63-3.58) No AUC/sens/spec presented.	AB associated w PE Increased vWF and factor VIII		?/+	?	+	I
<u>Andrietti S 2016, U</u> K Finnsi andra sökningen I AUC tabell	Prosp cohort	C=11771 <37w790 >37w195 8 GH 2948 3rd	Exclusion defined	3 rd trim 35-37 gw n=3920 UtPI, MAP, PIGF, sFLT: risk factors Predict 84% at 10%FPR		Screening for PE in term pregnancy: w11-13 47%, 19-24 46%, 30 -34 66% 35-37: 82% (70-91) the model 84% the best individual marker s-Flt	+	?	+	

Ariso 2016 Nedl I AUG	y R Turkey addad fulltext Ctabell	Prospe ctive cohort	N=157 I=77 PE, C=180	Exclusion criteria defined but not numbers	Late 2 nd and 3 rd trim. Vit D: 25(OH)D Levels<20ng/mL a 12.45 OF (1.66-93.18) for severe PE No reporting od DR or AUC.	2	Small group of patients	+	?	?	LOW
Asva Obs	old B, 2014, Acta tet, Gyn Scand lladdad fulltext	a Nested -case- control	(35940) 121 preterm, 158	exclusion critera anc excluded pat wel	HCG third trimester	High human chorionic gonadotropin concentrations in the third trimester were associated with increased risk for term preeclampsia (OR 4.8, 95% CI		+	?	+	MEDIUN
<u>1 AL</u>	<u>JC tabell</u>		PE, 356 controls	defined		1.8–13.3) No AUC/DR reported					
Bred Ultr Gyn UK Ned I AU	daki FE asound Obste ecol, 2016 laddad fulltext IC tabell	Prospe t ctive Case- ctrl	17071 (488PE) cases in 11-13gw 8583 (217PE) in 19- 24, 8609 (208) in 30-34 gw	Exclusion definied	In pregnancies that developed PE, serum AFP multiples of the median (MoM) was increased at 11– 13 and 19–24 weeks' gestation, but not at 30– 34weeks No AUC/DR for third trimester since not significant differences.		excluded from 1st trim to 2nd and 3rd trimester is 50% lower- ie selektionbias		-/?	+/?	LOW

			-							
Burris HH 2014	Prosp cohort	2128	Exlusion	I=PE		Vit D level <10 gw	+	?	+	MEDIUM
USA	Vit D PE	1591	defined	C=GH						
		56PE		C2=non HT						
Nedladdad fulltext		109 GH		NS						
I AUC tabell				We did not detect an						
				association between plasma						
				25(OH)D concentration						
				(mean 58,						
				SD 22 nmol/L) and						
				preeclampsia. For each 25						
				nmol/L increase in 25(OH)D,						
				the adjusted odds						
				ratio for preeclampsia was	a de la companya de l					
				1.14 (95% confidence interval						
				0.77, 1.67)						
				o AUC/ no SENS/SPEC						
Chappell LC	Case control	N=625	Exclusion	Predicition for PE with PIGF	I: low PIGF	More complicated patients I r	+	+	?	
2013	prospective	I; PE 346	clearly	suspicion of PE, 2 nd and 3 rd	C: normal PIGF	early PE group than in later				
UK	multicenter		shown	trim		Otherwise baseline data similar	-			
Nedladdad fulltext				DR at 10% FPR, prediction of	f					
I AUC tabell				PE in 14 days; assessed<35gw						
				0.63 (0.51–0.74), 35-36+6w	,					
				0.22 (0.13–0.34), >37 w 0.26	5					
				(0.17–0·36). AUC presenting	7					
				<35gw with PE delivery<14	L					
				days; 0.87 (0.03)						
Cohen JM.	System	64		Antioxidant levels and PE		Not conclusive				
2015	atic and	studies		16% of levels measured						
Plos ONE	meta			before diagnosis of PE. The						
Nedladdad fulltext				majority of included studies						
Till riskfaktorgruppen				compared antioxidant						
				levels in women with						
Mer en case control				clinically manifest						
design av manifest				preeclampsia to third						
sjd? Exkludera? Till				trimester controls.						
riskfaktorgruppen?										

De Oliveire I	Coloret	00.05	Not	Manager and the second second			. 7			1011/
De Oliveira L	Cohort	88 PE	Not	Women with diagnosed	I: high sFLt/PIGF ration	More nulliparous in adverse	+?	-	-	LOW
2013	Case control	Adverse	defined	preeclampsia, AUC for	C: Normal sFit/PIGF ratio	outcome group				
Brazil		outcome	and	adverse outcome.		Too few pat				
		43, no	mentioned	sFlt1/PIGF 0.954 0.019		Excluded pat not mentioned				
Nedladdad fulltext		adverse		0.917–0.991						
		45		24 h Proteinuria 0.926 0.032						
Till komplikationer				0.865–0.988						
				ALT 0.843 0.046 0.753-0.825						
				Platelets 0.811 0.048 0.717-						
				0.905						
				Creatinine 0.719 0.054	4					
				0.613–0.825						
Dogan E. 2014. Turke	v Case control	C=80	Not defined	d s-VCAM-1 and fibronectin	The mean levels of	High risk for selection bias	-	+	?	LOW
0,,,	prosp	I=80 PE		correlation in early and	sVCAM-1	5				
Till riskfaktorgruppen		early PF	-	late PF	and fibronectin were					
		37+late		2 nd 3 rd trim	significantly higher in the					
		DF 43		Increased evels o	LOP group than those in					
		1 2 43,		fibronection and sVCAM in	the normotensive group (p					
				DE	= 0.043 and 0.010					
				L .	respectively). Markers					
					were significantly different					
					between the two					
					hypertensive groups of					
					pregnancy. The EOP					
					group had a higher level of					
					sVCAM-1					
					and fibronectin concentrati					
					on than the LOP group (p					
					= 0.01, for both markers).					
					There was a positive					
					correlation both between					
					the values of					
					plasma fibronectin and the					

					systolic-diastolic blood pressure measurements (r:0.43 and 0.44, respectively), and between sVCAM-1 and the systolic/diastolic blood pressure measurements (r = 0.54 and 0.64, respectively)					
Forest J, 2014, Canada <u>Nedladdad fulltext</u> I AUC tabell	Nested case control prosp	7929, 111 PE and 69 GH and 338 controls	Not well difined	sFLT-1 /PIGF ratio to predict early and severe PE measured in 20-32 gw Sensitivity at FPR of 10%,early onset PE 88.9 (58.2–98.8), preterm PE 50.0 (35.3–64.7) Severe PE 43.6 (31.8–56.1) All PE 36.9 (29.0–45.5) AUC early onset PE 0.977 (0.931–1.000) preterm PE 0.746 (0.638–0.853) Severe PE 0.746 (0.663–0.830) All PE 0.706 (0.645–0.768)			+	?	+	MEDIUM
Hu, G Am. J. of Clinical Sciences, 2016 Bara abstract på pubmed men case control, ingen prediction – Satt till riskfaktorgruppen	Case control	PE, 100 w severe, 75 eclamps ia,215 cntrls		Nucleated red blood cells in maternal peripheral bllod Grest age 30-38w	Correlation between number and cord blood and severity as well as Doppler0.994 (95% CI: 0.990-0.998) with a cut-off point of 10.50, a sensitivity of 96.50% and a specificity of 96.28% (Figure <u>2A</u>); the AUC of S/D in the fetal DV for the diagnosis of HDP was 0.975 (95% CI: 0.960–0.990) with a cut-off	2 2	?	+	?	LOW/ME

		1								
					point of 3.085, a sensitivity					
					of 93.60% and a specificity					
					of 98.14% (Figure 2B); the					
					AUC of S/D in the umbilical					
					artery for the diagnosis of					
					HDP was 0.987 (95% CI:					
					0.981–0.994) with a cut-off					
					point of 3.395, a sensitivity					
					of 94.30% and a specificity					
					of 94.88% (<u>Figure 2C</u>) and					
					the AUC of S/D in the					
					middle cerebral artery for					
					the diagnosis of HDP was					
					1.000 (95% CI: 0.999–1.000)					
					with a cut-off point of 3.21,					
					a sensitivity of 99.30% and					
					a specificity of 100%					
Kafkasli A, 2013J	Retrospective	N= 406	Excluded	Role of Doppler			-	?	?	
.Mat Fet and neonat		259 PE	due to lack	UtA+maternal						
Med		168 mild	of data	characteristics, ASAT, ALAT,						
		91 severe	about 40%	LD and platelets						
Nedladdad fulltext				Doppler predictor	of					
Exkludera				prematurity OR 3.3 (1.7-6.4	L)					
				Severe PE predictor of bad	,					
				outcome OB 4 1 (1 9-8 9)						
Khalil A 2016	Prosn	C=172		1 st 2 nd 3 rd trim s-Flt PIGE	PIGF	PIGE lower in ePE n<0.001	+	+	2	MEDILIN
Illtrasound Obstat	(105p	CH-18		sELT/PIGE ratio taken	11–13weeks 0.70 (0.54–0.87)	PIGE lower w13in term PE and	•		•	
Curacol LIK	case	oDE= 22		si El / FIGI Tatio, taken	19–22weeks 0.77 (0.63–0.91)	from w 27 in CH group cElt				
Gynecol, OK	control			every 4 w until delivery	Longitudinal 0.79 (0.74–0.84)	high an in a DE than a sufficient				
		IPE =22		from W 11-13. SFL1/PIGF	sFlt-1	nigner in ePE than contr				
Nedladdad fulltext				ration 11-13w and 19-22w.	11–13Weeks 0.58 (0.44–0.73) 19–22weeks 0.73 (0.61–0.85)	(p<0.001),sFLt/PIGF ratio				
Till första och andra					Longitudinal 0.74 (0.69–0.80)	higher ePE and increased from				
trimester					sFlt-1/PIGF ratio	w 11, Small groups				
					11–13weeks 0.73 (0.60–0.86)					
					19–22weeks 0.79 (0.67–0.91)					
	1				Longitudinal 0.85 (0.80–0.89)	1	l		l	<u> </u>

Kurt RK, 2015, Turkey	Case control	C=50 I=52PE	Not definied	Red cell distribution avd PE 2 nd 3 rd trim	Prospective- likely but not clear	+	-	?	LOW
Nedladdad fulltext				Red blood cell width related					
Case-control med				to Pe and severity					
redan									
diagnostiserade PE –	-								
riskfaktorgruppen									
Lai J, 2013 UK	Cohort	N=4855	Excluded	I=50PE	Only 50PE patients had serums	+?	?	-?	L
Nedladdad fulltext	Case control	c=4294	defined	C=250	samples for analysis ie one				
I AUC tabell		PE145;		Assessed at 30-33 gw;	third of included at first				
		GH 161		Preeclampsia with delivery					
		3 rd trim		34-37gw; AUC (DR at 10%					
				FPR) for maternal history;					
				0.793;0.739–0.840 (35.7					
				(12.9–64.8)), PIGF 0.907;					
				0.866–0.940 (71.4 (41.9–					
				91.4)), Free b-hCG 0.749;					
				0.692–0.800, (50.0 (23.1–					
				76.9)) PIGF and free b-hCG					
				0.904; 0.862–0.936, (85.7					
				(57.2–97.8)) b-hCG/PIGF ratio					
				0.873; (0.827–0.911, 78.6					
				(49.2–95.1)) Maternal history					
				plus PIGF 0.939; 0.903–0.965,					
				(85.7 (57.2–97.8)) Maternal					
				history plus Free b-hCG 0.822;					
				0.771-0.866, (64.3 (35.2-					
				87.1)) Maternal history plus					
				07.8)) Maternal history alus h					
				bCC/DICE ratio 0 000: 0 858					
				D.334, (03.7 (37.2-37.0))					
				27 guy ALIC for motors					
				>37 gw; AUC for maternal					

				history; 0.663 (0.604–0.717), PIGF; 0.734 (0.678–0.787), b- hCG 0.583 (0.523–0.641), PIGF and free b-hCG 0.743 (0.688– 0.792), b-hCG/PIGF ratio 0.696 (0.639–0.749), maternal history plus PIGF; 0.783 (0.731–0.829), maternal history plus Free b-hCG 0.665 (0.608–0.720), maternal history PIGF and free b-hCG 0.778 (0.725–0.825), maternal history, b-hCG/PIGF ratio 0.763 (0.709–0.811)					
Lai J, 2013 UK Nedladdad fulltext I AUC tabell	Cohort case control	N=4855 c=4294 PE145; GH161 SGA without PE/GH 255 3 rd trim	Excluded defined	I; PE=145, GH =161 C;4294 AUC for Maternal history intermediate PE (delivery 34-37 gw) 0.771 (0.758– 0.783) late PE (delivery >37 gw) 0.756 (0.743–0.768) MAP intermediate PE 0.878 (0.868–0.887) late PE 0.810 (0.798–0.822) sBP intermediate PE 0.854 (0.843–0.864) late PE 0.786 (0.774–0.798) dBP intermediate PE 0.860 (0.849–0.870) late PE 0.792 (0.780–0.804) Maternal history with MAP intermediate PE 0.905 (0.896–0.914) late PE 0.845 (0.834–0.856) Maternal history with sBP intermediate PE 0.898		+	?	?	MEDIUM

				(0.888–0.907) late PE 0.836 (0.825–0.847) Maternal history with dBP intermediate PE 0.887 (0.877–0.896) late PE 0.841						
Lai J, 2014, UK Nedladdad fulltext	Prosp cohort	w11-13; c=83615, PE=2140	Not def	<u>30-33w:maternal charcter.</u> <u>PIGF, sFlt</u> DR with 10% FPR delivery all		Matern hist, UtPI and MAP; DR 90%, 65%, 53% for delivery within 4,6,8w	+	+?	+	MI
I AUC tabell		w30-33; c=3734, PE 118	2	PE (63.6; 54.2- 72.2),4w (100; 82.4- 100), 6w 87.8; 75.2, 95.4; 8w (75.6; 65.4- 84) Maternal Characteristics: All						
		2110		PE (24.6; 17.1, 33.4), <4w (63.2; 38.4, 83.7), <6w (44.9; 30.7, 59.8), <8w (40; 29.8, 50.9)	,					
				PIGF: All PE (62.7; 53.3, 71.4), <4w (100; 82.4, 100), <6w (83.7; 70.3, 92.7), <8w (74.4,						
				64.2, 83.1) sFlt-1 All PE (41.5; 32.5, 51) <4w (94.7; 74, 99.9), <6w (71.4) 56.7, 83.4) <8w (55.6; 44.7, 66)	,					
<u>Laskowska M, 2013,</u> Poland	Case control	C=65 I; 51 PE 64 PE/	Not defiend	Homocysteine, assymetric dimethylarginin (ADMA)and IUGR and PE .Pos corr of		Maternal BMI differed- higher I PE group and Gest age older in controls than in studygroup	?	?	?	
<u>Nedladdad fulltext</u> Redan PE diagnos (ej		IUGR 65 IUGR 2 nd 3 rd		homocsytein and ADMA between Normal and PE withourt IUGR but no corr						
prediktion) . Till riskfaktorgruppen		trim		when IUGR was presen t3rd trim Ingen AUC/DR						
Leanos-Miranda A, 2013, Hypertension, Mexico Nedladdad fulltext	3groups, no control group	N=501 mildPE 122	Not defined	Sflt/PIGF ration and urinary prolactin and sENG in Preeclampsia 2 nd and 3 rd	The risk for any adverse maternal outcome and for having a small-for-	Groups Differing in age, gest age, , smoking, gest age at sampling earlier in sPE and s PE and hellp	?	?	-	

		severeP		uPRL higher in SPE + HELLP	gestational-age infant was					
Till komplikationer		E 261		sPIGF higher in mPE than sPE	higher					
		sPE +		and SPE + help	among women with sFlt-					
		Hellp/ecl		sFLT PIGF ration higher SPE	1/PIGF ratios, sEng, and					
		amspis		and >sPE + HELLP	urinary PRL level values in the					
		118		sEng higher in sPE and >sPE	highest quartile (odds ratios ≥					
				+ hellp	2.7),					
					compared with the lowest					
					quartile. Both urinary PRL					
					levels and the presence of					
					antiangiogenic PRL fragments					
					were					
					more closely associated with					
					the risk of specific adverse					
					maternal outcomes (placental					
					abruption, hepatic hematoma					
					or					
					rupture, acute renal failure,					
					pulmonary edema, maternal					
					death, and need for					
					endotracheal intubation,					
					positive inotropic					
					drug support, and					
					hemodialysis; odds ratios ≥					
					5.7 and \geq 4.7, respectively)					
					than either sFlt-1/PlGF ratio					
					or sEng alone.					
					Ingen DR eller AUC					
<u>Liu Y</u>	Meta-analys	20	Excluded	Metaanalys:	Included studies: Kim 2007,	Seems to be from all three	+	+	?	ŀ
2015		studies,	studies	s-Flt1/PIGF ratio for all PE:	Stepan 2007, Diab 2008, sibai	trimesters, subdivided in one				
<u>China</u>		838 PE,	well	AUC 0.88 (0.77-0.89). Sens	2008, Vivo 2008, Kusanovic	table into "second trimester vs				
		6138	described	0.78 (95 % Cl	2009, Molvarec 2010,	other trimesters"				
Nedladdad fulltext		controls		0.67–0.86) and spec 0.84 (95	Ohkuchi 2010, Sunderji 2010,					
				% CI 0.77–0.89) for PE	Verloren 2010, Chen 2012,					
För alla trimestrar I en				detection	McElrath 20112, Lehnen					
klump – vart ska vi				sFlt-1/PIGF ratio for early	2013, Odibo 2013, Villa 2013,					
sätta in den?				onset PE: sensitivity of 0.94	Hanita 2014, Park 2014,					

Nämna i text, ej AUC tabell				(95 % CI 0.82–0.98), specificity of 0.94 (95 % CI 0.89–0.96) and AUC of 0.98	Doherty 2014, Moore 2014, Stubert 2014					
Lopez-Mendez MA 2013, Mexico Nedladdad fulltext Case control – redan diagnostiserad PE och bara jämförelse mellan grupper – riskfaktorer	Case control	N=102 I=pe 65 C=norm 37	Excluded not defined	Abnormal Doppler in PE OR 2,93 (1,2-7,3), PPV 89,2%, NPV 88,6% UtPI OR 2,6 (1.01-6,68)in pe Notch OR 9,0 (1,127-71,887) Umb OR 30,63 (1,47-639,71)	2 nd 3rd	Hypertensive treatment in some of PE patients but not specified Both patients in second and third trimester- not specified which gest age	-	-	?	
Macdonald-Wallis C, 2015, UK <u>Nedladdad fulltext</u> <u>I AUC tabell</u>	Prosp. Cohort 2 cohorts	Develop. cohort 12996; 12679 +317 Validat; 3005;297 1+86PE	Excluded defined	Maternal charcter 1 st and MAP w 20-36 MAP form w28 improved predicition PE Auc maternal char+MAP at Gw 20 0.79, gw 25 0.80, gw 28 0.81, gw 31 0.82, gw 34 0.84, gw 36 0.88 Any preeclampsia	1st2 nd 3rd	Incidence in validation too few, low power?	+	+	?	N
<u>Madazli R, 2014, Turkey</u> Nedladdad fulltext Till komplikationer	Retrospect Case Contr	N=144 ePE 91 IPE 63 3rd	Not def	UtPI higher in ePE 71,4% vs 30,1% SGA, oligo,apgar neonat outcome higher in ePE than IPE	2 nd 3 rd waveform was significantly higher in the EOPE group (71.4 vs 30.1 %) (p < 0.001). The incidences of small-for-gestational age, oligohydramnios, Apgar score <7 at 5 min, stillbirth and early neonatal death rates were	Maternal characteristics not well defined	+	_	-?	

					significantly higher in women					
					with EO-PE compared					
					to LO-PE (p < 0.01). Maternal					
					complications were only					
					recorded in women with					
					severe PE.					
Mc Carthy FP	Retrosp	12996	Not def	1 st 2 nd 3 rd trim	No predictive value for SGA	Short study with no major	+	?	?	
2015,	analysis of a	validated		Early preg characteristics in	or PTB	problems				
Ireland	prospective	in 3005		first trimester gives AUC						
	cohort			0.79 (0.73-0.85) and 0.88						
Hittar inte artikeln.	ALSPAC			(0.84-0.93) at 36gw+MAP.						
Fråga Maria				Effect of MAP makes a						
				different first at 28gw for PE						
				AUC 0.84 (0.79-0.86)						
<u>Payne B</u>	Cohort	N=1935	261	FULL PIERS (lab crea, tpk,AST,	3rd	If a-missing data- before	+	+	-	
2012, Cananda-world	Prospective	of 2023	definied	SpO2, chest pain, gest age) for		admittance.the last observation				
wide, (NZ,Australia,	Multice			maternal complications.		within 2 weeks before				
<u>UK)</u>	nter (8)			Within 48 hours; AUC ROC 0.76;		admittance and after				
				95% CI 0.72–0.8, and within		admittance: the last data within				
Nedladdad fulltext -				24 hours of admission (AUC		12 h from measurement				
till komplikationer				ROC 0.81, 95% CI 0.77–						
				0.86)						
<u>Payne B</u>	Cohort	N=2081	52	Screening for adverse	1300 from full PIERS cohort	Diffrences between pat in	+	?	+	
2014, Cananda-world	Prospective	of 2133	excluded.	outcome in hypertensive	for external validation of the	miniPIERS model compared to				
wide, (Brazil, china,	Multicenter	(C=1300	defined	disorders;symtoms,	developed miniPIERS model	full PIERS model but correction				
south africa, Canada		from full		demographics and signs:	3rd.	was performed				
<u>etc)</u>		PIERS		Development of miniPIERS						
Nedladdad fulltext		cohort)		equation: AUC for bad						
Till komplikationer				maternal outcome within 24 h						
				after admission=						
				0.768 (95% CI 0.735–0.801						
Polat I	Case-	N=490	Defined	Double notches (n=39) and	n=59 no notch		+	?	-	
<u>2015, Turkey</u>	Control	ΡЕ,	Doppler or	bilateralnotches(n=252)	3 rd trim					
		I=431	442							
<u>Nedladdad</u> fulltext Till komplikationer	Retros pective	C=59 166 mild, 324 severe	Neonatal data 408	predictive of adverse maternal outcomes Ingen AUC/DR						
---	-----------------------	---	----------------------	---	--	--	----	---	---	--
<u>Rios DRA</u> 2015, Brazil Hittar inte artikeln men verkar vara efter diagnos – till riskfaktorgruppen	Case control	N=120 I=60 PE ePE34 IPE 26 C=60	Not defined	sENG and sVCAM, and lower VEGF were found in severe PE compared to controls	C=60 No PE	The normotensive group had very varying GA with median 32w	+?	?	?	
<u>Stubert J, 2014,</u> <u>Germany</u> <u>Nedladdad fulltext</u> <u>Till komplikationer</u>	Retros p cohort	N=68 EarlyPE= 44, latePE 24	Excluded not def	Difference early o late severe PE and predictors 2 nd and 3 rd trim	Early- and late-onset severe preeclampsia differed from each other remarkably. Perinatal outcome was unfavorable in early-onset disease and seemed to be mainly a result of premature delivery and development of fetal growth restriction. Abnormal uterine Doppler velocimetry increased the risk of low 5-min Apgar values [odds ratio (OR) 8.0, P = 0.012] and preterm birth < 34+0 weeks (OR 17.9, P < 0.001). An increased resistance of the umbilical artery was associated with a higher risk for SGA birth weight (OR 4.9, P = 0.010) Ingen AUC eller DR	UtPI abnormal; increase risk low apgar OR 8.0 and preterm OR 17,9 SGA OR 4,9	-	?	?	

Tayyar A 2014 UK	Prosp	N=83615	Not	MoM UtPI and maternal		A first trim screening was also) +	-?	+?
	screening	normoten	defined	character at 30-33 gw could	DR of Materr	al done+maternal characteristics			
Nedladdad fulltext		sive 2140	which	iidentify 90% of pregnencies	characteristics, MAP a	nd			
I AUC tabell		PE but	were	developing PE-false pos rate	uterine artery PI for	Complete set for 350 PE and 13 878	8		
		this study	excluded	of 5%	preeclampsia at 10% FF	^{R;} cntrls			
		I=360 PE	from the		60.9 (55.5 – 66.0), for PE	⁴ PI higher in Afro-Carribean			
		C=13878	primary		weeks; 93.1 (83.3 – 98.1), f	or			
		normoten	cohort		PE<6w 74.4 (66.2 – 81.6), f	or			
		sive			PE<8w 65.9 (59.9 – 71.6)				
					DR for uterine artery at 10	%			
					FPR, all PE 48.6 (43.2 – 53.),			
					PE<4w 89.7 (78.8 – 96.1),	PE			
					<6w 66.9 (58.2 – 74.8), PE<8	w			
					54.4 (48.3 – 60.5)				
					DR for MAP at 10% FPR,				
					PE 1 (53.8 – 64.3), PE<4w 83	.0			
					(68.6 - 90.1), PE<6w 68	.4			
					(59.8 - 76.2), PE<8W 60	.0			
T 4 204 C UV					(53.9 - 65.9)			2	2
Tayyar A 2016 UK	Prosp	w 11-	Not	MAP and maternal history	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
Tayyar A 2016 UK	Prosp cohor	w 11- 13=7734	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR	(5.5)		+	?	?
Tayyar A 2016 UK Nedladdad fulltexti	Prosp cohor t	w 11- 13=7734 w19-	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
<u>Tayyar A 2016 UK</u> Nedladdad fulltextÏ AUC tabell	Prosp cohor t	w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
<u>Tayyar A 2016 UK</u> Nedladdad fulltextİ AUC tabell	Prosp cohor t	w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120 w 30-344	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia (delivery <37 gw); Maternal	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
<u>Tayyar A 2016 UK</u> Nedladdad fulltexti AUC tabell	Prosp cohor t	w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120 w 30-344 29802	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia (delivery <37 gw); Maternal factors 44 (36–53), Maternal	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
<u>Tayyar A 2016 UK</u> Nedladdad fulltexti AUC tabell	Prosp cohor t	w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120 w 30-344 29802 w 35-37	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia (delivery <37 gw); Maternal factors 44 (36–53), Maternal factors and MAP; 79 (72–86).	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
<u>Tayyar A 2016 UK</u> Nedladdad fulltextÏ AUC tabell	Prosp cohor t	w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120 w 30-344 29802 w 35-37 5543	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia (delivery <37 gw); Maternal factors 44 (36–53), Maternal factors and MAP; 79 (72–86). For term preeclampsia;	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
<u>Tayyar A 2016 UK</u> Nedladdad fulltextÏ AUC tabell	Prosp cohor t	w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120 w 30-344 29802 w 35-37 5543	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia (delivery <37 gw); Maternal factors 44 (36–53), Maternal factors and MAP; 79 (72–86). For term preeclampsia; Maternal factors 40 (36–45),	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
<u>Tayyar A 2016 UK</u> Nedladdad fulltextİ AUC tabell	Prosp cohor t	w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120 w 30-344 29802 w 35-37 5543	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia (delivery <37 gw); Maternal factors 44 (36–53), Maternal factors and MAP; 79 (72–86). For term preeclampsia; Maternal factors 40 (36–45), maternal factors and MAP; 53	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
<u>Tayyar A 2016 UK</u> Nedladdad fulltexti AUC tabell	Prosp cohor t	w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120 w 30-344 29802 w 35-37 5543	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia (delivery <37 gw); Maternal factors 44 (36–53), Maternal factors and MAP; 79 (72–86). For term preeclampsia; Maternal factors 40 (36–45), maternal factors and MAP; 53 (49–57)	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
<u>Tayyar A 2016 UK</u> Nedladdad fulltexti AUC tabell	Prosp cohor t	w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120 w 30-344 29802 w 35-37 5543	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia (delivery <37 gw); Maternal factors 44 (36–53), Maternal factors and MAP; 79 (72–86). For term preeclampsia; Maternal factors 40 (36–45), maternal factors and MAP; 53 (49–57) Assessed at 35-37 gw for	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
<u>Tayyar A 2016 UK</u> Nedladdad fulltexti AUC tabell	Prosp cohor t	w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120 w 30-344 29802 w 35-37 5543	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia (delivery <37 gw); Maternal factors 44 (36–53), Maternal factors and MAP; 79 (72–86). For term preeclampsia; Maternal factors 40 (36–45), maternal factors and MAP; 53 (49–57) Assessed at 35-37 gw for term preeclampsia; Maternal	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
<u>Tayyar A 2016 UK</u> Nedladdad fulltextï AUC tabell	Prosp cohor t	w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120 w 30-344 29802 w 35-37 5543	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia (delivery <37 gw); Maternal factors 44 (36–53), Maternal factors and MAP; 79 (72–86). For term preeclampsia; Maternal factors 40 (36–45), maternal factors and MAP; 53 (49–57) Assessed at 35-37 gw for term preeclampsia; Maternal factors: 33 (23–44), maternal	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
<u>Tayyar A 2016 UK</u> Nedladdad fulltexti AUC tabell	Prosp cohor t	w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120 w 30-344 29802 w 35-37 5543	Not defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia (delivery <37 gw); Maternal factors 44 (36–53), Maternal factors and MAP; 79 (72–86). For term preeclampsia; Maternal factors 40 (36–45), maternal factors 40 (36–45), maternal factors and MAP; 53 (49–57) Assessed at 35-37 gw for term preeclampsia; Maternal factors: 33 (23–44), maternal factors and MAP; 62 (51–72)	(33.9 - 03.9)		+	?	?
Tayyar A 2016 UK Nedladdad fulltexti AUC tabell <u>Wright A, 2016; UK</u>	Prosp cohor t Cohor	w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120 w 30-344 29802 w 35-37 5543 W 11-	Not defined Not well	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia (delivery <37 gw); Maternal factors 44 (36–53), Maternal factors and MAP; 79 (72–86). For term preeclampsia; Maternal factors 40 (36–45), maternal factors and MAP; 53 (49–57) Assessed at 35-37 gw for term preeclampsia; Maternal factors: 33 (23–44), maternal factors and MAP; 62 (51–72) Assessed at 30-33 gw, DR	(55.9 - 65.9)	Combination of maternal factors	+ +	?	?
<u>Tayyar A 2016 UK</u> Nedladdad fulltexti AUC tabell <u>Wright A, 2016; UK</u>	Prosp cohor t Cohor t	<pre>w 11- 13=7734 w19- 24=31120 w 30-344 29802 w 35-37 5543</pre>	Not defined Not well defined	MAP and maternal history DR at 10% FPR Assessed at 30-34 gw for preterm preeclampsia (delivery <37 gw); Maternal factors 44 (36–53), Maternal factors and MAP; 79 (72–86). For term preeclampsia; Maternal factors 40 (36–45), maternal factors and MAP; 53 (49–57) Assessed at 35-37 gw for term preeclampsia; Maternal factors: 33 (23–44), maternal factors and MAP; 62 (51–72) Assessed at 30-33 gw, DR with 10% FPR for PE delivery	(55.9 - 65.9)	Combination of maternal factors with PAPP A and beta HCC	+ +	?	?

I AUC tabell	Case contr ol	W 19-24 7597 30- 34w=808 8	N (26 P. b cd P M (26 P. b cd	Aaternal risk factors; 42 5–59), APP-A; 55 (38–71), hCG; 63 (46–78), ombined; 63 (46–78). E delivery> 37 gw; Aaternal risk factors; 34 5–42), APP-A; 36 (29–44), hCG; 39 (31–47), ombined; 37 (30–46)						
Wright D, 2016, Ultrasound Obstetr gynecol, UK Nedladdad fulltext Till andra trimester	Prosp cohort case control	N=11771 O no PE Early PE 790 Late PE 1958 GH 2948	Exclusion not	Two stage screening with MAP, and history 1 st trim and ultralsound and PIGF in 2 ^{nc} trim With 10% false positive UtPI w 11-14; Pre early onset: sens 47,8%(39,0-56,8), spec 92,1% (88,6-94,6), pred early IUGR sens 39,2%, (26,3-53,8), spec 93,1%, (90,6-95,0), ane PE on IUGR; sens 26,4% (22,5-30,8) spec 93,3% (90,0-95,1))	Detectionrate MAP, UtPI, Pl 74%, 2 stage screen MAP maternal first and utPI plgf second; detec rate 71% with 50% less examinated. Screen at 19-24w: det ra MAP, maternal UtPI plgf; 84 2 stage det rate only 70% 30% was offered screen a and higher if 405 was offere	gf; Very low numbers of patients undergping measurement with Plgf and varying sizes o grupps amalyzed ate 4%, 5. If and ed	+/?	?	?	
Wright D, 2016, Ultrasound Obstetr gynecol, UK <u>Nedladdad fulltext</u> I AUC tabell	Prosp cohort case control	19-24w C=7318 PE=247 30-34W C=8021 PE=243	Excluded not defined	AUC (DR at 10% FPR) at 30- 32 gw for PE delivery <4w; Maternal factors; 0.7855; 0.7497–0.8212, (46 (38–54)) Maternal factors and sFlt-1; 0.9594; 0.9292–0.9895, 88 (72–97) <6w: Maternal factors 0.7735; 0.7484– 0.7985, (46 (40–51)) Maternal factors and sFlt-1; 0.9237; 0.8950– 0.9525, 84 (76–91) <37 w; Maternal factors; 0.7844; 0.7513–0.8174, (45 (37–52)) Maternal factors and sFlt- 1; 0.9658; 0.9440–0.9876, (94 (82– 99)) >37w; Maternal factors;		in a large cohort sFlt was measure in some pat that are included, total population 123406	+/?	?	?	

7/	aislar H	Prospe		500		0.7495; 0.7285 45)) Maternal 0.8052; 0.7725 58))	5-0.7705, (41 (37- factors and sFlt-1; 3-0.8382, (51 (44-	Cutoff value of a	28					+/2	
20	O1C Multicontor	riuspe		JUU		AUC IUI FIL/	FIGFFE SIW,		oo ooliatiwa walwa			Ŧ	т	+/ :	
21	016, Multicenter	ctive		developm				<38 negative pr							
ы	adladdad fulltayt	nullice		ent		(95% CI, 85	.0-90.0),		3.3% 80%sens	,					
		mei		550				70,5% spec	ictivo valuo						
				validation		ALLC for PE	<pre></pre> <pre></pre>	within 1 weeks 3	5 7% at 66%						
				101+98		developme	<pre>>+w. nt cohort· 86 1%</pre>	sens and 83.1 sne							
				PF		(95% CL 80	9-91 3)								
						validation c	ohort:								
						82.3% (95%	6 Cl. 77.3–87.3)								
	Agrawal, S., et al. <u>Hypertension</u> 71 (2 316 Several countrie continents AS Finns i AUC tabell	(2018). 2): 306- es, all	Systen c revie and m analys for sFlt/Pl ratio in predic onset preecl nsia	nati ew eta is GF n ting of am	15 studies, 18 groups when divided on outcome early/late PE. 534 PE, 19587 controls	No dropouts after specified inclusion criteria (originally 3736 studies)	s Overall: sens 80 92% (0.87-0.96). sens 85% (0.66 (0.76-0.93), low r (0.61-0.88), spec Post-test proba Neg LR 7%	9% =.68-0.88), spec High risk group: -0.94), spec 87% isk group sens 77% 94% (0.88-0.97). bility	Kliniskt appli	cerbar	19-3 both Hete Andr av se	7 gw, p ear rogene a och t mare p	oredictio ly an eity in s redje ti reeklar	on of nd udies imest npsidi	preecl late s. ter, pre agnos
	Andersen, L. B., (2016). Hy Pregnancy 35(3) 419	et al. pertens : 405-	Prospe cohort	ective	6707 were offered inclusion and 2874 (42.9%)		Concentrations PIGF, and sFIt-1 were predictive c but not in outperformed sF	of sFlt-1, /PIGF in GW20-34 of PE development, GW8-14. PIGF lt-1/PIGF ratio with	Kliniskt appli	cerbar. PIGF och Flt-1	First scree Relat single	and ening fo tiv låg A e scree	second or preed AUC för eningtes	l, th clamp att fu t.	ird tr sia ngera
	I AUC tabell				enrolled A total of 1909 blood samples were available		an area under curve (# 0.704, p = 0.002 values for PIGF and sFI seen for sever (0.901	AUC) of 0.755 vs. . The highest AUC t-1/PIGF ratio were e early-onset PE			Bör äv	ven läg	gas till i	3:e tr	rim

				and 0.883). Negative predictive values were high for all PE types, but positive predictive values were low.		
Andrietti, S., et al. (2017). Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 50(2): 221-227 United Kingdom Finns i AUC tabell	Prospecti ve cohort	Complete data for biomarkers : 3663 healthy, 136 PE. Overall 123406 pregnancie s		AUC:s for maternal risk factors, PIGF, UtAPI presented for first, second, third trimester for PE <37 weeks and >37 weeks. Also combinations of measurements in all 3 trimesters. Conclusion that a combination did not lead to higher AUC. See article for all numbers.	, Kliniskt applicerbara markörer	Large cohort but for bior smaller. First, second and third tr screening
Andrietti, S., et al. (2016). Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 48(1): 72-79 United Kingdom Finns i AUC tabell	Prospecti ve cohort	123406 total with maternal data,	unclear how much data missing for PIGF and UTPI		Kliniskt applicerbara markörer	Third trimester screenin detection of preeclampsia for each case of PE (n=2748) and pregnancie unaffected by PE or PIH (n=117 710), the biophysical a biochemical MoM values were simulated from the fittec multivariate Gaussian distribution for log- transformedMoMvalues
Duckworth, S., et al. (2016). PLoS ONE 11(10): e0164276 United Kingdom and Ireland Exkluderas	Prospecti ve cohort	132		Cost effective analysis of the use of PIGF as aid in diagnose.	Kliniskt applicerbar markör Ska vi ta med denna eller ej? Kostnadsanalys.	PIGF in women with elevate third trimester. Algorithm in admissions where we normal admit in Sweden. Not generali
Ferguson, K. K., et al. (2017). Am J Obstet	Prospecti ve cohort	50 mothers who experience d		At visit at 18 weeks; hazard ratios for a combination of 8- isoprostanel 8-	Inflammations och oxidative stressmarkörer för PE	urine and plasma samples at points during gestation (med 18, 26, and 35 weeks). Predi first, second and third trimeste

Gynecol 216(5):	preeclamps	hydroxydeoxyguanos	ine, C-reactive Inga AUC	Celler sens/spec beskrivna	ai	
527.e521-527.e529		ia and 391	protein, the cytokine	s artikeln.			
USA		mothers	interleukin-1b, -6, a	nd -10, and			
		with	tumor necrosis factor	r-a showed an			
		normotensi	increased risk of pree	clampsia with			
		ve	1.31-1.83, in associat	ion with an			
Finns I AUC tabeli		pregnancie	interquartile range in	crease in			
		s	biomarker. Hazard ı	atios at this			
			time point were the r	nost elevated			
			for Creactive				
			protein, for interleu	kin-1b, -6, and			
			-10, and for the oxida	itive			
			stress biomarker 8-i	soprostane			
			(hazard ratio, 1.68; 9	5% confidence			
			interval 1.14-2.48)				
Frusca, T., et al. (2017).	J Cost	Derived	sFlt-1/PIGF ration	in predicting Kliniskt a	pplicerbara markörer	Screening for	preeclampsia i
Matern Fetal Neonatal	effective	from	preeclampsia at	28-32 gw.		trimester (28-	32 weeks) fo
Med 30(18): 2166-2173	. analysis	PROGNOSI	Healthcare costs as	sociated with Kosteffel	ktiv analys – inte i AUC	diagnosis of pre	eclampsia
Italy		S study and	the management c	of a pregnant tabellen	– beskrivs i text?		
		calculated	woman with clinical				
exkludera		on an	suspicion of PE equ	ial 2384 euros			
		expected	when following star	ndard practice			
		rate of	versus 1714 euros us	ing sfit-1/PIGF			
		49500	ratio test.				
		women					
		with					
		suspected					
A		PE/year					
Anna							
					<u>.</u>		
Koning, S. H., et al. Ret	rospective cohort	820		GDM patients.	No adjusted analyses.	First, second, third	
(2016).				Comparison regular	Ingen prediktion.	trimester screening.	
Netherlands.				treatment diet-only			
Finns även i 1 och 2				vs additional insulin			
trimester				therapy. No			
				differences between			

Exkluderas AS			the group regarding PE.		
Leavey, K., et al. (2016). Canada. Finns även i 1 och 2 trimester Exkluderas AS??/ej mall		330 human placenta microaaray dataset including 7 previously published studies and 157 highly annotated new samples from a Biobank.	Aim: To find clinically differences between subclasses of PE and insight into potential contributors of individual maternal factors in the development of specific types of PE.		???? First, second and third trimester screening
Laskowska, M. (2017). Poland. Finns även i 2 trimester Ej inkludera? AS/ej mall	Case-control.	125/20eoPE/31loPE/ 65 healthy	Levels of maternal serum matrix metalloproteinases NMP-2, -3, -9, -13. Higher MMP-3 early onset PE, not late onset. Higher MNP-2, -13 and lower levels of MMP-9 related to both eoPE and loPE.	Ej kliniskt applicerbar markör	Second and third trimester screening
Lagana, A. S., et al. (2018). Italy. Finns även i 1 och 2 trimester Exkludera? AS	Review Case-control studies	12 studies	Role of miRNA in PE onset both as increased or decreased expression in placenta or as maternal serum markers.	Se results. Ej kliniskt applicerbar markör	First, second, third trimester screening
Kwiatkowski, S., et al. (2016). Poland. Finns även i 2 trimester AS	Case-control.	83 PE/of whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 43 controls	eoPE andloPE and control (and IUGR). Statistically significant for PE groups D-dimer higher and APTT lower than in control group. S-Flt higher, PIGF lower, S-Flt-1/PIGF	Kliniskt applicerbar markör Exkludera – ingen prediction?	Second and third trimester screening

			ratio hsCRF group	higher, II-6 and P higher I PE ps than controls.		
Kwiatkowski, S., et al. (2017). Poland. Nivåer av biomarkörer efter diagnos – ingen prediction. Exkludera	Case-control.	83 PE/of whom 42 eoPE, 41 loPE(>34 gw). 76 controls	S-Flt-1/ Conce differ pregn conce than i in con group in SFlt conce Increa conce group pregn gw. Sl values than i contre	1 and PIGF and S- 'PIGF ratio. entrations in rent stages of nancy. Lower PIGF entrations in eoPE in IoPE. Opposite ntrol group. In PE os no differences It-1 entrations. ased entration in the p of physiological nancies after 34 iFIt-1/PIGF ratio es higher in eoPE in IoPE and in rol group.	Kliniskt applicerbar markör	Second and third trimester screening
Kurtoglu, E., et al. (2016).Turkey. Finns även i 2 trimester Hittar ej artikeln	Case-control.	250/100 NT/121 sPE/29 mPE	Platel platel platel distrik platel at tim Samle pregn taken visit p Mean and p distrik signifi	let count, mean let volume, let bution,width, let crit. Samples ne of admission. es from normal nancies were n at last antenatal prior to delivery. n platelet volume platelet bution width was ficantly higher in	Kliniskt applicerbar markör?	Second and third trimester screening?

Leme Galvao L	D	Case-co	atrol	Case-control 456 (16	69		PE group (p=0.006 and 0.046) Platelet count, mean platelet volume, platelet crit was increased in late onset PE (p<0.05) High pregestational	Kliniskt applicerbar	Fir	st si	econd third
et al. (2016). Be Finns även i 1 o trimester Exkludera	razil. och 2			severe preeclampsia,287 controls)			BMI aOR 2.77 (1.75- 4.38), p<0.001, first gestation 1.85 (1.18- 2.92) p<0.008, low level of consciousness on admission 3.31 (1.30-8.42) p=0.01,2 associated with severe PE in multivariable analyses. IL1B genotype were associated only in univariate analyses.	markör	triı	mest	er screening
Lei, J., et al. (2016).Internat I/China. Finns även i 1 c trimester Ej inkludera/Fö diskussion? AS	tiona och 2 or	Meta-an studies.	alys and own	6 included studies of pregnant women. 30 PE/456 non gravic hypertension/344 controls.	of D1 da		Angiotensin II tpe 1 receptor autoantibody (AT1-AA) was associated with PE poopled OR 32.84, (95% CI 17.19-62.74) but weaker with non- pregnant hypertension pooled OR 4.18, (95% CI 2.20-7.98).	Kliniskt applicerbar markör?	Fir.	st, se mest	econd, third er screening
MARIAS, 3:e tri 2a sökningen	im,										
Seshadri Reddy V,	Syster atic	n	Six case cont studies	rol	lsc alb	hemia-modified umin IMA		All from india and turkey	1		

2018, tureky and india EXKLUDERA	review and meta analysis	PE 57+8+20+22+36 +19 C: 57+80+19+22+1 8+19		IMA in detecting PE sensitivity; 0.80 (CI 0.73- 0.86), specificity; 0.76 (95%CI 0.70-0.81), DOR; 14.32 (95%CI 5.06-40.57), and AUC; 0.860.			
Sovio U, 2017, UK Finns I AUC tabell	Prosp case- control	N=4099, w20= 3989, w28=3776, w36= 3776	Exclusion well defined	POP study, at ≈20, ≈28, and ≈36 weeks of gestational age (wkGA., Sflt/PIGF ratio 28 wsFlt-1:PIGF ratio >38 (PPV) of 32% PE and preterm birth, PPV similar low and high prior risk. 36 w sFlt-1:PIGF ratio >38 PPV sPE 20% high-risk ,6.4% in low-risk 36 wsFlt-1:PIGF ratio >110 PPV 30% sPE, 36 w 195 (5.2%) either sFlt- 1:PIGF ratio >38 plus maternal risk factors: 43% developedPE, low-risk 36 w sFlt-1:PIGF			
Tan MY, 2017, UK. Finns I AUC tabell	Case control pros Cohort	N=8063 PE=231	Not well defined, see former study	ratio ≤ 38 NPV sPE 99.2%. observational study ultrasound scan at 31- 34 weeks ,maternal (MoM) (PIGF) (sFIt-1) compare sFIt-1/PIGF ratio. Bayes' theorem similar to sFIt-1/PIGF ratio (AUC, 0.987 (95% CI,	Har flyttats från andra trimestern. AS		

				0.979-0.995) vs 0.988 (95% CI, 0.981-0.994); P = 0.961) delivery with PE at ≥ 4 weeks after to 40 w better Bayes' theorem than sFIt-1/PIGF ratio (AUC, 0.884 (95% CI, 0.854-0.914) vs 0.818 (95% CI, 0.775-0.860); P < 0.0001)			
Tsiakkas A, 2016, UK Finns I AUC tabell	Cohort	N=40122 1 st trim w 11-13 N2=10282 19- 24w N3=10400 30- 34w N4= 4043 35-37w	Exclusion not so well defiend	PIGF 11-13 w 19-24 w at 30-34 w 35-37 weeks. Bayes' theorem was used DRs at FPR 10%, for PE deliv< 32 w 79% and 97% with screening at 12 and 22 wThe DRs for PE deliv 32 + 0 to 36 + 6 w were 57%, 65% and 90% with screening at 12, 22 and 32 weeks. The DRs for PE delivering \geq 37 weeks were 40%, 37%, 54% and 64% with screening at 12, 22, 32 and 36 weeks, respectively.	Controll gruppen större i denna studie än i den nedan med Sflt trots att det verkar vara samma cohort!		
Tsiakkas A, 2016, UK Finns I AUC tabell	Cohort	N1=7066 11- 13w, N2≈8079 19-24w, N3= 8472 30-34w, N4=4043	Exclusion not so well defiend	sFlt-1w 11-13,w19-24 w30-34 w 35-37. Bayes' theorem , sFlt-1. PE delivery < 32, between $32 + 0$ and $36 + 6$ and \geq 37 w. sFlt-1 11-13 w did not improve prediction, sFlt-1 at 19-24 w improved pred < 37 w	Control group samller than in plgf study though it seems to be the same cohort.		

				but not delivering ≥ 37 w		
				sFlt-1 at 30-34 w		
				improved pred PE		
				delivering < 37 and PE		
				delivering ≥ 37 w. sFlt-1		
				at 35-37 w improved		
				pred PE deliv ≥ 37 w.		
				DRS FPROT 10%, PE		
				65% with screening at		
				12 and 22 w.		
				respectively. DRs for PE		
				deliv 32 + 0 and 36 + 6		
				w 44%, 44% and 93%		
				with screening at 12, 22		
				BE doliv > 37 wooks		
				$r = deliv \ge 37$ weeks were 37% 37% 52%		
				and 69% with screening		
				at 12, 22, 32 and 36		
				weeks, respectively.		
Visentin S,	Observational	N=1381	Excluded well	UtAs Doppler w 29-	Tredje trimester.	
2017, Italy	prosp	C=1308	defined	32, fetal biometry, fetal	Flyttad från andra	
	case/control	GH=73		wellbeing. Maternatl	trimestern.	
				Doppler, Fetal abd aorta		
Ej AUC				intima-media thickness,		
tabell – ej				fetal kidney volume		
kliniskt				AUC 81.07% (CI		
applicerbar,				, 75.83%–86.32%)		
inkluderar				Late-gestational		
bara				hypertension predicted		
gestational				fetal aIMT 29 to 32 w'		
hypertensio				Doppler waveforms,		

n - exkludera				and maternal clinical parameters				
Wright A, 2016, UK i AUC tabell	cohort	C1 =92800 PE1 2189 C2=7396 PE2 =201 C3=7895 PE3=193	Not defined	(PAPP-A) (β -hCG) at 12, 22 and 32 w' developing (PE) preterm PE < 37 w, PE ≥ 37 w 10%FPR, preterm PE maternal factors 45% to 51% and 53% by combined screening with PAPP-A at 11-13 weeks and 30-34 w, 55% and 54% by combined screening free β -hCG at 19-24 weeks and 30-34 weeks, respectively.				
Yeung EH, 2014, USA Ej med i AUC tabell, med i sammanfatt ande text?	Case control second ary analysi s	c 136 PE=169 GDM =92 GH=101 PTB=86	Defined but many	Copeptin 1st, 2nd and 3rd trim. Copeptin increasing with gest age cases and controls but higher with preeclampsia. Baseline copeptin 16 w aOR CI 1.55 per log unit; 1.03–2.31) (<i>P</i> =0.03)	lcke kliniskt applicerbar?			
Stefan						1		1

Wright, A.	Prospec	7597 (originated		Second and thirdtrimester	PAPP-A no	bhCG improves		
2016. UK	tive	from a larger		(19-24 weeks and 30-34	difference between	detection rate of		
AS	cohort	cohort in first		week) measurement of	groups in second	EOPE slightly as a		
		trimester of		maternal risk factors (age.	and third trimester.	single test. not		
Även i andra		94989 women)		race, method conception.	For bhCG. it	evaluated as a		
trimester				smoking hypertension DM	improved sensitivity	combination		
timester				SLE APS family history of PE	for FOPE <32 weeks			
I ALIC tabellen				in mother obstetric history	as a single marker			
The abelief.				(parity PE in previous	compared to			
				pregnancy gestational age	maternal			
				at delivery and infant	characteristics at			
				weight interval in years	95% specificity but			
				after last prognancy	not at 90%			
				estimated date of	specificity			
				conception Maternal weight	(consitivity 57% vs			
				each visit) and PAPP-A and	(3611311111) 5770 V3			
				$b_{-b}CG$ in combination vs	and 57% vs 71% at			
				alono	90% (max)			
				alone	50% spec).			
					POI EUPE 52+1-			
					detection at 05%			
					detection at 95%			
					spec (sens 43% vs			
					33%) but less at 90%			
					spec (57% vs 52%).			
					For LOPE >37 Weeks,			
					no improvement			
					was seen. Not			
					evaluated as a			
					prediction model			
					with maternal			
					characteristics in			
		NL 0400			second trimester			
Wright D,	cohort	N=8128	Not defined	patient-specific risk of		Flyttad från andra		
2017 <i>,</i> UK		PE =234		pre-eclampsia (PE) at		trimestern.		
		l		(UIA-PI), (PIGE) (SEIT-1)				

Ingen AUC/DR	high-risk 90% PE at < 4			
men med I	weeks and 40% with PE			
AUC tabell	at 4 weeks from			
	assessment to 40w.			
	intermediate-risk 49 PE			
	at 4 weeks from			
	assessment to 40 w.			
	low-risk group, none			
	developed PE at < 4			
	weeks and 0.3% PE at			
	4 weeks to 40w			

16 Prediktion komplikationer

Prediktionsmodeller av komplikationer

Prediktor	Lehnen H 2013 kohort medel	Akkermanns 2014 kohort medel	Leanos-Miranda 2013 kohort medel	Bahlmannn 2016, kohort medel	De Oliviera, 2013 kohort låg	Dragan 2017 kohort medel	Dragan 2017 kohort (delad) medel	Duckworth 2017 kohort medel	Payne 2012 kohort medel	Payne 2014 kohort medel	Polat 2015 retrospektiv kohort låg	Saleh 2016 kohort medel	Zeisler 2016, kohort medel	Almeida 2017 retrospektiv kohort medel	Leanos-Miranda 2017 fall kontroll låg	AUC Preeklampsi som kräver förlossning < 1-4 weeks	AUC organkomplikationer	Detektionsgrad (x% falskt positiva) för förlossning på grund av preeklampsi	Detektionsgrad (x falskt positiva) för komplikationer på grund av preeklampsi	GRADE
Kommentar	Kan inte få fram		Mater nal and perina tal morbi dity OR		Adve rse mate rnal outc ome s				FULL PIER S Mate rnal com plica tions	MiniPI ERS Bad matern al outco me within 24 h after admissi on	Mate rnal and neon atal adve rse outc ome s EJ AUC/ DR									
sFlt-1/PIGF ratio				x ["] 78.5% (20%) deliver y due to PE	x AUC 0.95 4	x ["] 78.6% (4.5%) < 1w, 76.6% (4.1%) < 4w	x ["] 75.9 (FPR 1.7% gw31- 34 vs 9.6%					x 86% (7%)	x		x			78.6% (4.5%) < 1w, 75.9- 76.6% (1.7- 9.6%) < 4w,	86% (7%)	++/+++

			at any point		gw 35- 47)								20.7% (4.3%) >4w 78.5% (20%) any point	
PIGF		x	x [°] 74.6 % (28.6 %) deliver y due to PE at any point			x* 0.87, (0.83– 0.92)				x	0.87 <2w		74.6 % (28.6 %) any point	++
sFlt-1		x	x" 83.7 % (31.9%) deliver y due to PE at any point			x* 0.83, (0.78– 0.88)				x	0.83 <2w		83.7% (31.1%) any point	++
sEng		x				x* 0.83, (0.79– 0.88)				x	0.83 <2w			+/++
ALT				X AUC 0.84 3								0.843		+
24 h Proteinuria				X AUC 0.92 6								0.926		+
Platelets				X AUC 0.81 1								0.811		+
Creatinin				X AUC 0.71								0.719		 +

			9										
Urinary prolactin		Х											+
Notch a. uterina							x						+
PIERS; Graviditetslängd,	Х				Х				х*	Within	0.72		++/+++
bröstsmärta, TPK, ASAT,	AUC				AUC				0.72	48h			
kreatinin, POX	0.970				With				(0.67	AUC			
	(95% CI				in				-	0.76			
	0.94 -				48h				0.77	and			
	0.99).				AUC					within			
	for				0.76					24			
	advers				and					hours			
	e				withi					of			
	outco				n					admissi			
	me <7				24					on AUC			
	days;				hour					0.8			
	AUC				s of								
	0.800				admi								
	(95% CI				ssion								
	0.74 -				AUC								
	0.85)				0.81								
Mini PIERS (symptom,						x				0.768			+
demografiska variabler,						AUC							
vitalparametrar) <24 h						0.768							

*AUC redovisad ^{••} Detektionsgrad redovisad